

CLINICAL STUDY PROTOCOL

Combined Neuropsychological, Neurophysiological and Psychophysiological Assessment of the Effects of N-Pep-12 On Neurorecovery in Patients after Ischemic Stroke

STUDY IDENTIFICATION: N-Pep-12 / Neurorecovery

Version	Date
2.0	2018-01-17

This protocol has been written in accordance with the ICH-GCP guidelines and the *Declaration of Helsinki* in current versions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

RESI	PONSIBIL	LITIES AND ADDRESSES	4		
1.	ABBRE	VIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS	5		
2.	PROTO	COL SUMMARY / SYNOPSIS	7		
3.	INTROE	DUCTION	<u>11</u> ,	 Deleted: 13	
	3.1.	Background Information	<u>11</u> ,	 Deleted: 13	$\overline{}$
4.	STUDY	OBJECTIVES	<u>12</u> ,	 Deleted: 14	$\overline{}$
	4.1.	Primary Objective	<u>12</u> ,	 Deleted: 15	=
	4.1.1.	Primary Endpoints	<u>12</u>	 Deleted: 15	$\overline{}$
	4.2.	Secondary Objectives	<u>13</u> ,	 Deleted: 16	$\overline{}$
	4.2.1.	Secondary Endpoints	<u>13</u> ,	 Deleted: 16	
5.	STUDY	DESIGN	<u>13</u> ,	 Deleted: 16	<u> </u>
6.	SELECT	TION AND WITHDRAWAL OF PATIENTS	<u>13</u> ,	 Deleted: 16	$\overline{}$
	6.1.	Patient Inclusion Criteria	<u>13</u> ,	 Deleted: 17	$\overline{}$
	6.2.	Patient Exclusion Criteria	<u>14</u>	 Deleted: 18	<u> </u>
	6.3.	Stopping and Discontinuation Criteria	<u>14</u>	 Deleted: 18	=
	6.3.1.	Discontinuation Criteria Related to the Study	<u>14</u>	 Deleted: 19	
	6.3.2.	Discontinuation Criteria Related to the Patient	<u>15</u> ,	 Deleted: 19	
	6.4.	Randomisation, Blinding and Unblinding	<u>15</u> ,	 Deleted: 19	
7.	INVEST	IGATIONAL PRODUCTS	<u>16</u> ,	 Deleted: 20	
	7.1.	Name and Description of the Investigational Product(s)	<u>16</u> ,	 Deleted: 20	
	7.1.1.	Dosage, Formulations and Administration	<u>16</u> ,	 Deleted: 20	
	7.2.	Packaging and Labelling	<u>16</u> ,	 Deleted: 20	
	7.3.	Storage	<u>16</u> ,	 Deleted: 21	
	7.4.	Investigational Product Accountability and Destruction	<u>16</u> ,	 Deleted: 21	
8.	CONCC	MITANT THERAPY	<u>17</u> ,	 Deleted: 21	
9.	DEFINIT	TION OF THE PRIMARY AND SECONDARY VARIABLES	<u>17</u> ,	 Deleted: 21	
	9.1.	Primary Variable	<u>17</u> ,	 Deleted: 22	
	9.2.	Secondary Variables	<u>19</u> ,	 Deleted: 27	
	9.3.	Source Documents	<u>19</u> ,	 Deleted: 27	
10.	ASSESS	SING AND REPORTING OF ADVERSE EVENTS	<u>20</u> ,	 Deleted: 28	
	10.1.	Adverse Events (AE)	<u>20</u>	 Deleted: 28	$\overline{}$
	10.2.	Adverse Drug Reaction (ADR)	<u>20</u> ,	 Deleted: 28	$\overline{}$
	10.3.	Serious Adverse Event or Serious Adverse Reaction (SAE/SAR)	<u>20</u> ,	 Deleted: 28	<u> </u>
	10.4.	Suspected Expected Serious Adverse Reaction (SESAR)	<u>21</u> ,	 Deleted: 29	$\overline{}$
	10.5.	Suspected Unexpected Serious Adverse Reaction (SUSAR)	<u>22</u>	 Deleted: 30	
	10.6.	Recording of Adverse Events	<u>22</u>	 Deleted: 30	
	10.6.1.	Definition of Adverse Event Intensity	<u>22</u>	 Deleted: 30	
	1062	Definition of Adverse Event Causality	23	Deleted: 20	=

	10.7.	Reporting of Serious Adverse Events	24	 Deleted: 32
	10.7.	Adverse Event/Reaction Follow-Up Procedure:		 Deleted: 32
11.		SCHEDULE		
11.	11.1.	Procedures at Each Visit		 Deleted: 32
	11.1.			 Deleted: 32
40		Tabular Overview of Assessments		 Deleted: 33
12.		AND TREATMENT DURATION		 Deleted: 35
13.		TICS		 Deleted: 35
	13.1.	Statistical Methods		 Deleted: 35
	13.2.	Methods of Analysis		 Deleted: 35
	13.2.1.	Handling of Missing Data and Outliers		 Deleted: 37
	13.3.	Sample Size		 Deleted: 37
	13.4.	Patient Selection for Analyses	Error! Bookmark not defined.	 Deleted: 37
14.	ACCES	S TO SOURCE DATA / DOCUMENTS	27,	 Deleted: 38
	14.1.	Source Data	<u>27</u> ,	 Deleted: 38
	14.2.	Source Documents	<u>27</u> ,	 Deleted: 38
	14.3.	Direct Access	<u>28</u> ,	 Deleted: 38
15.	QUALIT	Y CONTROL AND QUALITY ASSURANCE	<u>29</u> ,	 Deleted: 39
	15.1.	Quality Control	<u>29</u> ,	 Deleted: 39
	15.2.	Study Monitoring	<u>29</u> ,	 Deleted: 39
	15.3.	Quality Assurance		 Deleted: 39
	15.4.	Audit		 Deleted: 39
16.	ETHICA	L AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS	2 <u>29</u> ,	 Deleted: 39
	16.1.	Independent Ethics Committee (IEC) / Institution	onal Review Board (IRB)29,	 Deleted: 39
	16.2.	Informed Consent	2 <mark>29</mark> ,	 Deleted: 39
	16.3.	Modification of Protocol	<u>30</u> ,	 Deleted: 40
	16.4.	Conduct of Study	<u>30</u> ,	 Deleted: 40
	16.5.	Personal Data and Data Protection	<u>30,</u>	 Deleted: 40
	16.6.	Data Handling and Record Keeping	<u>30</u> ,	 Deleted: 40
	16.6.1.	Completion of Case Report Forms	Error! Bookmark not defined.	 Deleted: 40
	16.6.2.	Archiving	Error! Bookmark not defined.	 Deleted: 41
	16.7.	Confidentiality	Error! Bookmark not defined.	 Deleted: 41
	16.8.	Responsibilities	<u>31</u> ,	 Deleted: 41
17.	FINAL F	REPORT AND PUBLICATION POLICY	31	 Deleted: 41
18.	REFERI	ENCES	32,	 Deleted: 42
19.	SIGNAT	URES		 Deleted: 45
APP	ENDICES			 Deleted: 46
				 Commented [A1]: Nu putem folosi termenul "treatment" in



