

Participant Flow

	Number (n)
Screened patients	154
Selected patients	152
Randomised patients	132
Patients who normally ended the study	128
Dropped-out patients	4

Number (n)	TOTAL
Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Treatment Solution	44
Fungal Nail Treatment Pen	44
Loceryl 5%	44

Baseline Characteristics

Demographic characteristics			
	Safety population		
	Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Treatment Solution	Fungal Nail Treatment Pen	Loceryl®
Age			
N=	44	44	44
mean (SD)	44.50 (13.74)	46.57 (12.91)	45.61 (13.03)
SEM	2.07	1.95	1.96
median	45.00	48.50	43.50
min ; max	22.0 ; 69.0	23.0 ; 69.0	21.0 ; 68.0
Sex			
N=	44	44	44
F	25 (56.8%)	27 (61.4%)	24 (54.5%)
M	19 (43.2%)	17 (38.6%)	20 (45.5%)
Treated Zone			
N=	44	44	44
Foot	37 (84.1%)	38 (86.4%)	38 (86.4%)
Hand	7 (15.9%)	6 (13.6%)	6 (13.6%)
Selected Nail			
N=	44	44	44
#3 toenail (toenail)	1 (2.3%)		
Great Toenail (toenail)	36 (81.8%)	38 (86.4%)	38 (86.4%)
Index (fingernail)	2 (4.5%)	1 (2.3%)	
Middle finger (fingernail)		1 (2.3%)	1 (2.3%)
Ring finger (fingernail)	1 (2.3%)		1 (2.3%)
Small finger (fingernail)			2 (4.5%)
Thumb (fingernail)	4 (9.1%)	4 (9.1%)	2 (4.5%)

Outcome Measures

		% of healthy surface	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen
D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)
	Mean	62.98%	59.08%
	SD	22.78%	24.23%
	median	69.21%	64.34%
	min ; max	0.00% ; 91.70%	3.79% ; 93.84%
D180	N (miss)	42 (1)	44 (0)
	Mean	72.28%	72.89%
	SD	22.33%	17.62%
	median	73.93%	70.83%
	min ; max	0.00% ; 99.55%	40.98% ; 99.38%

Table 1. Descriptive statistics for percentage of healthy nail surface at D0 and D180 for both investigational medical devices.

		% of healthy surface	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	9.57% (22.14%)	13.81% (20.99%)
	95% CI	(2.67% ; 16.47%)	(7.42% ; 20.19%)
	Test	Student	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0077	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes

Table 2. Change from baseline in percentage of healthy nail surface (compared to study end= D180).

		% of healthy surface		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
		ITT	ITT	ITT
D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	Mean	62.98%	59.08%	58.31%
	SD	22.78%	24.23%	22.22%
	median	69.21%	64.34%	63.28%
	min ; max	0.00% ; 91.70%	3.79% ; 93.84%	0.00% ; 91.53%
D7	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	Mean	68.56%	66.43%	64.59%
	SD	21.54%	21.25%	22.70%
	median	75.14%	69.34%	69.47%
	min ; max	0.00% ; 92.91%	9.01% ; 97.16%	3.91% ; 96.37%
D30	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	42 (2)
	Mean	72.59%	72.67%	69.29%

		% of healthy surface		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
		ITT	ITT	ITT
	SD	20.53%	19.14%	23.96%
	median	76.88%	74.89%	78.84%
	min ; max	0.00% ; 95.72%	9.75% ; 97.66%	8.17% ; 97.08%
D90	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	43 (1)
	Mean	71.27%	72.46%	71.08%
	SD	24.01%	18.63%	20.69%
	median	74.93%	73.45%	71.96%
	min ; max	13.39% ; 100.0%	27.26% ; 99.04%	33.98% ; 100.0%
D180	N (miss)	42 (1)	44 (0)	42 (2)
	Mean	72.28%	72.89%	75.48%
	SD	22.33%	17.62%	21.02%
	median	73.93%	70.83%	77.07%
	min ; max	0.00% ; 99.55%	40.98% ; 99.38%	25.94% ; 99.74%

Table 3: Percentage of healthy nail surface at all time points for both investigational medical devices and comparator.

		% of healthy surface		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
		ITT	ITT	ITT
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	5.58% (9.01%)	7.35% (10.33%)	6.27% (10.99%)
	95% CI	(2.80% ; 8.35%)	(4.21% ; 10.49%)	(2.93% ; 9.62%)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	8.9%	12.4%	10.8%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	42 (2)
	mean (SD)	9.61% (15.34%)	13.59% (13.64%)	10.88% (15.09%)
	95% CI	(4.89% ; 14.33%)	(9.45% ; 17.74%)	(6.18% ; 15.58%)
	Test	Student	Wilcoxon	Student
	p-value	0.0002	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	15.3%	23.0%	18.8%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	8.29% (22.32%)	13.49% (21.34%)	12.24% (15.93%)
	95% CI	(1.42% ; 15.16%)	(6.92% ; 20.06%)	(7.34% ; 17.14%)
	Test	Student	Student	Student
	p-value	0.0193	0.0002	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	13.2%	22.6%	21.9%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	44 (0)	42 (2)
	mean (SD)	9.57% (22.14%)	13.81% (20.99%)	15.76% (19.36%)
	95% CI	(2.67% ; 16.47%)	(7.42% ; 20.19%)	(9.73% ; 21.79%)
	Test	Student	Wilcoxon	Student
	p-value	0.0077	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	14.8%	23.4%	29.4%

Table 4: Change from baseline in percentage of healthy nail surface at each time-point.

		% of healthy surface	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
		ITT	ITT
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.70% (10.06%)	1.08% (10.66%)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.9898	0.7417
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-1.9%	1.7%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	-1.27% (15.21%)	2.71% (14.36%)
	Test	Unpaired Student	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.7003	0.6501
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-3.6%	4.2%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	-3.95% (19.39%)	1.25% (18.83%)
	Test	Unpaired Student	Unpaired Student
	p-value	0.3474	0.7588
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-8.7%	0.8%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	-6.19% (20.79%)	-1.96% (20.21%)
	Test	Unpaired Student	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1760	0.5482
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-14.7%	-6.1%

Table 5: Comparison of investigational medical devices in percentage of healthy nail surface.

			Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
			N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
Product	D0	N (MV)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
		Positive	43 (100.0%)	44 (100.0%)	44 (100.0%)
		Negative	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
	D90	N (MV)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
		Positive	16 (37.2%)	16 (37.2%)	14 (31.8%)
		Negative	27 (62.8%)	27 (62.8%)	30 (68.2%)
		versus Loceryl® (Chi-square test)	p=0.5969	p=0.5969	
	D180	N (MV)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
		Positive	11 (26.2%)	8 (19.0%)	13 (29.5%)
		Negative	31 (73.8%)	34 (81.0%)	31 (70.5%)
		versus Loceryl® (Chi-square test)	p=0.7288	p=0.2573	

Table 6. Comparison of the proportion of subjects with positive KOH staining at D90 and D180.

		OSI score		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
		N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
D0	N (MV)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	Cured	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
	Mild onychomycosis	9 (20.9%)	9 (20.5%)	8 (18.2%)
	Moderate onychomycosis	25 (58.1%)	28 (63.6%)	24 (54.5%)
	Severe onychomycosis	9 (20.9%)	7 (15.9%)	12 (27.3%)
	versus Loceryl® (Chi-square test)	p=0.7802	p=0.4312	
D7	N (MV)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	Cured	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)	0 (0.0%)
	Mild onychomycosis	13 (30.2%)	11 (25.0%)	11 (25.0%)
	Moderate onychomycosis	21 (48.8%)	26 (59.1%)	22 (50.0%)
	Severe onychomycosis	9 (20.9%)	6 (13.6%)	11 (25.0%)
	versus Loceryl® (Chi-square test)	p=0.8276	p=0.4229	
D30	N (MV)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	Cured	2 (4.7%)	2 (4.5%)	1 (2.3%)
	Mild onychomycosis	18 (41.9%)	23 (52.3%)	15 (34.1%)
	Moderate onychomycosis	15 (34.9%)	17 (38.6%)	18 (40.9%)
	Severe onychomycosis	8 (18.6%)	2 (4.5%)	10 (22.7%)
	versus Loceryl® (Chi-square test)	p=0.7796	p=0.0607	
D90	N (MV)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	Cured	6 (14.0%)	9 (20.5%)	4 (9.1%)
	Mild onychomycosis	23 (53.5%)	24 (54.5%)	22 (50.0%)
	Moderate onychomycosis	11 (25.6%)	10 (22.7%)	13 (29.5%)
	Severe onychomycosis	3 (7.0%)	1 (2.3%)	5 (11.4%)
	versus Loceryl® (Chi-square test)	p=0.7825	p=0.1669	
D180	N (MV)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	Cured	13 (30.2%)	19 (43.2%)	9 (20.5%)
	Mild onychomycosis	21 (48.8%)	17 (38.6%)	21 (47.7%)
	Moderate onychomycosis	8 (18.6%)	7 (15.9%)	10 (22.7%)
	Severe onychomycosis	1 (2.3%)	1 (2.3%)	4 (9.1%)
	versus Loceryl® (Chi-square test)	p=0.4337	p=0.0970	

Table 7: Distribution of the onychomycosis severity index global score at each time point for each group.

