

## Baseline characteristics table

	Treatment as usual (N=7)	Trial treatment (N=7)
Gender Male:Female	4:3	2:5
Age Mean (SD)	63.6 (19.3)	60.0 (17.6)
Affected hand Left: Right	3:4	4:3
Location of oedema Isolated digit: Global	2:5	3:4
Reason for oedema Trauma: Surgery	4:3	4:3
Days since injury Mean (range)	39.3 (21-59)	27.3 (3-45)
Past medical history	OA- not hand specific (n=2)	OA- not hand specific (n=3)
	Neuralgia	Type I DM
	Type II DM	Type II DM
	HTN (n=2)	HTN
	COPD	SOB
	Deaf	Under active thyroid
		Anxiety
Condition or operation:		
Distal radius fracture (conservative)	n=1 (14%)	n=2 (28%)
Dupuytren's release	n=1 (14%)	n=1 (14%)
Fracture/dislocation (digit)	n=2 (28%)	n=1 (14%)
Tendon repair and DR fracture	n=1 (14%)	n=0
Distal radius fracture fixation	n=1 (14%)	n=1 (14%)
Fracture/dislocation metacarpal	n=1 (14%)	n=0
Joint replacement	n=0	n=1 (14%)

Participant			Treatment	t as usual			Overall	Participant			Trial tre	atment			Overall
	Mas	ssage	Eleva	ation	Comp	ression			Mass	sage	Elev	ation	Elasticat	ed tape	
1	77.8%	7/9	88.9%	8/9	100%	9/9	88.8%	1*	50%	6/12	50%	6/12	100%	12/12	66.6%
							24/27								24/36
2	100%	4/4	100%	4/4	100%	4/4	100%	2*	25%	3/12	100%	12/12	16.6%	2/12	47.2%
							12/12								17/36
3	66.6%	8/12	41.6%	5/12	100%	12/12	69.4%	3	100%	5/5	0%	0/5	60%	3/5	53.3%
							25/36								8/15
4	91.6%	11/12	0%	0/12	58.3%	7/12	50%	4	16.7%	1/6	33.3%	2/6	66.7%	4/6	38.8%
							18/36								7/18
5	100%	12/12	16.6%	2/12	66.6%	8/12	61.1%	5	41.6%	5/12	83.3%	10/12	91.6%	11/12	72.2%
							22/36								26/36
6	100%	9/9	100%	9/9	77.8%	7/9	92.6%	6	55.6%	5/9	55.6%	5/9	44.4%	4/9	51.9%
							25/27								14/27
7		l	Did not ret	urn diary				7	Not con	npleted	Not co	mpleted	66.7%	6/9	66.7%
															6/9
Mean	89	.3%	57.	.9%	83	.8%		Mean	48.2	2%	53	.7%	63.7	7%	
95% CI	74.2	2-100	10.4	-100	63.7	7-100		95% CI	16.7-	79.7	15.6	-91.8	37.5-8	89.9	

Cumulative adherence as a proportion (weeks) and percentages based on the frequency and duration as advised, summarised as a mean adherence for each treatment modality and associated 95% confidence interval for actual treatment time, where known (n=7) \**indicates participants who switched treatment from the tape to the glove.* 

	TAU	TAU	TAU	TAU mean	TT	TT	TT	π
	Baseline	4-week	12-week	change	Baseline	4-week	12-week	Mean change
	mean	mean	mean	baselin-12	mean	mean (SD)	mean (SD)	baseline-12
	(SD)	(SD)		weeks (SD)	(SD)			weeks (SD)
Volumeter	507.86	490.71	473.57	34.29	505.00	476.43	460.00	45.00
(ml)	(70.23)	(59.47)	(60.60)	(27.75)	(102.27)	(103.27)	(97.47)	(48.22)
PEM (0-100)	54.17	46.20	38.60	15.57	62.70	44.90	36.31	26.39
	(16.98)	(19.39)	(18.15)	(18.18)	(15.61)	(14.57)	(16.98)	(16.40)
ORS (0-6)	3.14	2.43	1.57	1.57	3.57	2.57	2.14	1.43
	(0.69)	(0.79)	(0.79)	(0.98)	(0.79)	(1.13)	(1.07)	(1.13)
EQ-5D-5L	0.55	0.65	0.69	0.15	0.64	0.76	0.79	0.16
Utility*	(0.22)	(0.14)	(0.21)	(0.26)	(0.13)	(0.13)	(0.13)	(0.16)
(-0.594-1)								
EQ-5D-5L	68.57	70.71	76.43	7.86	68.57	85.00	85.86	17.29
VAS**	(11.80)	(14.84)	(15.74)	(20.18)	(11.07)	(12.91)	(16.30)	(21.00)
(0-100)								