RESPONSIBILITIES AND ADDRESSES

Principal Investigator Prof. Dr. Dafin Muresanu^{1,2}

¹RoNeuro Institute for Neurological Research and Diagnostics, Cluj-Napoca, Romania ²University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Iuliu Hatieganu", Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Co-investigators Dana Slavoaca Racasan^{1,2}, MD, PhD Student,

Adina Stan^{1,2} MD, PhD

Maria Balea^{1,2} MD, PhD Student,

Livia Popa^{1,2}, MD, PhD, Alina Blesneag^{1,2} MD, PhD

Ioana Muresanu^{1,2}, psychologist, PhD Student Cezara Costin^{1,2}, psychologist, PhD Student

Alexandra Badau¹, psychologist

¹RoNeuro Institute for Neurological Research and Diagnostics, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

²University of Medicine and Pharmacy "Iuliu

Hatieganu", Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Statistical Analysis Coordinator Johannes Vester, Germany

Study Coordinator Dr. Herbert Moessler¹

¹RoNeuro Institute for Neurological Research and Diagnostics, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

Sponsor Academic, Investigator-Initiated Study



1. ABBREVIATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

AE Adverse Event

AD Alzheimer's Disease
AlS Abbreviated Injury Scale

AR Adverse Reaction

AST Attention Switching Task

BLC Big/Little Circle
BI Barthel Index

CT Computer Tomography

CTT Color Trails Test
CRF Case Report Form

CRO Contract Research Organization

EC Ethics Committee

ERBI Early Rehabilitation Barthel Index

FTT Finger Tapping Test
GCP Good Clinical Practice
GCS Glasgow Coma Scale

GOS-e Extended Glasgow Outcome Scale

h Hour

HADS Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale
ICH International Committee for Harmonization

IEC Independent Ethics Committee

incl. including

IQ Intelligence Quotient

qEEG Quantitative Electroencephalography

kg Kilogram L Liter

MCI Mild Cognitive Impairment

mL Milliliter

MMSE Mini Mental State Examination
MRI Magnetic Resonance Imaging

mRS Modified Rankin Scale

NA Not Applicable



NIHSS National Institute of Health Stroke Scale

PR Power Ratio

PRM Pattern Recognition Memory

PS Processing Speed
SAE Serious Adverse Event
SAR Serious Adverse Reaction

SUSAR Suspected Unexpected Serious Adverse Reaction

VCI Vascular Cognitive Impairment
WAIS Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale

2. PROTOCOL SUMMARY / SYNOPSIS

Title	Combined Neuronayahalariasi Neuronahasialariasi and			
Title	Combined Neuropsychological, Neurophysiological and			
	Psychophysiological Assessment of the Effects of N-Pep-			
	12 on Neurorecovery in Patients After Ischemic Stroke or			
	Traumatic Brain Injury			
Name of finished product	MemoProve / Cebrium			
Name of active substance	N-PEP-12			
Phase	Phase IV			
Indication	Ischemic Stroke			
Study Design	Exploratory, prospective, randomized, single-blinded,			
	controlled study			
Number of sites & countries	One site in Romania			
Sample Size	Stroke Group – 120 patients			
	Treatment Group: N = 80			
	Reference Group: N = 40			
Primary Objectives	To assess the safety and efficacy of 90 days of			
	once-daily treatment with 90 mg N-Pep-12 on the			
	neurocognitive function and neurorecovery			
	outcome in patients with post-stroke cognitive			
	impairment.			
	·			
Secondary Objectives	To investigate eye-tracking as a marker of			
	cognitive impairment and a treatment monitoring			
	tool in patients with post-stroke cognitive			
	impairment.			
	To investigate quantitative electroencephalogram			
	(qEEG) as a cognitive impairment biomarker and			
İ	treatment monitoring tool in patients with post-			
	stroke cognitive impairment.			