		Onycholysis		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.12 (0.59)	-0.20 (0.59)	-0.09 (0.29)
	95% CI	(-0.30 ; 0.06)	(-0.39 ; -0.02)	(-0.18 ; -0.00)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.3125	0.0313	0.1250
	Statistically significant	No	Yes	No
	Change in %	-6.4%	-11.5%	-5.2%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.47 (1.01)	-0.60 (0.90)	-0.48 (0.79)
	95% CI	(-0.78 ; -0.15)	(-0.88 ; -0.33)	(-0.72 ; -0.24)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0035	<.0001	0.0002
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-25.6%	-33.1%	-27.3%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.93 (1.01)	-1.35 (1.27)	-0.93 (1.02)
	95% CI	(-1.24 ; -0.62)	(-1.74 ; -0.96)	(-1.24 ; -0.62)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-51.3%	-75.1%	-53.2%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.29 (1.25)	-1.60 (1.47)	-1.23 (1.14)
	95% CI	(-1.68 ; -0.89)	(-2.05 ; -1.14)	(-1.57 ; -0.88)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-68.5%	-87.9%	-70.1%

Table 8: Change from baseline in Onycholysis score.

		Onycholysis	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.03 (0.46)	-0.11 (0.47)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.6858	0.4714
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-1.2%	-6.3%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	0.01 (0.91)	-0.13 (0.85)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.9739	0.4555
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	1.6%	-5.8%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	0.00 (1.02)	-0.42 (1.15)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.9249	0.1386
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	2.0%	-21.8%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	-0.06 (1.20)	-0.37 (1.31)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.7072	0.2279
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	1.6%	-17.8%

Table 9. Comparison of products in Onycholysis score

		Dystrophy	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.14 (0.39)	0.00 (0.30)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0328	0.6774
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	-5.0%	-0.1%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	-0.43 (0.76)	-0.33 (0.83)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0056	0.0147
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-15.0%	-12.8%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	-0.26 (1.01)	-0.14 (1.08)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1979	0.2963
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-8.1%	-7.5%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	-0.43 (1.12)	-0.07 (1.11)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0698	0.4487
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-13.1%	-6.7%

Table 10. Comparison of products in Dystrophy score.

		Dystrophy		
		ITT		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.16 (0.43)	-0.02 (0.26)	-0.02 (0.34)
	95% CI	(-0.30 ; -0.03)	(-0.10 ; 0.06)	(-0.13 ; 0.08)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0313	1.0000	1.0000
	Statistically significant	Yes	No	No
	Change in %	-5.9%	-0.9%	-0.8%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.79 (0.74)	-0.70 (0.89)	-0.36 (0.78)
	95% CI	(-1.02 ; -0.56)	(-0.97 ; -0.42)	(-0.60 ; -0.13)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	0.0044
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-28.6%	-26.4%	-13.6%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.30 (1.04)	-1.19 (1.16)	-1.05 (0.99)
	95% CI	(-1.62 ; -0.98)	(-1.54 ; -0.83)	(-1.35 ; -0.75)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-47.1%	-46.4%	-39.0%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.90 (1.16)	-1.55 (1.15)	-1.48 (1.07)
	95% CI	(-2.27 ; -1.54)	(-1.91 ; -1.19)	(-1.80 ; -1.15)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-68.2%	-61.8%	-55.1%

Table 11. Change from baseline in Dystrophy score.

		Discoloration		
		ITT		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.30 (0.67)	-0.20 (0.46)	-0.02 (0.15)
	95% CI	(-0.51 ; -0.09)	(-0.34 ; -0.06)	(-0.07 ; 0.02)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0054	0.0078	1.0000
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	No
	Change in %	-10.0%	-6.3%	-0.8%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.93 (0.88)	-1.09 (0.75)	-0.52 (0.76)
	95% CI	(-1.20 ; -0.66)	(-1.32 ; -0.86)	(-0.75 ; -0.29)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-30.8%	-34.4%	-17.3%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.40 (0.98)	-2.05 (0.92)	-1.23 (1.03)
	95% CI	(-1.70 ; -1.09)	(-2.33 ; -1.76)	(-1.54 ; -0.91)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-46.2%	-64.0%	-40.6%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-2.05 (1.15)	-2.43 (0.97)	-1.82 (1.15)
	95% CI	(-2.40 ; -1.69)	(-2.73 ; -2.13)	(-2.17 ; -1.47)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-66.9%	-76.4%	-60.2%

Table 12: Change from baseline in discolouration score.

		Discoloration	
		ITT	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.28 (0.49)	-0.18 (0.34)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0062	0.0144
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-9.2%	-5.6%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	-0.41 (0.82)	-0.57 (0.76)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0120	0.0002
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-13.5%	-17.1%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	-0.17 (1.01)	-0.82 (0.98)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.4584	0.0004
	Statistically significant	No	Yes
	Change in %	-5.6%	-23.4%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	-0.23 (1.15)	-0.61 (1.06)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.3138	0.0126
	Statistically significant	No	Yes
	Change in %	-6.8%	-16.2%

Table 13. Comparison of products in discolouration score.

		Nail thickened		
		ITT		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.30 (0.56)	-0.14 (0.35)	-0.09 (0.52)
	95% CI	(-0.47 ; -0.13)	(-0.24 ; -0.03)	(-0.25 ; 0.07)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0010	0.0313	0.5000
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	No
	Change in %	-11.6%	-6.1%	-3.4%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.74 (0.82)	-0.84 (0.84)	-0.55 (0.82)
	95% CI	(-1.00 ; -0.49)	(-1.10 ; -0.58)	(-0.79 ; -0.30)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-28.6%	-37.0%	-20.7%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.44 (0.91)	-1.58 (1.05)	-1.27 (1.17)
	95% CI	(-1.72 ; -1.16)	(-1.91 ; -1.26)	(-1.63 ; -0.92)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-55.4%	-70.0%	-48.3%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.88 (1.06)	-1.81 (1.09)	-1.59 (1.19)
	95% CI	(-2.21 ; -1.55)	(-2.15 ; -1.47)	(-1.95 ; -1.23)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Student
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-71.7%	-78.8%	-60.3%

Table 14. Change from baseline in Nail thickened score

		Nail thickened	
		ITT	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.21 (0.54)	-0.05 (0.44)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0143	0.2165
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	-8.2%	-2.6%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	-0.20 (0.82)	-0.29 (0.83)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.2080	0.0808
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-7.9%	-16.3%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	-0.17 (1.05)	-0.31 (1.11)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.4145	0.2077
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-7.1%	-21.7%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	-0.29 (1.13)	-0.22 (1.14)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.2484	0.3905
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-11.3%	-18.5%

Table 15. Comparison of products in Nail thickened score

		Impaired overall nail appearance (blinded assessor)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.19 (0.55)	-0.07 (0.45)	-0.30 (0.51)
	95% CI	(-0.35 ; -0.02)	(-0.21 ; 0.07)	(-0.45 ; -0.14)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0557	0.5078	0.0010
	Statistically significant	No	No	Yes
	Change in %	-6.8%	-2.5%	-9.9%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.30 (0.64)	-0.31 (0.56)	-0.57 (0.59)
	95% CI	(-0.50 ; -0.11)	(-0.48 ; -0.13)	(-0.75 ; -0.39)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0065	0.0023	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-11.1%	-10.7%	-19.1%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	-0.67 (0.78)	-0.79 (0.77)	-0.91 (0.75)
	95% CI	(-0.91 ; -0.43)	(-1.03 ; -0.55)	(-1.14 ; -0.68)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-24.8%	-28.7%	-29.7%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.98 (0.87)	-1.07 (0.89)	-0.98 (0.82)
	95% CI	(-1.25 ; -0.71)	(-1.35 ; -0.79)	(-1.23 ; -0.73)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-35.2%	-39.9%	-32.8%

Table 16. Change from baseline in Impaired overall nail appearance (blinded assessor) score

		Impaired overall nail appearance (blinded assessor)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	0.11 (0.53)	0.23 (0.48)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.2493	0.0304
	Statistically significant	No	Yes
	Change in %	3.1%	7.5%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	0.27 (0.61)	0.26 (0.57)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0262	0.0270
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	8.0%	8.4%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	0.23 (0.76)	0.12 (0.76)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1211	0.3110
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	4.9%	1.0%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	0.00 (0.84)	-0.09 (0.86)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.8683	0.7609
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-2.4%	-7.1%

Table 17. Comparison between products in Impaired overall nail appearance (blinded assessor) score

		Impaired nail shine (blinded assessor)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.35 (0.84)	-0.39 (0.65)	-0.34 (0.75)
	95% CI	(-0.61 ; -0.09)	(-0.59 ; -0.19)	(-0.57 ; -0.11)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0076	<.0001	0.0034
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-12.8%	-13.5%	-12.3%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.35 (0.72)	-0.60 (0.89)	-0.66 (0.81)
	95% CI	(-0.57 ; -0.13)	(-0.87 ; -0.32)	(-0.90 ; -0.41)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0017	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-12.8%	-20.2%	-23.8%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	-0.81 (0.91)	-1.05 (0.87)	-0.95 (0.97)
	95% CI	(-1.09 ; -0.53)	(-1.31 ; -0.78)	(-1.25 ; -0.65)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-29.9%	-36.7%	-33.7%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.10 (0.91)	-1.43 (1.02)	-1.11 (1.04)
	95% CI	(-1.38 ; -0.81)	(-1.75 ; -1.11)	(-1.43 ; -0.80)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-39.6%	-50.9%	-40.2%

Table 18. Change from baseline in Impaired nail shine (blinded assessor) score

		Impaired nail shine (blinded assessor)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.01 (0.79)	-0.05 (0.70)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.6939	0.9480
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-0.5%	-1.2%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	0.31 (0.76)	0.06 (0.85)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0357	0.4388
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	10.9%	3.6%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	0.14 (0.94)	-0.09 (0.92)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.3375	0.9706
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	3.8%	-2.9%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	0.02 (0.98)	-0.31 (1.03)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.7958	0.2488
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	0.5%	-10.8%

Table 19. Comparison between products in Impaired nail shine (blinded assessor) score

