


Study Title: Single vision vs toric contact lens centration on the eye study

Participants



Baseline Characteristics

Table 1 - Gender and Age of Study Population

	Overall
N	21
Gender	
Female	16 (76.2%)
Male	5 (23.8%)
Age	
Mean	53.4
Std. Dev	8.2

Outcome Measures

Primary Outcome Measure – Contact Lens Decentration

Table 2 – Decentration of contact lens (mm)

	Total
CONTROL	
N	42
Mean	0.339
Median	0.352
TEST	
N	42
Mean	0.365
Median	0.318

Secondary Outcome Measure – Contact Lens Centration Clinical Rating

Table 3 – Clinical Rating of Centration

	RE		LE	
	N	%	N	%
CONTROL				
0- Optimal lens centration	21	100.0	21	100.0
1-Acceptable decentration	0	0.0	0	0.0
2-Unacceptable decentration	0	0.0	0	0.0
3-Corneal exposure	0	0.0	0	0.0

TEST				
0- Optimal lens centration	20	95.2	21	100.0
1-Acceptable decentration	1	4.8	0	0.0
2-Unacceptable decentration	0	0.0	0	0.0
3-Corneal exposure	0	0.0	0	0.0

Secondary Outcome Measure – Contact Lens Movement Clinical Rating

Table 4 – Clinical Rating of Movement

	RE		LE	
	N	%	N	%
CONTROL				
-1 Reduced movement acceptable	14	66.7	16	76.2
-2 Reduced movement unacceptable	0	0.0	0	0.0
0 Optimal movement	7	33.3	4	19.0
1 Excessive movement acceptable	0	0.0	1	4.8
2 Excessive movement unacceptable	0	0.0	0	0.0
TEST				
-1 Reduced movement acceptable	7	33.3	6	28.6
-2 Reduced movement unacceptable	0	0.0	0	0.0
0 Optimal movement	10	47.6	12	57.1
1 Excessive movement acceptable	4	19.0	3	14.3
2 Excessive movement unacceptable	0	0.0	0	0.0

Secondary Outcome Measure – Comfort

Table 5 – Comfort

	N	%
CONTROL		
1- Strongly agree	12	57.1
2- Agree	7	33.3
3- Slightly agree	1	4.8
4- Slightly disagree	0	0.0
5- Disagree	1	4.8
TEST		
1- Strongly agree	6	28.6
2- Agree	6	28.6
3- Slightly agree	4	19.0
4- Slightly disagree	5	23.8
5- Disagree	0	0.0

Adverse Events

There were no adverse events reported during the study.