Primary Variable	Stroke Group		
	Montreal Cognitive Assessment (MoCA)		
	Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS)		
	Digit Span (DS)		
	Color Trial Test (CTT)		
	Processing Speed Index (PSI)		
Secondary Variables	Eye-tracking measurements		
	32-channel qEEG parameters		
Inclusion Criteria	Stroke onset – 30-120 days prior to screening		
	Stroke is ischemic in origin, supratentorial, and radiologically confirmed (CT or MRI)		
	 No significant pre-stroke disability (pre-stroke Modified Rankin Score of 0 or 1) 		
	Goodglass and Kaplan Communication Scale		
	Score of > 2 at screening		
	No other stroke in the 3 months preceding		
	index stroke		
	Age between 18 and 80 years, inclusive		
	Signed informed consent form		



Exclusion Criteria	Pre-existing and active major neurological disease				
	 Pre-existing and active (e.g., on chronic medication) major psychiatric disease, such as major depression, schizophrenia, bipolar disease, or dementia (the short Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE) score >3) Advanced liver, kidney, cardiac, or pulmonary 				
	disease				
	A terminal medical diagnosis consistent with survival < 1 year				
	Major drug dependency, including alcohol (in the investigator's judgment).				
	 Injury of writing hand influencing cognitive or other outcome measures, in the investigator's judgment. 				
	Females who are pregnant or lactating.HemianopiaNeglect				
	• Myopia >3				
	Glaucoma				
Visit Schedule	Visit 1 – Screening / Baseline Day – 30-120				
	days from stroke onset				
	Visit 2 – Efficacy / Safety Day – 30 days from baseline				
	Visit 3 – Efficacy / Safety Day – 90 days from baseline				

	,	
	Visit 1 –30-120 days from stroke onset	
Documented parameters	o Patient logistics	
	 Patient demographic data (age, gender, ethnicity) 	
	 Patient medical history and risk factors 	
	o Inclusion/exclusion criteria	
	 All primary efficacy assessment scales 	
	o qEEG	
	o Eye tracking	
	Visit 2 – 30 days from baseline	
	o Patient logistics	
	 All primary efficacy assessment scales 	
	o qEEG	
	o Eye tracking	
	Visit 3 – 90 days from baseline	
	o Patient logistics	
	 All primary efficacy assessment scales 	
	o qEEG	
	o Eye tracking	
	Death:	
	o Date/ time	
	o Cause	
	Adverse events:	
	o Date/time	
	o Relationship/Seriousness/Outcome	
Statistical methods	The statistical analyses in this study will be exploratory in	
	nature because the study is not powered to address any	
	pre-defined statements but to generate valid hypotheses	
	on efficacy and safety issues for future studies. A formal	
	sample size calculation for confirmatory trials was not	
1	performed.	

and Psychophysiological Assessment of the Effects of N-Pep-12 on Neurorecovery in Patients after Ischemic Stroke or Traumatic Brain Injury

INTRODUCTION 3.

Background information 3.1.

Cognitive impairment is a common finding in patients with stroke, regardless of severity, and it has an important impact on quality of life. Vascular cognitive impairment (VCI) describes a spectrum of cognitive disorders ranging from mild cognitive impairment (MCI) to dementia, with consequences for all cognitive domains and behavior (Jellinger K. A., 2013). However, there is increasing evidence to suggest the disproportionate impairment of executive function, including working memory, abstraction, reasoning, verbal fluency, and cognitive flexibility (Sachdev P.S. et al, 2004; Sörös P. et al., 2015). In epidemiological studies of stroke, cognitive impairment ranges from 20% to 80%; this variation depends on several factors, especially the diagnostic criteria (Sun J.H. et al., 2014). For example, the prevalence of the cognitive impairment 3 months after stroke can present a variation up to 72% depending on the complexity of the neuropsychological assessment (Gutiérrez P.C. et al., 2011; Douiri A., et al, 2013).

This is a pilot study to investigate the effects N-Pep-12 treatment on the neurorecovery of patients with post-stroke cognitive impairment. N-Pep-12 is a proprietary, peptide-based nutritional supplement that has been shown to exert neuroprotective and pro-cognitive effects in experimental studies (Hutter-Paier B. et al., 2015) as well as in earlier clinical studies in patients suffering from age-related cognitive deficits (Alvarez X.A. et al., 2005; Crook T.H. et al., 2005). Remarkably, N-Pep-12 has been shown to significantly improve memory impairment in older adults with subjective memory complaints after only 30 days of a once-daily treatment with 90 mg of the compound (Crook T. H. et al., 2005). The compound is available in the form of film-coated tablets or capsules under the brand names Memoprove and Cebrium. Furthermore, qEEG power analysis showed that N-Pep-12 is able to improve vigilance after only a single dose (Alvarez X.A. et al., 2005).

This study will use a multidimensional approach that will combine neuropsychological investigations outcome scales, neurophysiological (qEEG), psychophysiological investigations (eye-tracking), and clinical parameters.

Eye tracking involves looking at targets on a computer screen while a special system records eye movements and changes in pupil diameter in response to the movements of the targets. Patterns of eye movements offer information about what a person is processing at a particular moment and the time course of processing visual information. Smooth pursuit and saccades are the two components of tracking eye movements. Smooth pursuit, or just Deleted: dys



pursuit, is a class of slow eye movements that minimizes retinal target motion. Saccades are rapid eye movements that align the fovea with the target. Their coordination is usually studied by investigating the latencies of pursuit onset in response to a moving target appearing simultaneously with the disappearance of the stationary fixation target. Because the saccades and fixations recruit the same neuroanatomical circuitries as attention, involving the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, and also because eye movements can be influenced by emotions, it has been suggested that eye tracking can be used as a biomarker for cognitive dysfunction (Munoz D.P., 2002; Seligman S. C. and Giovannetti T., 2015).

Due to its highly accurate temporal resolution, the qEEG technique (with 19 channels) provides a unique window to assess the brain dynamics underlying cognitive functions. qEEG has been used for the evaluation of Alzheimer's disease (Chen C.C. et al., 2013), vascular dementia (Neto E. et al., 2015), and Parkinson's disease-associated dementia (Caviness J.N. et al., 2015). It also can reveal abnormalities in the preclinical stages of cognitive impairment (When D. et al., 2015). Typical EEG findings in patients with Alzheimer's disease (AD) include increased slow wave and decreased fast wave activities. (Alvarez X. A. et al., 2000; Alvarez X.A. et al, 2003; Alvarez X. A. et al, 2008).

4. STUDY OBJECTIVES

The study shall assess the therapeutic effect and the safety of a single daily dose of 90 mg of N-Pep-12 in supporting neurorecovery in comparison to a control group of patients after stroke. This is an exploratory study, and the dosage, route of administration and treatment duration were chosen according the current instructions for use provided by the manufacturer of N-Pep-12. The study aims to show the superiority of N-Pep-12 over the control group in the neurorecovery outcome after 90 days.