		Impaired nail smoothness (blinded assessor)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.19 (0.91)	-0.25 (0.65)	-0.34 (0.71)
	95% CI	(-0.47 ; 0.09)	(-0.45 ; -0.05)	(-0.56 ; -0.12)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.2367	0.0257	0.0019
	Statistically significant	No	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-7.7%	-9.6%	-13.5%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.23 (0.95)	-0.57 (0.86)	-0.57 (0.87)
	95% CI	(-0.52 ; 0.06)	(-0.84 ; -0.30)	(-0.83 ; -0.30)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.1064	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	No	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-9.6%	-21.7%	-22.5%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	-0.88 (0.96)	-1.12 (0.85)	-1.12 (0.66)
	95% CI	(-1.18 ; -0.59)	(-1.38 ; -0.85)	(-1.32 ; -0.91)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-36.5%	-43.1%	-43.8%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.07 (0.97)	-1.40 (0.83)	-1.23 (0.77)
	95% CI	(-1.37 ; -0.77)	(-1.66 ; -1.15)	(-1.46 ; -0.99)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-43.9%	-55.4%	-48.6%

Table 20. Change from baseline in Impaired nail smoothness (blinded assessor) score

		Impaired nail smoothness (blinded assessor)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	0.15 (0.81)	0.09 (0.68)

		Impaired nail smoothness (blinded assessor)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.2028	0.3171
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	5.8%	3.9%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	0.34 (0.91)	-0.00 (0.87)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0502	0.8415
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	12.9%	0.9%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	0.23 (0.82)	0.00 (0.76)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.2060	0.9698
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	7.2%	0.7%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	0.16 (0.88)	-0.18 (0.80)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.4969	0.3890
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	4.8%	-6.7%

Table 21. Comparison between products in Impaired nail smoothness (blinded assessor) score

		Discoloured nail (blinded assessor)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.16 (0.57)	-0.18 (0.54)	-0.20 (0.59)
	95% CI	(-0.34 ; 0.01)	(-0.35 ; -0.02)	(-0.39 ; -0.02)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.1191	0.0574	0.0479
	Statistically significant	No	No	Yes
	Change in %	-5.9%	-6.5%	-7.4%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.30 (0.56)	-0.45 (0.59)	-0.43 (0.70)
	95% CI	(-0.47 ; -0.13)	(-0.64 ; -0.27)	(-0.64 ; -0.22)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0023	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-11.0%	-15.7%	-15.6%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	-0.72 (0.80)	-0.77 (0.78)	-0.79 (0.77)
	95% CI	(-0.97 ; -0.48)	(-1.01 ; -0.53)	(-1.03 ; -0.55)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-26.3%	-27.6%	-27.9%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.02 (0.87)	-1.17 (0.70)	-0.84 (0.86)
	95% CI	(-1.29 ; -0.75)	(-1.38 ; -0.95)	(-1.10 ; -0.58)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-36.7%	-42.9%	-30.3%

Table 22: Change from baseline in discoloured score (blinded assessor)

		Discoloured nail (blinded assessor)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	0.04 (0.58)	0.02 (0.57)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.4848	0.7202
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	1.4%	0.9%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	0.13 (0.63)	-0.02 (0.65)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.2382	0.9303
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	4.6%	-0.1%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	0.07 (0.79)	0.02 (0.78)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.7243	0.8334
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	1.6%	0.2%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	-0.18 (0.87)	-0.33 (0.78)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.3574	0.0672
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-6.3%	-12.6%

Table 23. Comparison between products in Discoloured nail (blinded assessor) score

		Dry nail (blinded assessor)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.49 (0.94)	-0.14 (0.88)	-0.57 (0.76)
	95% CI	(-0.78 ; -0.20)	(-0.40 ; 0.13)	(-0.80 ; -0.34)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0012	0.3889	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	No	Yes
	Change in %	-19.8%	-5.6%	-22.3%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.42 (0.98)	-0.52 (0.97)	-0.75 (0.92)
	95% CI	(-0.72 ; -0.12)	(-0.83 ; -0.22)	(-1.03 ; -0.47)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0066	0.0007	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-17.0%	-21.7%	-29.5%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	-0.93 (0.86)	-1.00 (1.02)	-1.30 (0.86)
	95% CI	(-1.19 ; -0.67)	(-1.31 ; -0.69)	(-1.57 ; -1.04)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-37.7%	-41.7%	-49.8%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.14 (0.90)	-1.31 (1.05)	-1.41 (1.09)
	95% CI	(-1.42 ; -0.86)	(-1.64 ; -0.98)	(-1.74 ; -1.08)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-45.9%	-55.9%	-55.4%

Table 24. Change from baseline in dry nail (blinded assessor) score

		Dry nail (blinded assessor)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	0.08 (0.85)	0.43 (0.82)

		Dry nail (blinded assessor)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.7028	0.0079
	Statistically significant	No	Yes
	Change in %	2.5%	16.7%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	0.33 (0.95)	0.23 (0.94)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0911	0.2317
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	12.5%	7.8%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	0.37 (0.86)	0.30 (0.95)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0330	0.0626
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	12.0%	8.1%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	0.27 (1.00)	0.10 (1.07)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0454	0.1901
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	9.4%	-0.6%

Table 25. Comparison between products in Dry nail (blinded assessor) score

		thick nail (blinded assessor)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.21 (0.67)	-0.07 (0.50)	-0.09 (0.47)
	95% CI	(-0.42 ; -0.00)	(-0.22 ; 0.08)	(-0.23 ; 0.05)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0684	0.5488	0.3438
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-9.1%	-2.8%	-3.7%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.28 (0.59)	-0.40 (0.59)	-0.32 (0.52)
	95% CI	(-0.46 ; -0.10)	(-0.59 ; -0.22)	(-0.48 ; -0.16)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0065	<.0001	0.0005
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-12.1%	-15.6%	-13.0%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	-0.63 (0.79)	-0.86 (0.86)	-0.81 (0.76)
	95% CI	(-0.87 ; -0.39)	(-1.13 ; -0.60)	(-1.05 ; -0.58)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-27.3%	-34.6%	-32.7%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.00 (0.94)	-1.19 (0.86)	-0.93 (0.82)
	95% CI	(-1.29 ; -0.71)	(-1.46 ; -0.92)	(-1.18 ; -0.68)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-43.1%	-48.6%	-38.0%

Table 26. Change from baseline in thick nail (blinded assessor) score

		thick nail (blinded assessor)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.12 (0.58)	0.02 (0.49)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.4417	0.8401
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-5.4%	0.9%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	0.04 (0.56)	-0.09 (0.55)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.6671	0.3975
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	0.8%	-2.6%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	0.19 (0.78)	-0.05 (0.81)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.2650	0.8021
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	5.5%	-1.9%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	-0.07 (0.88)	-0.26 (0.84)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.6472	0.1597
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-5.2%	-10.6%

Table 27. Comparison between products in thick nail (blinded assessor) score

		Brittle nail (blinded assessor)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.19 (0.66)	0.00 (0.53)	-0.27 (0.50)
	95% CI	(-0.39 ; 0.02)	(-0.16 ; 0.16)	(-0.42 ; -0.12)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.1157	1.0000	0.0018
	Statistically significant	No	No	Yes
	Change in %	-7.5%	0.0%	-10.7%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.44 (0.67)	-0.36 (0.69)	-0.45 (0.63)
	95% CI	(-0.65 ; -0.24)	(-0.57 ; -0.14)	(-0.65 ; -0.26)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	0.0010	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-17.8%	-13.8%	-17.9%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	-0.86 (0.80)	-1.00 (0.82)	-0.91 (0.75)
	95% CI	(-1.11 ; -0.61)	(-1.25 ; -0.75)	(-1.14 ; -0.68)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-34.6%	-40.7%	-35.1%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.21 (0.78)	-1.24 (0.88)	-1.09 (0.86)
	95% CI	(-1.46 ; -0.97)	(-1.51 ; -0.96)	(-1.35 ; -0.83)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-48.3%	-51.0%	-42.9%

Table 28. Change from baseline in brittle nail (blinded assessor) score

		Brittle nail (blinded assessor)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	0.09 (0.59)	0.27 (0.51)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.4782	0.0176
	Statistically significant	No	Yes
	Change in %	3.2%	10.7%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	0.01 (0.65)	0.10 (0.66)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.8571	0.4376
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	0.1%	4.0%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	0.05 (0.78)	-0.09 (0.78)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.8816	0.4171
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	0.6%	-5.6%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	-0.12 (0.82)	-0.15 (0.87)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.4641	0.3267
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-5.5%	-8.2%

Table 29. Comparison between products in Brittle nail (blinded assessor) score

		Nail peeling (blinded assessor)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.33 (0.94)	-0.18 (0.84)	-0.48 (0.76)
	95% CI	(-0.62 ; -0.04)	(-0.44 ; 0.07)	(-0.71 ; -0.25)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0264	0.1812	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	No	Yes
	Change in %	-15.9%	-8.5%	-20.6%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.14 (0.91)	-0.50 (0.89)	-0.61 (0.84)
	95% CI	(-0.42 ; 0.14)	(-0.78 ; -0.22)	(-0.87 ; -0.36)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.3205	0.0004	<.0001
	Statistically significant	No	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-6.8%	-22.0%	-26.5%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	-0.49 (1.03)	-0.67 (0.92)	-1.05 (0.97)
	95% CI	(-0.81 ; -0.17)	(-0.96 ; -0.39)	(-1.35 ; -0.75)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0029	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-23.9%	-31.4%	-43.8%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.69 (0.95)	-0.95 (0.96)	-0.93 (1.04)
	95% CI	(-0.99 ; -0.39)	(-1.25 ; -0.65)	(-1.25 ; -0.61)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-32.5%	-45.4%	-40.2%