Outcomes at baseline, 4 and 12 weeks – Mean (Standard Deviation).

\*a higher score (closer to 1) indicates higher quality of life derived health utility

\*\*a higher score indicates better health states

Legend: TAU= treatment as usual, TT= trial treatment, SD= standard deviation, PEM= patient evaluation measure, ORS= oedema rating scale, VAS=visual analogue scale.

	Treatment as usual n=7	Trial treatment n=7 Mean (SD)	Adjusted mean difference at 4- weeks unless stated (95% CI)	Linear regression
	Mean (SD)			P value
Volumeter (ml)	490.71	476.43	11.99	0.651
	(59.47)	(103.27)	(-44.74 to 68.72)	
PEM (0-100)	46.20	44.90	8.86	0.126
	(19.39)	(14.57)	(-2.92 to 20.64)	
ORS (0-6)				
0-2	3 (43%)	2 (29%)	1.60*	0.692**
3-6	4 (57%)	5 (71%)	(0.16 to 16.23)	
EQ-5D-5L Utility	0.65	0.76	-0.87	0.251
(-0.594- 1)	(0.14)	(0.13)	(-0.25 to 0.07)	
EQ-5D- 5L VAS (0-100)	70.71	85.00	-14.29	0.093
	(14.84)	(12.91)	(-31.36 to 2.79)	

Intention to treat analysis for primary and secondary outcomes at 4 weeks.

\*adjusted (ORS score dichotomized) odds ratio

\*\*logistic regression

Legend: SD= standard deviation, PEM= patient evaluation measure, ORS= oedema rating scale, VAS= visual analogue scale, CI= confidence interval.

	Treatment as usual n=7	Trial treatment n=7	Adjusted mean difference at 12- weeks unless	Linear regression	
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	stated		
			(95% CI)	P value	
Volumeter (ml)	473.57	460.00	11.21	0.591	
	(60.60)	(97.47)	(-33.42 to 55.83)		
PEM (0-100)	38.60	36.31	6.70	0.470	
	(18.15)	(16.98)	(-12.99 to 26.38)		
ORS (0-6)					
0-2	6 (86%)	4 (57%)	4.29*	0.288**	
3-6	1 (14%)	3 (43%)	(0.79 to 63.2)		
EQ-5D-5L Utility	0.69	0.79	-0.081	0.422	
(-0.594- 1)	(0.21)	(0.13)	(-0.30 to 0.13)		
EQ-5D- 5L VAS (0-100)	76.43	85.86	-9.43	0.312	
	(15.74)	(16.30)	(-29.02 to 10.16)		

Intention to treat analysis for primary and secondary outcomes at 12 weeks.

\*adjusted (ORS score dichotomized) odds ratio

\*\*logistic regression

Legend: SD= standard deviation, PEM= patient evaluation measure, ORS= oedema rating scale, VAS= visual analogue scale, CI= confidence interval.

## Adverse events

Trial treatment group (TT)	Treatment as usual group (TAU)
2 participants reported a rash	1 participant reported bruising to
with small bumps under the	the hand which he stated to be as a
skin which were sore to touch,	result of using the compression
or that the tape pulled their skin	glove for 24 hours. Following re-
which resulted in these	assessment by a hand therapist he
participants switching to the	continued with treatment as usual,
TAU group.	although it was not used 'as
	advised' for the first 6 days
	because of this.
1 participant reported an itchy	
rash at her elbow crease where	
the tape starts, she took a 24-	
hour rest period from the tape	
as is advised and continued	
without any further issues.	