4.1. Primary Objective

 To assess the safety and efficacy of 90 days of once-daily treatment with 90 mg N-Pep-12 on neurocognitive function and neurorecovery outcome in patients with post-stroke cognitive impairment.

4.1.1. Primary End-Points



- o MoCA
- o HADS
- o CTT
- o DS
- o PSI

4.2. Secondary Objectives

- 1. To investigate eye-tracking as a marker of cognitive impairment and a treatment monitoring tool for post-stroke cognitive impairment.
- 2. To investigate the quantitative electroencephalogram (qEEG) as a cognitive impairment biomarker and treatment monitoring tool in patients with post-stroke cognitive impairment.

4.2.1. Secondary End-Points

- 1. Eye tracking measurements
- 2. qEEG parameters

5. STUDY DESIGN

Exploratory, prospective, randomized, single-blind, controlled study

6. STUDY CENTER

"RoNeuro" Institute for Neurological Research and Diagnostic, Cluj-Napoca, Romania

7. SELECTION AND WITHDRAWAL OF PATIENTS

7.1. Patient Inclusion Criteria

• Stroke onset – 30-120 days prior to screening



- Stroke is ischemic in origin, supratentorial, and radiologically confirmed (CT or MRI)
- No significant pre-stroke disability (pre-stroke Modified Rankin Score of 0 or 1)
- Goodglass and Kaplan Communication Scale Score of > 2 at screening
- No other stroke in the 3 months preceding index stroke
- Age between 18 and 80 years, inclusive

7.2. Patient Exclusion Criteria

- Pre-existing and active major neurological disease
- Pre-existing and active (e.g., on chronic medication) major psychiatric disease, such as major depression, schizophrenia, bipolar disease, or dementia (the short Informant Questionnaire on Cognitive Decline in the Elderly (IQCODE) score >3)
- · Advanced liver, kidney, cardiac, or pulmonary disease
- A terminal medical diagnosis consistent with survival < 1 year
- Major drug dependency, including alcohol (in the investigator's judgment).
- Injury of writing hand influencing cognitive or other outcome measures, in the investigator's judgment.
- Females who are pregnant or lactating.
- Hemianopia
- Neglect
- Myopia >3
- Glaucoma

7.3. Stopping and Discontinuation Criteria

7.3.1. Discontinuation Criteria related to the Study

• Insufficient recruitment of less than 30% of the total patient sample in one year



• If reasonable suspicion exists that any serious and life-threatening side effects occur significantly more frequently than usual in patients with the inclusion diagnosis, the

7.3.2. Discontinuation Criteria Related to the Patient

Patients will be advised in the informed consent forms that they have the right to withdraw from the study at any time without prejudice, and they may be withdrawn at the investigator's discretion at any time. In the event that a patient drops out of the study or is withdrawn, the withdrawal/study termination page in the CRF should be completed. On the withdrawal page, the investigator should record the date of the withdrawal, the person who initiated withdrawal and the reason for withdrawal. Reasonable effort should be made to contact any patient lost to follow-up during the course of the study in order to complete assessments and retrieve any outstanding data and study supplies.

Withdrawn by the Investigator due to:

study will be discontinued.

- Lack of efficacy
- Adverse event
- Consent withdrawn
- Lost to follow-up
- · Administrative reasons

The patient or his/her representative requested withdrawal due to:

- Adverse event (for which the investigator did not consider removal from the study)
- Perceived insufficient therapeutic effect

Withdrawal of consent for any other reason (data recorded until withdrawal will be kept in the database if not explicitly denied by the patient)

7.4. Randomization, Blinding and Unblinding

This is a single-blinded study. Communication is forbidden between assessments and the person who gives the treatment.



Patients meeting the inclusion and exclusion criteria will be randomly assigned to receive either active treatment or control treatment based on the time of their enrollment in the study. The first two patients enrolled will receive active treatment, the third patient will receive placebo. This allocation scheme shall be continued until 60 patients per indication group have been enrolled.

8. INVESTIGATIONAL PRODUCTS

The regular marketable merchandise of N-Pep-12 (Cebrium) capsules will be obtained from pharmaceutical wholesalers in Romania.

8.1. Name and Description of the Investigational Product(s)

N-Pep-12 (Cebrium)

For detailed information (Instructions for Use) on these products, please refer to Appendix 1.

8.1.1. Dosage, Formulations and administration

Active Treatment: N-Pep-12 (90 mg) capsules will be administered once per day per os

8.2. Packaging and Labeling

Regular marketable merchandise will be used. Because this is a single-blinded study, no specific labeling is required.

8.3. Storage

The study medication will be stored at room temperature in a dry place.

8.4. Investigational Product Accountability and Destruction

Not applicable.



9. CONCOMITANT THERAPY

There will be no restrictions on concomitant treatments or therapies for the study participants. However, any concomitant treatment or therapy will be recorded in the patient's CRF.

10. DEFINITION OF THE PRIMARY AND SECONDARY VARIABLES

Five neuro-psychological scales will be used in stroke patients: MoCA, HADS, CTT, DS and PSI. These scales have been established as reliable and valid and have been used extensively in other clinical trials on stroke and cognitive impairment (Quinn T.J., 2011; Aben I., 2002; Pendlebury S. T., 2013; Poon W., 2015).

10.1. Primary Variables

MoCA

The mini-mental state examination (MMSE) is a brief 30-point questionnaire test that is used to screen for cognitive impairment. It is commonly used in medicine to screen for dementia. It is also used to estimate the severity of cognitive impairment at a given point in time and to follow the course of cognitive changes in an individual over time, thus making it an effective method to document an individual's response to treatment.