Table 30 Change from baseline in nail peeling (blinded assessor) score

		Nail peeling (blinded assessor)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	0.15 (0.86)	0.30 (0.80)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.4148	0.0372
	Statistically significant	No	Yes
	Change in %	4.7%	12.1%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	0.47 (0.88)	0.11 (0.87)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0145	0.4719
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	19.7%	4.5%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	0.56 (1.00)	0.37 (0.95)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0099	0.0418
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	20.0%	12.4%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	0.24 (1.00)	-0.02 (1.00)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1796	0.9488
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	7.7%	-5.2%

Table 31. Comparison between products in Nail peeling (blinded assessor) score

			Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
			N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
Overall nail status (Subject)	D30	N (MV)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
		Worse	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
		No change	4 (9.3%)	5 (11.6%)	12 (27.3%)
		Improved	39 (90.7%)	38 (88.4%)	32 (72.7%)
		versus Loceryl® (Chi-square test)	p=0.0305	p=0.0947	
	D90	N (MV)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
		Worse	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
		No change	1 (2.3%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (11.4%)
		Improved	42 (97.7%)	43 (100.0%)	39 (88.6%)
		versus Loceryl® (Chi-square test)	p=0.0962	p=0.0217	
	D180	N (MV)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
		Worse	1 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)
		No change	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)
		Improved	41 (97.6%)	42 (100.0%)	42 (95.5%)
		versus Loceryl® (Chi-square test)	p=0.6169	p=0.1792	

Table 32. Distribution of Overall nail status (Subject) score by time point for each group

		Impaired overall nail appearance (patient)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.28 (0.70)	-0.18 (0.45)	-0.09 (0.36)
	95% CI	(-0.49 ; -0.06)	(-0.32 ; -0.05)	(-0.20 ; 0.02)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0156	0.0156	0.2500
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	No
	Change in %	-6.7%	-4.4%	-2.2%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.88 (0.79)	-0.81 (0.73)	-0.59 (0.69)
	95% CI	(-1.13 ; -0.64)	(-1.04 ; -0.59)	(-0.80 ; -0.38)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-21.1%	-20.3%	-14.2%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.51 (1.03)	-1.58 (1.03)	-1.20 (0.98)
	95% CI	(-1.83 ; -1.19)	(-1.90 ; -1.26)	(-1.50 ; -0.91)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-36.1%	-38.9%	-29.0%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.95 (1.08)	-2.07 (1.05)	-1.73 (1.04)
	95% CI	(-2.29 ; -1.62)	(-2.40 ; -1.75)	(-2.04 ; -1.41)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-46.0%	-51.4%	-41.5%

Table 33. Change from baseline in Impaired overall nail appearance (patient assessment) score

		Impaired overall nail appearance (patient)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.19 (0.56)	-0.09 (0.41)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1215	0.1948
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-4.5%	-2.2%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	-0.29 (0.74)	-0.22 (0.71)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0750	0.1424
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-6.9%	-6.1%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	-0.31 (1.01)	-0.38 (1.00)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1480	0.0880
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-7.1%	-10.0%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	-0.23 (1.06)	-0.34 (1.04)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.3420	0.1587
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-4.4%	-9.9%

Table 34. Comparison between products in Impaired overall nail appearance (patient assessment) score

		Impaired Nail brightness (patient)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)

		Impaired Nail brightness (patient)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
	mean (SD)	-0.60 (0.95)	-0.34 (0.64)	-0.11 (0.44)
	95% CI	(-0.90 ; -0.31)	(-0.54 ; -0.14)	(-0.25 ; 0.02)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	0.0005	0.1875
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	No
	Change in %	-14.5%	-9.0%	-2.9%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.21 (1.08)	-1.05 (0.90)	-0.45 (0.63)
	95% CI	(-1.54 ; -0.88)	(-1.32 ; -0.77)	(-0.65 ; -0.26)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-29.1%	-27.7%	-11.5%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.88 (1.00)	-1.60 (1.07)	-1.34 (0.89)
	95% CI	(-2.19 ; -1.57)	(-1.93 ; -1.27)	(-1.61 ; -1.07)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-45.3%	-42.4%	-33.9%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-2.24 (1.08)	-2.02 (1.05)	-1.82 (1.06)
	95% CI	(-2.57 ; -1.90)	(-2.35 ; -1.70)	(-2.14 ; -1.50)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-53.1%	-53.0%	-46.0%

Table 35. Change from baseline in Impaired nail brightness (patient assessment) score

		Impaired Nail brightness (patient)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.49 (0.74)	-0.23 (0.55)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney

		Impaired Nail brightness (patient)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
	p-value	0.0018	0.0445
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-11.7%	-6.1%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	-0.75 (0.88)	-0.59 (0.77)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0004	0.0008
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-17.6%	-16.2%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	-0.54 (0.95)	-0.26 (0.98)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0143	0.2485
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	-11.3%	-8.5%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	-0.42 (1.07)	-0.21 (1.06)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0720	0.2667
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-7.1%	-7.0%

Table 36. Comparison between products in Impaired Nail brightness (patient assessment) score

		Impaired Nail smoothness (patient)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)

		Impaired Nail smoothness (patient)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
	mean (SD)	-0.42 (0.73)	-0.35 (0.61)	-0.20 (0.46)
	95% CI	(-0.64 ; -0.19)	(-0.54 ; -0.16)	(-0.34 ; -0.06)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0004	0.0005	0.0117
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-10.5%	-10.2%	-5.4%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.19 (1.16)	-1.02 (0.80)	-0.55 (0.70)
	95% CI	(-1.54 ; -0.83)	(-1.27 ; -0.78)	(-0.76 ; -0.33)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-29.8%	-27.8%	-14.4%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.74 (1.09)	-1.53 (0.91)	-1.20 (1.05)
	95% CI	(-2.08 ; -1.41)	(-1.81 ; -1.26)	(-1.52 ; -0.89)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-43.9%	-41.6%	-31.7%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-2.05 (1.15)	-1.88 (1.06)	-1.61 (1.22)
	95% CI	(-2.40 ; -1.69)	(-2.21 ; -1.55)	(-1.99 ; -1.24)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-50.9%	-50.5%	-42.5%

Table 37. Change from baseline in Impaired nail smoothness (patient assessment) score

		Impaired Nail smoothness (patient)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.21 (0.61)	-0.14 (0.54)

		Impaired Nail smoothness (patient)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1848	0.3832
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-5.1%	-4.8%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	-0.64 (0.95)	-0.48 (0.75)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0035	0.0054
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-15.5%	-13.4%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	-0.54 (1.07)	-0.33 (0.98)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0262	0.1203
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	-12.1%	-9.9%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	-0.43 (1.19)	-0.27 (1.15)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0818	0.1550
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-8.4%	-8.0%

Table 38. Comparison between products in Impaired Nail smoothness (patient assessment) score

		Discoloured nail (patient)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.35 (0.57)	-0.18 (0.39)	-0.11 (0.39)
	95% CI	(-0.53 ; -0.17)	(-0.30 ; -0.06)	(-0.23 ; 0.00)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0002	0.0078	0.1250
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	No
	Change in %	-8.7%	-4.5%	-2.8%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.91 (0.87)	-1.09 (0.78)	-0.64 (0.84)
	95% CI	(-1.17 ; -0.64)	(-1.33 ; -0.85)	(-0.89 ; -0.38)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-22.5%	-27.0%	-15.6%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.56 (1.03)	-1.86 (0.94)	-1.34 (1.10)
	95% CI	(-1.88 ; -1.24)	(-2.15 ; -1.57)	(-1.67 ; -1.01)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-38.7%	-46.0%	-33.0%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-2.14 (1.07)	-2.29 (0.99)	-1.82 (1.15)
	95% CI	(-2.48 ; -1.81)	(-2.60 ; -1.98)	(-2.17 ; -1.47)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-52.1%	-57.0%	-44.7%

Table 39. Change from baseline in discoloured (patient assessment) score

		Discoloured nail (patient)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.24 (0.49)	-0.07 (0.39)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0152	0.2415
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	-5.9%	-1.7%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	-0.27 (0.85)	-0.46 (0.81)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0841	0.0049
	Statistically significant	No	Yes
	Change in %	-6.9%	-11.3%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	-0.22 (1.07)	-0.52 (1.02)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.2584	0.0141
	Statistically significant	No	Yes
	Change in %	-5.8%	-13.0%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	-0.32 (1.11)	-0.47 (1.08)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.2090	0.0575
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-7.4%	-12.3%

Table 40. Comparison between products in Discoloured nail (patient assessment) score

		Dry nail (patient)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	43 (1)

		Dry nail (patient)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
	mean (SD)	-0.58 (0.82)	-0.35 (0.61)	-0.23 (0.57)
	95% CI	(-0.83 ; -0.33)	(-0.54 ; -0.16)	(-0.41 ; -0.06)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	0.0005	0.0078
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-16.1%	-11.1%	-7.5%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	-1.14 (1.25)	-1.19 (1.01)	-0.56 (0.91)
	95% CI	(-1.52 ; -0.76)	(-1.50 ; -0.88)	(-0.84 ; -0.28)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	0.0002
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-31.6%	-34.7%	-16.7%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	-1.86 (1.17)	-1.72 (1.05)	-1.26 (0.90)
	95% CI	(-2.22 ; -1.50)	(-2.05 ; -1.40)	(-1.53 ; -0.98)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-51.6%	-50.2%	-37.0%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	-2.00 (1.27)	-2.05 (1.15)	-1.56 (1.16)
	95% CI	(-2.40 ; -1.60)	(-2.40 ; -1.69)	(-1.92 ; -1.20)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-53.8%	-58.6%	-44.9%

Table 41. Change from baseline in dry nail (patient assessment) score

		Dry nail (patient)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.35 (0.71)	-0.12 (0.59)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0232	0.2909
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	-8.6%	-3.6%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	-0.58 (1.09)	-0.63 (0.96)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0080	0.0012
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-14.9%	-18.0%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	-0.60 (1.04)	-0.47 (0.98)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0134	0.0287
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-14.6%	-13.1%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	-0.44 (1.22)	-0.49 (1.15)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1093	0.0399
	Statistically significant	No	Yes
	Change in %	-8.9%	-13.7%

Table 42. Comparison between products in Dry nail (patient assessment) score

		Thick nail (patient)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.28 (0.59)	-0.11 (0.32)	-0.09 (0.52)
	95% CI	(-0.46 ; -0.10)	(-0.21 ; -0.02)	(-0.25 ; 0.07)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0039	0.0625	0.5000
	Statistically significant	Yes	No	No
	Change in %	-7.7%	-3.6%	-2.5%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.95 (1.11)	-1.12 (1.07)	-0.50 (0.76)
	95% CI	(-1.30 ; -0.61)	(-1.45 ; -0.79)	(-0.73 ; -0.27)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-26.5%	-35.7%	-13.8%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.74 (1.11)	-1.63 (1.18)	-1.23 (1.10)
	95% CI	(-2.09 ; -1.40)	(-1.99 ; -1.27)	(-1.56 ; -0.89)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-48.4%	-51.8%	-34.0%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-2.10 (1.16)	-1.76 (1.23)	-1.68 (1.20)
	95% CI	(-2.46 ; -1.73)	(-2.14 ; -1.38)	(-2.05 ; -1.32)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-57.7%	-55.1%	-46.5%

Table 43. Change from baseline in thick nail (patient assessment) score

		Thick nail (patient)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.19 (0.56)	-0.02 (0.43)

		Thick nail (patient)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0419	0.3366
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	-5.2%	-1.1%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	-0.45 (0.95)	-0.62 (0.93)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0503	0.0048
	Statistically significant	No	Yes
	Change in %	-12.6%	-21.8%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	-0.52 (1.11)	-0.40 (1.14)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0278	0.1028
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	-14.4%	-17.8%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	-0.41 (1.18)	-0.08 (1.21)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1264	0.7455
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-11.2%	-8.6%

Table 44. Comparison between products in Thick nail (patient assessment) score

		Brittle nail (patient)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.37 (0.90)	-0.14 (0.47)	-0.11 (0.58)
	95% CI	(-0.65 ; -0.10)	(-0.28 ; 0.00)	(-0.29 ; 0.06)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0098	0.1094	0.3750
	Statistically significant	Yes	No	No
	Change in %	-13.6%	-8.3%	-4.2%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.88 (1.18)	-0.63 (0.98)	-0.25 (0.75)
	95% CI	(-1.25 ; -0.52)	(-0.93 ; -0.33)	(-0.48 ; -0.02)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	0.0001	0.0469
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-32.2%	-26.6%	-9.2%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.19 (1.38)	-0.95 (1.21)	-0.77 (1.10)
	95% CI	(-1.61 ; -0.76)	(-1.33 ; -0.58)	(-1.11 ; -0.44)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-43.2%	-40.1%	-28.6%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.36 (1.54)	-1.17 (1.40)	-1.09 (1.33)
	95% CI	(-1.84 ; -0.88)	(-1.60 ; -0.73)	(-1.49 ; -0.69)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-47.9%	-47.6%	-40.3%

Table 45. Change from baseline in brittle nail (patient assessment) score

		Brittle nail (patient)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.26 (0.76)	-0.03 (0.53)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0892	0.2908
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-9.4%	-4.1%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	-0.63 (0.99)	-0.38 (0.87)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0025	0.0332
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-23.0%	-17.4%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	-0.41 (1.25)	-0.18 (1.16)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.2188	0.5461
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-14.6%	-11.6%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	-0.27 (1.44)	-0.08 (1.36)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.4649	0.8380
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-7.6%	-7.3%

Table 46. Comparison between products in Brittle nail (patient assessment) score

		Nail peeling (patient)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.42 (0.82)	-0.26 (0.66)	-0.14 (0.63)
	95% CI	(-0.67 ; -0.17)	(-0.46 ; -0.05)	(-0.33 ; 0.06)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0010	0.0225	0.2656
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	No
	Change in %	-16.1%	-14.0%	-5.2%
D30-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.84 (1.21)	-0.65 (1.02)	-0.36 (0.75)
	95% CI	(-1.21 ; -0.46)	(-0.97 ; -0.34)	(-0.59 ; -0.14)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	0.0020
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-32.1%	-27.3%	-13.8%
D90-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.07 (1.37)	-0.95 (1.25)	-0.75 (1.06)
	95% CI	(-1.49 ; -0.65)	(-1.34 ; -0.57)	(-1.07 ; -0.43)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-41.1%	-40.7%	-28.4%
D180-D0	N (miss)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-1.29 (1.49)	-1.07 (1.44)	-1.05 (1.36)
	95% CI	(-1.75 ; -0.82)	(-1.52 ; -0.62)	(-1.46 ; -0.63)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	<.0001	<.0001
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-47.9%	-44.5%	-39.7%

Table 47. Change from baseline in nail peeling (patient assessment) score

		Nail peeling (patient)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.28 (0.73)	-0.12 (0.65)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0449	0.2302
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	-10.9%	-8.9%
D30-D0	mean (SD)	-0.47 (1.01)	-0.29 (0.89)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0567	0.1363
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-18.3%	-13.6%
D90-D0	mean (SD)	-0.32 (1.22)	-0.20 (1.16)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.4044	0.5066
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-12.6%	-12.2%
D180-D0	mean (SD)	-0.24 (1.42)	-0.03 (1.40)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.4379	0.9776
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-8.2%	-4.8%

Table 48. Comparison between products in Nail peeling (patient assessment) score

		Impaired overall nail appearance (Daily log)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D1-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	0.02 (0.41)	0.00 (0.00)	-0.05 (0.21)
	95% CI	(-0.10 ; 0.15)	(. ; .)	(-0.11 ; 0.02)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	1.0000	na	0.5000
	Statistically significant	No	Yes	No
	Change in %	0.6%	0.0%	-1.1%
D2-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	0.00 (0.44)	-0.02 (0.15)	-0.07 (0.25)
	95% CI	(-0.13 ; 0.13)	(-0.07 ; 0.02)	(-0.15 ; 0.01)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	1.0000	1.0000	0.2500
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	0.0%	-0.6%	-1.6%
D3-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.07 (0.46)	-0.05 (0.21)	-0.07 (0.25)
	95% CI	(-0.21 ; 0.07)	(-0.11 ; 0.02)	(-0.15 ; 0.01)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.5313	0.5000	0.2500
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-1.7%	-1.1%	-1.6%
D4-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.07 (0.46)	-0.07 (0.25)	-0.07 (0.25)
	95% CI	(-0.21 ; 0.07)	(-0.15 ; 0.01)	(-0.15 ; 0.01)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.5313	0.2500	0.2500
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-1.7%	-1.7%	-1.6%
D5-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.14 (0.60)	-0.11 (0.32)	-0.09 (0.36)
	95% CI	(-0.32 ; 0.05)	(-0.21 ; -0.02)	(-0.20 ; 0.02)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.1855	0.0625	0.2500
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-3.3%	-2.8%	-2.2%
D6-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.16 (0.61)	-0.11 (0.32)	-0.09 (0.36)
	95% CI	(-0.35 ; 0.03)	(-0.21 ; -0.02)	(-0.20 ; 0.02)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon

		Impaired overall nail appearance (Daily log)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
	p-value	0.1289	0.0625	0.2500
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-3.9%	-2.8%	-2.2%
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.28 (0.70)	-0.18 (0.45)	-0.09 (0.36)
	95% CI	(-0.49 ; -0.06)	(-0.32 ; -0.05)	(-0.20 ; 0.02)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0156	0.0156	0.2500
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	No
	Change in %	-6.7%	-4.4%	-2.2%

Table 49. Change from baseline in Impaired overall nail appearance (Daily log) score

		Impaired overall nail appearance (Daily log)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D1-D0	mean (SD)	0.07 (0.32)	0.05 (0.15)

		Impaired overall nail appearance (Daily log)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1108	0.1597
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	1.6%	1.1%
D2-D0	mean (SD)	0.07 (0.36)	0.05 (0.21)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1754	0.3144
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	1.6%	1.1%
D3-D0	mean (SD)	-0.00 (0.37)	0.02 (0.23)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.8033	0.6545
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-0.0%	0.5%
D4-D0	mean (SD)	-0.00 (0.37)	0.00 (0.25)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.8033	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-0.0%	-0.0%
D5-D0	mean (SD)	-0.05 (0.49)	-0.02 (0.34)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.7115	0.4922
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-1.1%	-0.6%
D6-D0	mean (SD)	-0.07 (0.50)	-0.02 (0.34)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.5194	0.4922
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-1.7%	-0.6%
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.19 (0.56)	-0.09 (0.41)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1215	0.1948
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-4.5%	-2.2%

Table 50. Comparison between products in Impaired overall nail appearance (Daily log) score