. Processing Speed Index, Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, Third Edition

The Processing Speed Index (PSI) assesses skills such as focusing attention and quick scanning as well as discriminating between and sequentially ordering visual information. It requires persistence and planning ability, but it is sensitive to motivation, difficulty working under time pressure, and motor coordination as well. It is also related to reading, mathematical, and memory skills. Cultural factors seem to have little impact on processing

Ischemic Stroke or Traumatic Brain Injury

speed. Processing Speed (PS) refers to the speed at which cognitive processes can be

Digit Span, Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale, Third Edition

The Digit Span task exercises a patient's verbal working memory. Attention and comprehension also contribute to performance. The digit span task is a common component of many IQ tests, including the widely used WAIS (Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scales). Performance on the digit span task is also closely linked to language learning abilities. The procedures for this assessment of working memory are considered standard. A list of numbers is read out loud at a rate of one number per second, and the participant is then asked to recall the numbers in order. The first list consists of three numbers and increases until the person begins to make errors. Lists with recognizable patterns (e.g., 1, 3, 5, 7, and 9) should be avoided, as people may remember these numbers more easily. At the end of each sequence, the participant is asked to the recall items in order. The average adult can remember a sequence of seven numbers, plus or minus two. This test can be distributed both backwards and forwards. Scores are thought to correlate with age and not intelligence.

· Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale

The Hospital Anxiety and Depression Scale (HADS) is commonly used to determine a patient's levels of anxiety and depression. It uses a 10-point scale such that if a patient scores the lowest possible value of 1, he/she is considered to possibly need clinical psychiatric treatment. If the patient scores the maximum number of points (10), he/she will be considered clinically stable. These points are determined by a series of questions asked by a clinician. Certain questions are geared towards anxiety, while others are geared toward depression. The patient is asked to provide answers regarding his/her feelings during the past week. While answering the questions, the patient should answer with immediate reactions, thus giving a more accurate representation of his/her feelings.

Color Trails Test

performed.

The Color Trails Test (CTT) was developed to meet the need for a test with the sensitivity and specificity of the standard Trail Making Test (TMT) but that was as free as possible from the influences of language and cultural bias. The CTT retains the psychometric proprieties of the standard TMT, but the CTT substitutes the use of color for the use of English alphabet letters, making it more suitable in cross-cultural and other special needs contexts.

10.2. Secondary Variables

Eye Tracking

Eye movements will be recorded by a human infrared eye tracking system (Tobii TX300) with 300 Hz temporal resolution. The target stimulus, which will be created in Toby Studio program, will be presented on a computer screen 40 cm from the subject, in a darkened room. Before testing, an eyechart will be used to verify that all subjects will have a normal or corrected-to-normal vision. Calibration based on 9 points, including center and peripheral, will be performed before each session, which also will ensured that all subjects will have a full range of oculomotor movement.

• 32 Channel – qEEG

Continuous qEEG recordings will be performed in both resting conditions and cognitive tasks, during the following sequences: 1) eyes open – 5 minutes; 2) eye close – 5 minutes; 3) cognitive tests – 10 minutes; 4) eyes open -5 minutes; 5) eyes closed - 5 minutes. Will be used scalp electrodes fixed in an elastic cap, located according to the international 10–10 system and electrode impedances will be keep below 5 k Ω . qEEG data will be recorded using 32 channels.

10.3. Source Documents

Variable	Source document
Informed consent	Informed consent form
Patient's data (e.g., demographics: sex, age, weight, indication, concomitant	
diseases, medical history, concomitant medication)	



Variable	Source document
Vital signs	CRF
Outcome variables (evaluation scales)	CRF
Patient safety data	CRF

11. ASSESSING AND REPORTING OF ADVERSE EVENTS

Throughout the course of the clinical study, particular attention will be paid to the adverse events and adverse drug reactions mentioned below.

11.1. Adverse Events (AE)

An adverse event (AE) is any untoward medical occurrence in a patient or subject of clinical investigation administered a pharmaceutical product and that does not necessarily have a causal relationship with this treatment. An AE can therefore be any unfavorable and unintended sign (including an abnormal laboratory finding), symptom, or disease temporally associated with the use of an investigational product, whether or not it is related.

11.2. Adverse Drug Reaction (ADR)

All untoward and unintended responses to an investigational product related to any application/dose administered, i.e., having a reasonable causal relationship as judged by the Investigator. This means that there is evidence or argument to suggest a causal relationship.

11.3. Serious Adverse Event or Serious Adverse Reaction (SAE/SAR)

An adverse drug reaction is considered SERIOUS if it:

- Results in death
- · Is life threatening
- Requires additional inpatient hospitalization or prolongation of existing hospitalization
- · Results in persistent or significant disability / incapacity
- Results in a congenital anomaly or birth defect

Deleted: serious/
Deleted: S/



 Other medically significant event that requires immediate medical or surgical intervention

An adverse drug reaction is considered UNEXPECTED if it:

· Is not consistent with the Investigators' Brochure or SPC

CAUSAL RELATIONSHIP means:

- · There are facts/evidence to suggest a causal relationship
- As judged by the reporting health care professional to have a reasonably suspected causal relationship

Expedited Reporting is required if all of the following criteria apply (ICH E2A):

- Serious
- Unexpected
- · Causal relationship to study treatment

NOTE

Death: is the outcome of an adverse event. The event to be reported comprehensively is the medical condition leading to death, e.g., underlying disease or accident.

Life-threatening: in the definition of a serious adverse event or adverse reaction, "life-threatening" refers to an event in which the patient was at risk of death at the time of the event; it does not refer to an event that hypothetically might have caused death if it was more severe.

Medical judgment should be exercised in deciding whether an adverse event/reaction is serious in other situations. Important adverse events/reactions that are not immediately life-threatening or do not result in death or hospitalization but may jeopardize the patient or may require intervention to prevent one of the other outcomes listed in the definition above should also be considered serious.

11.4. Suspected Expected Serious Adverse Reaction (SESAR)

Any adverse reaction that is classified as serious in nature and that is consistent with the available information on the medicinal product in question according to:

- a. In the case of a licensed product, the summary of product characteristics (SPC) for that product
- b. In the case of any other investigational medicinal product, the Investigator's Brochure (IB) relating to the study in question

11.5. Suspected Unexpected Serious Adverse Reaction (SUSAR)

Any adverse reaction that is classified as serious in nature and that is **not** consistent with the available information on the medicinal product in question according to:

- a. In the case of a licensed product, the SPC for that product
- b. In the case of any other investigational medicinal product, the IB relating to the study in question

11.6. Recording of Adverse Events

All adverse events, whether they are considered serious or not, will be documented and applicably reported according to previously provided definitions.

The investigator must report in detail all adverse signs and symptoms that are either volunteered by patients or observed during or following the course of Investigational Product administration on the appropriate CRF page.

Included in the description should be the nature of the sign or symptom; the date of onset; date of resolution (duration); the severity/intensity; the relationship to the study treatment or other therapy; the action taken (if any); and the outcome.

11.6.1. Definition of Adverse Event Intensity

Intensity	Definition
Mild	Patient is aware of signs and symptoms but they are easily tolerated
Moderate	Signs/symptoms cause sufficient discomfort to interfere with usual activities
Severe	Patient is incapable to work or perform usual activities

Commented [A2]: De inlocuit " medicinal product" cu "product" peste tot in document

11.6.2. Definition of Adverse Event causality

Based on the WHO-UMC system for standardized case causality assessment (www.who-umc.org), the following categories will be used to describe the degree of causality (all points should reasonably comply):

Definite

- Event or laboratory test abnormality, with plausible time relationship to drug intake
- Cannot be explained by disease or other drugs
- Response to withdrawal plausible (pharmacologically, pathologically)
- Event is definitive pharmacologically or phenomenologically (i.e., an objective and specific medical disorder or a recognized pharmacological phenomenon)
- Re-challenge satisfactory, if necessary

Probable

- Event or laboratory test abnormality, with reasonable time relationship to drug intake
- Unlikely to be attributed to disease or other drugs
- Response to withdrawal clinically reasonable (for details refer to WHO-UMC)
- Re-challenge not required

Possible

- Event or laboratory test abnormality, with reasonable time relationship to drug intake
- Could also be explained by disease or other drugs
- Information on drug withdrawal may be lacking or unclear

Unlikely

- Event or laboratory test abnormality, with a time to drug intake that makes a relationship improbable (but not impossible)
- Disease or other drugs provide plausible explanations

Not related

Commented [A3]: " drug" trebuie inlocuit peste tot in document cu "product"



The event does not follow a reasonable temporal sequence from administration of the IMP and is clearly related to other factors, such as clinical state, therapeutic intervention or concomitant therapy.

Not assessable

- Report suggesting an adverse reaction
- Cannot be judged because information is insufficient or contradictory
- Data cannot be supplemented or verified

All cases judged as having a "reasonable causal relationship" to the IMP qualify as ADR. This corresponds to the categories "definite," "probable" and "possible".

11.7. Reporting of Serious Adverse Events

All serious adverse reactions and all unexpected serious adverse reactions with at least a suspicion of a causal relationship to the investigational product must be reported to the manufacturer within 24 hours (one working day) of the Investigator first becoming aware.

Fatal or life-threatening SUSARs must be reported to the Ethics Committee and relevant regulatory bodies within 7 days; all other SUSARs must be reported within 15 days of knowledge if no other timelines are set out in the local drug law.

11.8. Adverse Event/Reaction follow-up procedures

Adverse events/reactions will be followed-up throughout the course of the clinical study.

12. STUDY SCHEDULE

12.1. Procedures at Each Visit

Visit 1: Screening/Baseline - 30-120 days from stroke onset

Patients will be informed about the study and an Informed consent will be obtained before any study-specific procedures will be carried out. Inclusion/exclusion criteria will be assessed and documented in the CRF. Patients who provide an informed consent and who meet all inclusion/exclusion criteria will be included in the study, and a randomization code will be assigned based on the time of inclusion and the diagnosis. After randomization, the medical history, vital signs, and patient demographic data as well as any concomitant medication will be measured or retrieved and recorded in the CRF. The baseline tests for all efficacy parameters (primary and secondary) will then be administered, and the results will be recorded in the CRF. Treatment with the study drug will be initiated with the first dose, and patients will receive the study drug for the first 30 days of treatment.

Visit 2: 30 days from baseline

Vital signs will be taken, and changes in any of the concomitant medications will be recorded in the CRF. Any adverse events reported by the patient or noted by the investigator will be assessed and recorded in the CRF. Compliance with the study medication will be checked and recorded in the CRF. Tests for primary and secondary efficacy parameters will be administered and recorded. Patients will receive the study drug for the next 60 days of treatment. The visit will take place at a hospital or clinic.

VISIT 3: 90 days from baseline

Vital signs will be taken, and changes in any of the concomitant medications will be recorded in the CRF. Any adverse events reported by the patient or noted by the investigator will be assessed and recorded in the CRF. Compliance with the study medication will be checked and recorded in the CRF. Tests for primary and secondary efficacy parameters will be administered and recorded.

12.2. Tabular Overview of Assessments

Visit 1 - Screening &	Visit 2 – Efficacy Evaluation	Visit 3 – Efficacy Evaluation
Baseline		primary endpoint
Informed Consent		
Inclusion Criteria		



Exclusion Criteria		
Patient Demographics		
Medical History	Changes in Medical History	Changes in Medical History
Concomitant Medication	Changes in Concomitant	Changes in Concomitant
	Medication	Medication
Vital Signs	Vital Signs	Vital Signs
Primary Efficacy Parameters	Primary Efficacy Parameters	Primary Efficacy Parameters
Secondary Efficacy Parameters	Secondary Efficacy	Secondary Efficacy
	Parameters	Parameters
Adverse Events	Adverse Events	Adverse Events
	Compliance with Study Drug	Compliance with Study Drug



13. STATISTICS

13.1. Statistical methods

The study data will be	analyzed and the	statistical rep	port written a	as soon as	all study o	lata are
entered into the study	database and the	entered data	are validate	ed.		