		Impaired Nail brightness (Daily log)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D1-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	0.00 (0.38)	0.02 (0.15)	0.00 (0.22)
	95% CI	(-0.12 ; 0.12)	(-0.02 ; 0.07)	(-0.07 ; 0.07)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
D2-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.02 (0.41)	-0.02 (0.15)	0.00 (0.22)
	95% CI	(-0.15 ; 0.10)	(-0.07 ; 0.02)	(-0.07 ; 0.07)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-0.6%	-0.6%	0.0%
D3-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.12 (0.45)	-0.05 (0.30)	0.00 (0.22)
	95% CI	(-0.25 ; 0.02)	(-0.14 ; 0.05)	(-0.07 ; 0.07)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.1875	0.6250	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-2.8%	-1.2%	0.0%
D4-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.21 (0.56)	-0.11 (0.39)	-0.02 (0.26)
	95% CI	(-0.38 ; -0.04)	(-0.23 ; 0.00)	(-0.10 ; 0.06)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0313	0.1250	1.0000
	Statistically significant	Yes	No	No
	Change in %	-5.0%	-3.0%	-0.6%
D5-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.35 (0.65)	-0.25 (0.49)	-0.05 (0.30)
	95% CI	(-0.55 ; -0.15)	(-0.40 ; -0.10)	(-0.14 ; 0.05)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0015	0.0020	0.6250
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	No
	Change in %	-8.4%	-6.6%	-1.1%
D6-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.47 (0.77)	-0.32 (0.64)	-0.11 (0.44)
	95% CI	(-0.70 ; -0.23)	(-0.51 ; -0.12)	(-0.25 ; 0.02)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon

		Impaired Nail brightness (Daily log)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
	p-value	0.0002	0.0010	0.1875
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	No
	Change in %	-11.2%	-8.4%	-2.9%
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.60 (0.95)	-0.34 (0.64)	-0.11 (0.44)
	95% CI	(-0.90 ; -0.31)	(-0.54 ; -0.14)	(-0.25 ; 0.02)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	0.0005	0.1875
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	No
	Change in %	-14.5%	-9.0%	-2.9%

Table 51. Change from baseline in Impaired Nail brightness (Daily log) score

		Impaired Nail brightness (Daily log)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D1-D0	mean (SD)	0.00 (0.31)	0.02 (0.19)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.6661	0.5772
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	0.0%	0.6%
D2-D0	mean (SD)	-0.02 (0.32)	-0.02 (0.19)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	1.0000	0.5772
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-0.6%	-0.6%
D3-D0	mean (SD)	-0.12 (0.35)	-0.05 (0.26)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1451	0.4168
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-2.8%	-1.2%
D4-D0	mean (SD)	-0.19 (0.44)	-0.09 (0.33)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0633	0.2511
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-4.5%	-2.4%
D5-D0	mean (SD)	-0.30 (0.50)	-0.20 (0.41)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney

		Impaired Nail brightness (Daily log)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
	p-value	0.0081	0.0237
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-7.2%	-5.4%
D6-D0	mean (SD)	-0.35 (0.62)	-0.20 (0.55)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0082	0.0721
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	-8.3%	-5.5%
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.49 (0.74)	-0.23 (0.55)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0018	0.0445
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-11.7%	-6.1%

Table 52. Comparison between products in Impaired Nail brightness (Daily log) score

		Impaired Nail smoothness (Daily log)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D1-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	0.07 (0.40)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.22)
	95% CI	(-0.05 ; 0.19)	(. ; .)	(-0.07 ; 0.07)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.5000	na	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	na	No
	Change in %	1.8%	0.0%	0.0%
D2-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	0.05 (0.43)	-0.02 (0.15)	0.00 (0.22)
	95% CI	(-0.09 ; 0.18)	(-0.07 ; 0.02)	(-0.07 ; 0.07)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.7500	1.0000	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	1.2%	-0.6%	0.0%
D3-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.05 (0.49)	-0.09 (0.29)	-0.05 (0.30)
	95% CI	(-0.20 ; 0.10)	(-0.18 ; -0.00)	(-0.14 ; 0.05)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.7656	0.1250	0.6250
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-1.2%	-2.5%	-1.2%
D4-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.07 (0.55)	-0.09 (0.29)	-0.09 (0.36)
	95% CI	(-0.24 ; 0.10)	(-0.18 ; -0.00)	(-0.20 ; 0.02)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.5938	0.1250	0.2188
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-1.8%	-2.5%	-2.4%
D5-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.21 (0.67)	-0.18 (0.45)	-0.14 (0.41)
	95% CI	(-0.42 ; -0.00)	(-0.32 ; -0.05)	(-0.26 ; -0.01)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0781	0.0156	0.0703
	Statistically significant	No	Yes	No
	Change in %	-5.3%	-4.9%	-3.6%
D6-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.37 (0.82)	-0.27 (0.54)	-0.18 (0.45)
	95% CI	(-0.62 ; -0.12)	(-0.44 ; -0.11)	(-0.32 ; -0.05)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0064	0.0020	0.0215
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-9.4%	-7.4%	-4.8%

		Impaired Nail smoothness (Daily log)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.42 (0.73)	-0.37 (0.62)	-0.20 (0.46)
	95% CI	(-0.64 ; -0.19)	(-0.56 ; -0.18)	(-0.34 ; -0.06)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0004	0.0002	0.0117
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-10.5%	-10.9%	-5.4%

Table 53. Change from baseline in Impaired Nail smoothness (Daily log) score

		Impaired Nail smoothness (Daily log)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D1-D0	mean (SD)	0.07 (0.32)	0.00 (0.15)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.4059	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	1.8%	0.0%
D2-D0	mean (SD)	0.05 (0.34)	-0.02 (0.19)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.6987	0.5772
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	1.2%	-0.6%
D3-D0	mean (SD)	-0.00 (0.40)	-0.05 (0.30)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.7405	0.4870
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	0.0%	-1.3%
D4-D0	mean (SD)	0.02 (0.47)	0.00 (0.33)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.8371	0.9818
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	0.6%	-0.1%
D5-D0	mean (SD)	-0.07 (0.56)	-0.05 (0.43)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.5245	0.7834
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-1.7%	-1.3%
D6-D0	mean (SD)	-0.19 (0.66)	-0.09 (0.50)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1804	0.5866
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-4.6%	-2.6%
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.21 (0.61)	-0.17 (0.54)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1848	0.2739
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-5.1%	-5.5%

Table 54. Comparison between products in Impaired Nail smoothness (Daily log) score

		Discoloured nail (Daily log)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D1-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)

		Discoloured nail (Daily log)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
	mean (SD)	0.09 (0.43)	0.00 (0.00)	0.05 (0.30)
	95% CI	(-0.04 ; 0.22)	(. ; .)	(-0.05 ; 0.14)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.3125	na	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	na	No
	Change in %	2.3%	0.0%	1.1%
D2-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	0.05 (0.43)	-0.05 (0.21)	-0.02 (0.15)
	95% CI	(-0.09 ; 0.18)	(-0.11 ; 0.02)	(-0.07 ; 0.02)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.7500	0.5000	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	1.2%	-1.1%	-0.6%
D3-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.05 (0.49)	-0.05 (0.21)	0.00 (0.43)
	95% CI	(-0.20 ; 0.10)	(-0.11 ; 0.02)	(-0.13 ; 0.13)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.7656	0.5000	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-1.2%	-1.1%	0.0%
D4-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.12 (0.59)	-0.02 (0.26)	0.00 (0.43)
	95% CI	(-0.30 ; 0.06)	(-0.10 ; 0.06)	(-0.13 ; 0.13)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.3125	1.0000	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-2.9%	-0.6%	0.0%
D5-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.14 (0.60)	-0.11 (0.32)	-0.05 (0.48)
	95% CI	(-0.32 ; 0.05)	(-0.21 ; -0.02)	(-0.19 ; 0.10)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.2168	0.0625	0.7500
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-3.5%	-2.8%	-1.1%
D6-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.21 (0.64)	-0.11 (0.32)	-0.07 (0.50)
	95% CI	(-0.41 ; -0.01)	(-0.21 ; -0.02)	(-0.22 ; 0.08)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0625	0.0625	0.5625

		Discoloured nail (Daily log)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-5.2%	-2.8%	-1.7%
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.35 (0.57)	-0.18 (0.39)	-0.11 (0.39)
	95% CI	(-0.53 ; -0.17)	(-0.30 ; -0.06)	(-0.23 ; 0.00)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0002	0.0078	0.1250
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	No
	Change in %	-8.7%	-4.5%	-2.8%

Table 55. Changes from baseline in Discoloured nail (Daily log) score

		Discoloured nail (Daily log)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D1-D0	mean (SD)	0.05 (0.37)	-0.05 (0.21)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.4059	0.3284
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	1.2%	-1.1%
D2-D0	mean (SD)	0.07 (0.32)	-0.02 (0.18)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.4225	0.5681
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	1.7%	-0.6%
D3-D0	mean (SD)	-0.05 (0.46)	-0.05 (0.34)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.3192	0.3373
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-1.2%	-1.1%
D4-D0	mean (SD)	-0.12 (0.51)	-0.02 (0.36)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1242	0.6698
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-2.9%	-0.6%
D5-D0	mean (SD)	-0.09 (0.54)	-0.07 (0.41)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.2559	0.3366
	Statistically significant	No	No

		Discoloured nail (Daily log)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
	Change in %	-2.4%	-1.7%
D6-D0	mean (SD)	-0.14 (0.57)	-0.05 (0.42)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1221	0.5594
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-3.5%	-1.1%
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.24 (0.49)	-0.07 (0.39)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0152	0.2415
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	-5.9%	-1.7%