[....

Commented [A4]: o descriere detaliata ar fi recomandata

14. ACCESS TO SOURCE DATA / DOCUMENTS

The investigators will permit study-related monitoring, audits, IRB/IEC review and regulatory inspections, providing direct access to primary patient data (i.e., source data), which support the data on the CRFs for the study.

14.1. Source Data

Source data are defined as all information in the original records and certified copies of original records of clinical findings, observations or other activities in a clinical study necessary for the reconstruction and evaluation of the study. Source data are contained in source documents (original records or certified copies).

14.2. Source Documents

Source documents are defined as original documents, data and records (e.g., hospital records, clinical and office charts, laboratory notes, memoranda, patient diaries or evaluation checklists, pharmacy dispensing records, recorded data from automated instruments, copies or manuscripts certified after verification as being accurate copies, microfiches, photographic



negatives, microfilm or magnetic media, patient files, records kept at the pharmacy laboratories and at medical/technical departments involved in the clinical study).

14.3. Direct Access

Direct access is defined as the permission to examine, analyze, verify and reproduce any records and reports that are important to the evaluation of a clinical study. Any party (e.g., domestic and foreign regulatory authorities, study monitors, auditors) with direct access should take all reasonable precautions within the constraints of the applicable regulatory requirements to maintain the confidentiality of the patients' identities and the sponsor's proprietary information.



15. QUALITY CONTROL AND QUALITY ASSURANCE

15.1. Quality Control

Quality control is defined as the operational techniques and activities, such as monitoring, undertaken within the quality assurance system to verify that the requirements for the quality of the study-related activities have been fulfilled. Quality control should be applied to each stage of data handling to ensure that all data are reliable and have been processed correctly.

15.2. Study Monitoring

The study coordinator will visit the investigational site, at regular intervals to verify adherence to the protocol and local legal requirements and to assist the investigator in his study-related activities.

Deleted: s

15.3. Quality Assurance

Quality Assurance is defined as the planned and systematic actions that are established to ensure that the study is performed and the data are generated, documented (recorded) and reported in compliance with good clinical practice (GCP) and the applicable regulatory requirements.

15.4. Audit

An independent audit at the study site may take place at any time during or after the study if considered necessary by mutual agreement of the principal investigator and the study coordinator.

16. ETHICAL AND LEGAL CONSIDERATIONS

16.1. Independent Ethics Committee (IEC) / Institutional Review Board (IRB)

IEC/ IRB approval will be obtained before the start of the study.

16.2. Informed Consent



Patients will be informed about the study procedures and potential risks and benefits of the study. Their consent to participate in this study will be obtained before any study-specific procedures are carried out. A sample informed consent form is provided in Appendix 2.

16.3. Modification of Protocol

Modifications of the protocol will require the mutual agreement of the principal investigator and the study coordinator. Necessary protocol amendments will be documented and submitted to the appropriate IEC for approval. The only exceptions are when changes are necessary to eliminate an immediate hazard to study patients, or when the changes involve only logistical or administrative aspects of the study.

16.4. Conduct of Study

This clinical study will be conducted in accordance with the current version of the Declaration of Helsinki. It will be conducted in compliance with this protocol, good clinical practice (2001/20/ EEC, CPMP/ICH/135/95), and with local laws and regulations relevant to the use of investigational medicinal products in the country of conduct.

16.5. Personal Data and Data Protection

All data obtained in the context of the clinical study are subject to data protection. The patient's name in addition to other data related to persons (excluding date of birth/age and sex) are not to be disclosed by the investigator or the investigating physicians. The latter will ensure that the case report forms or other documents contain no names, but other identifiers (patient's number and date of birth). The storage of data for statistical assessment shall be performed under the patient's identifier. Only the Investigator and the investigating physicians can assign the identifier to the personal data.

16.6. Data Handling and Record Keeping

16.6.1. Completion of Case Report Forms

Any data to be recorded directly into the CRFs will be identified at the start of the study.



and Psychophysiological Assessment of the Effects of N-Pep-12 on Neurorecovery in Patients after Ischemic Stroke or Traumatic Brain Injury

The investigator will ensure the accuracy, completeness, legibility and timeliness of data reported in the CRF and all required reports. Any change or correction to a paper CRF must be dated, initialed and explained and must not obscure the original entry. Data reported on the CRF that are derived from source documents should be consistent with the source documents, or the discrepancies should be explained. Within two weeks after the completion of each patient, the investigator should agree to have completed and signed CRFs available for full inspection by the clinical monitor.

16.6.2. Archiving

On termination of the study, the study documents are to be filed and stored at the RoNeuro Institute. The informed consent forms and all the original (raw) data are to be retained by the principal investigator of the clinical study or the investigating physicians for at least 15 years.

16.7. Confidentiality

The aim and contents of the study, in addition to its results, are to be treated as confidential by all persons involved in the clinical study.

16.8. Responsibilities

The responsibilities of the clinical study personnel regarding the handling of data, storage of data, planning, assessment and quality assurance are regulated by the recommendations of good clinical practice of the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) and apply to this clinical study.

FINAL REPORT AND PUBLICATION POLICY

The principal investigator and the study coordinator must agree on the final study report. The latter will be signed by the investigator, the investigating physicians involved and the study coordinator. It is intended that the results of the study will be published as scientific literature.