Table 56. Comparison between products in Discoloured nail (Daily log) score

		Dry nail (Daily log)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D1-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	0.05 (0.30)	0.00 (0.00)	-0.09 (0.48)
	95% CI	(-0.05 ; 0.14)	(. ; .)	(-0.24 ; 0.05)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.6250	na	0.5000
	Statistically significant	No	na	No
	Change in %	1.3%	0.0%	-3.6%
D2-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	0.00 (0.38)	-0.02 (0.15)	-0.09 (0.48)
	95% CI	(-0.12 ; 0.12)	(-0.07 ; 0.02)	(-0.24 ; 0.05)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	1.0000	1.0000	0.5000
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	0.0%	-0.7%	-3.6%
D3-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	-0.09 (0.43)	-0.09 (0.29)	-0.12 (0.50)
	95% CI	(-0.22 ; 0.04)	(-0.18 ; -0.00)	(-0.27 ; 0.04)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.2891	0.1250	0.2500
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-2.6%	-2.6%	-4.2%
D4-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	-0.19 (0.55)	-0.14 (0.35)	-0.09 (0.53)
	95% CI	(-0.35 ; -0.02)	(-0.24 ; -0.03)	(-0.25 ; 0.07)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0557	0.0313	0.5000
	Statistically significant	No	Yes	No
	Change in %	-5.2%	-3.9%	-3.6%
D5-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	-0.35 (0.72)	-0.25 (0.49)	-0.12 (0.50)
	95% CI	(-0.57 ; -0.13)	(-0.40 ; -0.10)	(-0.27 ; 0.04)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0041	0.0020	0.2500
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	No
	Change in %	-9.7%	-7.2%	-4.2%
D6-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	-0.47 (0.83)	-0.34 (0.61)	-0.16 (0.57)
	95% CI	(-0.72 ; -0.21)	(-0.53 ; -0.16)	(-0.34 ; 0.01)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon

		Dry nail (Daily log)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
	p-value	0.0009	0.0005	0.1094
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	No
	Change in %	-12.9%	-9.9%	-5.6%
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	43 (1)
	mean (SD)	-0.58 (0.82)	-0.37 (0.62)	-0.23 (0.57)
	95% CI	(-0.83 ; -0.33)	(-0.56 ; -0.18)	(-0.41 ; -0.06)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	<.0001	0.0002	0.0078
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-16.1%	-11.8%	-7.5%

Table 57. Change from baseline in Dry nail (Daily log) score

		Dry nail (Daily log)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D1-D0	mean (SD)	0.14 (0.40)	0.09 (0.34)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1045	0.1549
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	4.9%	3.6%
D2-D0	mean (SD)	0.09 (0.43)	0.07 (0.35)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.4821	0.5457
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	3.6%	2.9%
D3-D0	mean (SD)	0.02 (0.46)	0.03 (0.41)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.7543	0.7526
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	1.7%	1.6%
D4-D0	mean (SD)	-0.09 (0.54)	-0.04 (0.44)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1820	0.2283
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-1.6%	-0.4%
D5-D0	mean (SD)	-0.23 (0.62)	-0.13 (0.49)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0363	0.0474
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes
	Change in %	-5.4%	-3.0%
D6-D0	mean (SD)	-0.30 (0.71)	-0.18 (0.59)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0486	0.0918
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	-7.4%	-4.3%
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.35 (0.71)	-0.14 (0.59)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0232	0.2004
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	-8.6%	-4.3%

Table 58. Comparison between products in Dry nail (Daily log) score

		Thick nail (Daily log)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D1-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	0.07 (0.34)	0.00 (0.00)	-0.07 (0.45)
	95% CI	(-0.03 ; 0.17)	(. ; .)	(-0.21 ; 0.07)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.3750	na	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	na	No
	Change in %	1.9%	0.0%	-1.9%
D2-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	0.05 (0.38)	0.02 (0.15)	-0.07 (0.45)
	95% CI	(-0.07 ; 0.16)	(-0.02 ; 0.07)	(-0.21 ; 0.07)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.6875	1.0000	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	1.3%	0.7%	-1.9%
D3-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.05 (0.43)	0.00 (0.00)	-0.07 (0.45)
	95% CI	(-0.18 ; 0.09)	(. ; .)	(-0.21 ; 0.07)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.7266	na	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	na	No
	Change in %	-1.3%	0.0%	-1.9%
D4-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.05 (0.43)	-0.02 (0.15)	-0.05 (0.48)
	95% CI	(-0.18 ; 0.09)	(-0.07 ; 0.02)	(-0.19 ; 0.10)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.7266	1.0000	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-1.3%	-0.7%	-1.3%
D5-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.12 (0.54)	-0.05 (0.21)	-0.05 (0.48)
	95% CI	(-0.28 ; 0.05)	(-0.11 ; 0.02)	(-0.19 ; 0.10)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.2734	0.5000	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-3.2%	-1.4%	-1.3%
D6-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.19 (0.66)	-0.07 (0.59)	-0.07 (0.55)
	95% CI	(-0.39 ; 0.02)	(-0.25 ; 0.11)	(-0.23 ; 0.10)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon

	p-value	0.0938	0.3594	0.7500
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-5.2%	-2.1%	-1.9%
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.28 (0.59)	-0.11 (0.32)	-0.09 (0.52)
	95% CI	(-0.46 ; -0.10)	(-0.21 ; -0.02)	(-0.25 ; 0.07)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0039	0.0625	0.5000
	Statistically significant	Yes	No	No
	Change in %	-7.7%	-3.6%	-2.5%

Table 59. Change from baseline in Thick nail (Daily log) score

		Thick nail (Daily log)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D1-D0	mean (SD)	0.14 (0.40)	0.07 (0.32)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0984	0.3284
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	3.8%	1.9%
D2-D0	mean (SD)	0.11 (0.42)	0.09 (0.34)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.2493	0.1645
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	3.2%	2.6%
D3-D0	mean (SD)	0.02 (0.44)	0.07 (0.32)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.7358	0.3284
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	0.6%	1.9%
D4-D0	mean (SD)	-0.00 (0.46)	0.02 (0.36)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.5396	0.5863
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-0.0%	0.5%
D5-D0	mean (SD)	-0.07 (0.51)	0.00 (0.37)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.2453	0.3373
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-2.0%	-0.2%
D6-D0	mean (SD)	-0.12 (0.61)	0.00 (0.57)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.2937	0.2686
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-3.3%	-0.3%
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.19 (0.56)	-0.02 (0.43)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0419	0.3366
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	-5.2%	-1.1%

Table 60. Comparison between products in Thick nail (Daily log) score

		Brittle nail (Daily log)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D1-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	0.00 (0.22)	0.02 (0.15)	-0.07 (0.45)
	95% CI	(-0.07 ; 0.07)	(-0.02 ; 0.07)	(-0.21 ; 0.07)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	0.0%	0.9%	-2.5%
D2-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.02 (0.27)	0.02 (0.15)	-0.07 (0.45)
	95% CI	(-0.11 ; 0.06)	(-0.02 ; 0.07)	(-0.21 ; 0.07)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-0.8%	0.9%	-2.5%
D3-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.12 (0.39)	0.02 (0.15)	-0.09 (0.47)
	95% CI	(-0.24 ; 0.00)	(-0.02 ; 0.07)	(-0.23 ; 0.05)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.1250	1.0000	0.5000
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-4.2%	0.9%	-3.4%
D4-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.14 (0.41)	-0.02 (0.26)	-0.07 (0.50)
	95% CI	(-0.27 ; -0.01)	(-0.10 ; 0.06)	(-0.22 ; 0.08)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0625	1.0000	0.7500
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-5.1%	-0.9%	-2.5%
D5-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.21 (0.56)	-0.09 (0.36)	-0.11 (0.58)
	95% CI	(-0.38 ; -0.04)	(-0.20 ; 0.02)	(-0.29 ; 0.06)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0156	0.2188	0.3750
	Statistically significant	Yes	No	No
	Change in %	-7.6%	-3.8%	-4.2%
D6-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.35 (0.75)	-0.07 (0.62)	-0.11 (0.58)
	95% CI	(-0.58 ; -0.12)	(-0.26 ; 0.12)	(-0.29 ; 0.06)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon

		Brittle nail (Daily log)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
	p-value	0.0039	0.3984	0.3750
	Statistically significant	Yes	No	No
	Change in %	-12.7%	-2.8%	-4.2%
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.37 (0.90)	-0.16 (0.48)	-0.11 (0.58)
	95% CI	(-0.65 ; -0.10)	(-0.31 ; -0.01)	(-0.29 ; 0.06)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0098	0.0654	0.3750
	Statistically significant	Yes	No	No
	Change in %	-13.6%	-9.3%	-4.2%

Table 61. Change from baseline in Brittle nail (Daily log) score

		Brittle nail (Daily log)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D1-D0	mean (SD)	0.07 (0.36)	0.09 (0.34)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.5728	0.1645
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	2.5%	3.5%
D2-D0	mean (SD)	0.04 (0.37)	0.09 (0.34)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	1.0000	0.1645
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	1.7%	3.5%
D3-D0	mean (SD)	-0.03 (0.43)	0.11 (0.35)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.4059	0.0869
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-0.9%	4.3%
D4-D0	mean (SD)	-0.07 (0.46)	0.05 (0.40)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1572	0.9924
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-2.6%	1.6%
D5-D0	mean (SD)	-0.10 (0.57)	0.02 (0.48)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1334	0.5699
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-3.4%	0.4%
D6-D0	mean (SD)	-0.24 (0.67)	0.05 (0.60)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0441	0.4364
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	-8.5%	1.4%
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.26 (0.76)	-0.05 (0.53)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0892	0.1963
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-9.4%	-5.1%