18. REFERENCES

- Aben I, Verhey F, Lousberg R, Lodder J, Honig A. Validity of the beck depression inventory, hospital anxiety and depression scale, SCL-90, and hamilton depression rating scale as screening instruments for depression in stroke patients. Psychosomatics. 2002;43:386-93.
- Alvarez XA, Lombardi V, Corzo L, Pe´rez P, Pichel V, Laredo M, Hernandez A, Freixeiro F, Sanpedro C, Lorenzo R, Alcaraz M, Windisch M, Cacabelos R 2000. Oral Cerebrolysin enhances brain alpha activity and improves cognitive performance in elderly control subjects. J Neural Transm Suppl 59:315–328
- 3. Alvarez XA, Sanpedro C, Perez P, Laredo M, Cruceiro V, et al. Positive effects of cerebrolysin on electroencephalogram slowing, cognition and clinical outcome in patients with postacute traumatic brain injury: an exploratory study. 2003. Int Clin Psychopharmacol 18:271–278
- 4. Alvarez XA, Sampedro C, Figueroa J, Tellado I, González A, et al. Reductions in qEEG slowing over 1 year and after treatment with Cerebrolysin in patients with moderate-severe traumatic brain injury. J Neural Transm. 2008;115:683-92.
- Brott T, Adams HP Jr, Olinger CP, Marler JR, Barsan WG, Biller J, Spilker J, Holleran R, Eberle R, Hertzberg V, et al (1989): Measurements of acute cerebral infarction: a clinical examination scale. Stroke;20(7):864-870
- Caviness JN, Hentz JG, Belden CM, Shill HA, Driver-Dunckley ED et al. Longitudinal EEG changes correlate with cognitive measure deterioration in Parkinson's disease. J Parkinsons Dis. 2015;5:117-24.
- Chen CC, Hsu CY, Chiu HW, Hu CJ, Lee TC. Frequency power and coherence of electroencephalography are correlated with the severity of Alzheimer's disease: A multicenter analysis in Taiwan. J Formos Med Assoc. 2013.
- 8. Cifu DX, Hoke KW, Wetzel PA, Wares JR, Gitchel G, Carne W. Effects of hyperbaric oxygen on eye tracking abnormalities in males after mild traumatic brain injury. J Rehabil Res Dev. 2014;51:1047-56.
- 9. Cifu DX, Wares JR, Hoke KW, Wetzel PA, Gitchel G, Carne W. Differential eye movements in mild traumatic brain injury versus normal controls. J Head Trauma Rehabil. 2015;30:21-8.



- Douiri A, Rudd AG, Wolfe CD. Prevalence of poststroke cognitive impairment: South London Stroke Register 1995-2010. Stroke 2013;44:138-45.
- 11. Gutiérrez Pérez C, Savborg M, Pahlman U, et al. High frequency of cognitive dysfunction before stroke among older people. Int J Geriatr Psychiatry 2011;26:622-9.
- 12. Jellinger KA. Pathology and pathogenesis of vascular cognitive impairment-a critical update. Front Aging Neurosci. 2013;5:17.
- Maas, A.I.R., Steyerberg, E.W., Marmarou, A., McHugh, G.S., Lingsma, H.F., Butcher, I., Lu, J., Weir, J., Roozenbeek, B., and Murray, G.D. (2010). IMPACT recommendations for improving the design and analysis of clinical trials in moderate to severe traumatic brain injury. Neurotherapeutics 7, 127-134.
- 14. Mahoney FI, Barthel DW (1965): Functional Evaluation: The Barthel Index. Md State Med J. 1965 Feb;14:61-5.
- 15. Munoz DP. Commentary: saccadic eye movements: overview of neural circuitry. Prog Brain Res. 2002;140:89-96.
- 16. Neto E, Allen EA, Aurlien H, Nordby H, Eichele T. EEG Spectral Features Discriminate between Alzheimer's and Vascular Dementia. Front Neurol. 2015;6:25.
- 17. Pendlebury ST, Mariz J, Bull L, Mehta Z, Rothwell PM. Impact of different operational definitions on mild cognitive impairment rate and MMSE and MoCA performance in transient ischaemic attack and stroke. Cerebrovasc Dis. 2013;36:355-62.
- 18. Poon W, Vos P, Muresanu D, Vester J, von Wild K, et al. Cerebrolysin asian pacific trial in acute brain injury and neurorecovery: design and methods. J Neurotrauma. 2015;32:571-80.
- 19. Rabinowitz AR, Levin HS. Cognitive sequelae of traumatic brain injury. Psychiatr Clin North Am. 2014 Mar;37(1):1-11.
- 20. Sachdev PS, Brodaty H, Valenzuela MJ, Lorentz L, Looi JC, Wen W, Zagami AS (2004) The neuropsychological profile of vascular cognitive impairment in stroke and TIA patients. Neurology 62:912-919.
- 21. Seligman SC, Giovannetti T. The Potential Utility of Eye Movements in the Detection and Characterization of Everyday Functional Difficulties in Mild Cognitive Impairment. Neuropsychol Rev. 2015
- 22. Sörös P, Harnadek M, Blake T, Hachinski V, Chan R. Executive dysfunction in patients with transient ischemic attack and minor stroke. J Neurol Sci. 2015.



- 23. Sun JH, Tan L, Yu JT. Post-stroke cognitive impairment: epidemiology, mechanisms and management. Ann Transl Med. 2014;2:80.
- 24. Van Swieten JC, Koudstaal PJ, Visser MC, Schouten HJ, van Gijn J. (1988): Interobserver agreement for the assessment of handicap in stroke patients. Stroke;19(5):604-7.
- 25. Wen D, Zhou Y, Li X. A critical review: coupling and synchronization analysis methods of EEG signal with mild cognitive impairment. Front Aging Neurosci. 2015;7:54.
- 26. Quinn TJ, Langhorne P, Stott DJ. Barthel index for stroke trials: development, properties, and application. Stroke. 2011;42:1146-51.vor



19. SIGNATURES

The undersigned have read this protocol and agreed to conduct this study in accordance with all stipulations of the protocol and in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Date:	Signature:
	Principal Investigator
	Co-Investigator
	Study Coordinator
	Study Coordinator



APPENDICES

Appendix 1 Investigational Products - Instructions for Use

Appendix 2 Informed Consent Form

Commented [A5]: Si acest document trebuie revizuit pentru a ne asigura ca este aliniat cu prevederile GCP.