Table 62. Comparison between products in Brittle nail (Daily log) score

		Nail peeling (Daily log)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
D1-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	0.00 (0.22)	0.00 (0.00)	-0.05 (0.48)
	95% CI	(-0.07 ; 0.07)	(. ; .)	(-0.19 ; 0.10)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	1.0000	na	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	na	No
	Change in %	0.0%	0.0%	-1.7%
D2-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	0.00 (0.22)	0.02 (0.15)	-0.05 (0.48)
	95% CI	(-0.07 ; 0.07)	(-0.02 ; 0.07)	(-0.19 ; 0.10)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	1.0000	1.0000	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	0.0%	1.0%	-1.7%
D3-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.12 (0.32)	-0.02 (0.15)	-0.07 (0.50)
	95% CI	(-0.22 ; -0.02)	(-0.07 ; 0.02)	(-0.22 ; 0.08)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0625	1.0000	0.7500
	Statistically significant	No	No	No
	Change in %	-4.5%	-1.0%	-2.6%
D4-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.14 (0.35)	-0.02 (0.15)	-0.05 (0.53)
	95% CI	(-0.25 ; -0.03)	(-0.07 ; 0.02)	(-0.21 ; 0.11)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0313	1.0000	1.0000
	Statistically significant	Yes	No	No
	Change in %	-5.4%	-1.0%	-1.7%
D5-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.21 (0.47)	-0.16 (0.48)	-0.05 (0.61)
	95% CI	(-0.35 ; -0.07)	(-0.30 ; -0.01)	(-0.23 ; 0.14)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0078	0.0625	0.8125
	Statistically significant	Yes	No	No
	Change in %	-8.0%	-7.0%	-1.7%
D6-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	44 (0)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.30 (0.71)	-0.16 (0.75)	-0.09 (0.56)
	95% CI	(-0.52 ; -0.08)	(-0.39 ; 0.07)	(-0.26 ; 0.08)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon

		Nail peeling (Daily log)		
		ITT population		
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
	p-value	0.0117	0.1172	0.5313
	Statistically significant	Yes	No	No
	Change in %	-11.6%	-7.0%	-3.4%
D7-D0	N (miss)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
	mean (SD)	-0.42 (0.82)	-0.28 (0.67)	-0.14 (0.63)
	95% CI	(-0.67 ; -0.17)	(-0.48 ; -0.07)	(-0.33 ; 0.06)
	Test	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon	Wilcoxon
	p-value	0.0010	0.0137	0.2656
	Statistically significant	Yes	Yes	No
	Change in %	-16.1%	-15.1%	-5.2%

Table 63. Change from baseline in Nail peeling (Daily log) score

		Nail peeling (Daily log)	
		ITT population	
		Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution vs Loceryl®	Fungal Nail Pen vs Loceryl®
D1-D0	mean (SD)	0.05 (0.37)	0.05 (0.34)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	1.0000	1.0000
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	1.7%	1.7%
D2-D0	mean (SD)	0.05 (0.37)	0.07 (0.36)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	1.0000	0.5772
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	1.7%	2.7%
D3-D0	mean (SD)	-0.05 (0.42)	0.05 (0.37)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1596	0.9908
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-1.9%	1.6%
D4-D0	mean (SD)	-0.09 (0.45)	0.02 (0.39)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0602	0.6774
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-3.6%	0.7%
D5-D0	mean (SD)	-0.16 (0.54)	-0.11 (0.55)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0500	0.2142
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	-6.3%	-5.3%
D6-D0	mean (SD)	-0.21 (0.64)	-0.07 (0.66)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.1061	0.2160
	Statistically significant	No	No
	Change in %	-8.2%	-3.6%
D7-D0	mean (SD)	-0.28 (0.73)	-0.14 (0.65)
	Test	Mann-Whitney	Mann-Whitney
	p-value	0.0449	0.1591
	Statistically significant	Yes	No
	Change in %	-10.9%	-9.9%

Table 64. Comparison between products in Nail peeling (Daily log) score

			Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
			ITT	ITT	ITT
			N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
I see visible results	D30	N (MV)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
		Totally agree	13 (30.2%)	8 (18.6%)	5 (11.4%)
		Agree	24 (55.8%)	26 (60.5%)	23 (52.3%)
		Neutral	6 (14.0%)	9 (20.9%)	15 (34.1%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)
		Totally Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
	D90	N (MV)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
		Totally agree	24 (55.8%)	22 (51.2%)	13 (29.5%)
		Agree	13 (30.2%)	21 (48.8%)	24 (54.5%)
		Neutral	6 (14.0%)	0 (0.0%)	5 (11.4%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (4.5%)
		Totally Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
	D180	N (MV)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
		Totally agree	26 (61.9%)	29 (69.0%)	17 (38.6%)
		Agree	15 (35.7%)	13 (31.0%)	22 (50.0%)
		Neutral	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (6.8%)
		Disagree	1 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (4.5%)
		Totally Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
The product is effective to recover the natural colour of the nail	D30	N (MV)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
		Totally agree	9 (20.9%)	8 (18.6%)	4 (9.1%)
		Agree	18 (41.9%)	20 (46.5%)	14 (31.8%)
		Neutral	16 (37.2%)	13 (30.2%)	23 (52.3%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)	3 (6.8%)
		Totally Disagree	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)	0 (0.0%)
	D90	N (MV)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
		Totally agree	24 (55.8%)	22 (51.2%)	10 (22.7%)
		Agree	11 (25.6%)	18 (41.9%)	24 (54.5%)
		Neutral	8 (18.6%)	3 (7.0%)	8 (18.2%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (4.5%)
		Totally Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
	D180	N (MV)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
		Totally agree	24 (57.1%)	28 (66.7%)	15 (34.1%)
		Agree	13 (31.0%)	11 (26.2%)	19 (43.2%)
		Neutral	4 (9.5%)	3 (7.1%)	7 (15.9%)
		Disagree	1 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (6.8%)
		Totally Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

			Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
			ITT	ITT	ITT
			N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
I am satisfied with the product	D30	N (MV)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
		Totally agree	12 (27.9%)	8 (18.6%)	3 (6.8%)
		Agree	23 (53.5%)	24 (55.8%)	20 (45.5%)
		Neutral	8 (18.6%)	11 (25.6%)	21 (47.7%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
		Totally Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
	D90	N (MV)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
		Totally agree	24 (55.8%)	23 (53.5%)	10 (22.7%)
		Agree	13 (30.2%)	15 (34.9%)	25 (56.8%)
		Neutral	6 (14.0%)	5 (11.6%)	8 (18.2%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)
		Totally Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
	D180	N (MV)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
		Totally agree	24 (57.1%)	29 (69.0%)	14 (31.8%)
		Agree	15 (35.7%)	10 (23.8%)	22 (50.0%)
		Neutral	2 (4.8%)	3 (7.1%)	6 (13.6%)
		Disagree	1 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (4.5%)
		Totally Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
The product fits my daily routine easily	D30	N (MV)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
		Totally agree	10 (23.3%)	15 (34.9%)	12 (27.3%)
		Agree	26 (60.5%)	25 (58.1%)	29 (65.9%)
		Neutral	5 (11.6%)	3 (7.0%)	3 (6.8%)
		Disagree	2 (4.7%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
		Totally Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
	D90	N (MV)	43 (0)	43 (1)	44 (0)
		Totally agree	19 (44.2%)	26 (60.5%)	19 (43.2%)
		Agree	21 (48.8%)	14 (32.6%)	25 (56.8%)
		Neutral	3 (7.0%)	3 (7.0%)	0 (0.0%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
		Totally Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
	D180	N (MV)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
		Totally agree	18 (42.9%)	26 (61.9%)	21 (47.7%)
		Agree	17 (40.5%)	15 (35.7%)	18 (40.9%)
		Neutral	5 (11.9%)	1 (2.4%)	5 (11.4%)
		Disagree	2 (4.8%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
		Totally Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

Table 65. Distribution of the answers to the subjective evaluation questionnaire (1/2)

			Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®
			ITT	ITT	ITT
			N (%)	N (%)	N (%)
The product is convenient in terms of packaging/presentation	D180	N (MV)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
		Totally agree	30 (71.4%)	34 (81.0%)	17 (38.6%)
		Agree	12 (28.6%)	8 (19.0%)	27 (61.4%)
		Neutral	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
		Totally Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
The product smell is pleasant	D180	N (MV)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
		Totally agree	18 (42.9%)	24 (57.1%)	13 (29.5%)
		Agree	11 (26.2%)	12 (28.6%)	29 (65.9%)
		Neutral	3 (7.1%)	4 (9.5%)	2 (4.5%)
		Disagree	7 (16.7%)	2 (4.8%)	0 (0.0%)
		Totally Disagree	3 (7.1%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
The product frequency of use is adapted	D180	N (MV)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
		Totally agree	19 (45.2%)	27 (64.3%)	21 (47.7%)
		Agree	19 (45.2%)	14 (33.3%)	23 (52.3%)
		Neutral	3 (7.1%)	1 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)
		Disagree	1 (2.4%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
		Totally Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
The product is easy to apply	D180	N (MV)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
		Totally agree	26 (61.9%)	31 (73.8%)	21 (47.7%)
		Agree	16 (38.1%)	11 (26.2%)	23 (52.3%)
		Neutral	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
		Totally Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
The product is well absorbed and dries easily and fast	D180	N (MV)	42 (1)	42 (2)	44 (0)
		Totally agree	30 (71.4%)	34 (81.0%)	13 (29.5%)
		Agree	12 (28.6%)	8 (19.0%)	23 (52.3%)
		Neutral	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	3 (6.8%)
		Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	4 (9.1%)
		Totally Disagree	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (2.3%)

Table 66. Distribution of the answers to the subjective evaluation questionnaire (2/2)

Summary of performance results

Parameter	Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®	Comparison between Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution and Loceryl®	Comparison between Fungal Nail Pen and Loceryl®
Main criterion: variation of % of healthy surface (blinded, photographs analysis) after 180 days of treatments	After 180 days: +9.57% on average (S)	After 180 days: +13.81% on average (S)	/	/	/
Variation of % of healthy surface (blinded, photographs analysis) after 7, 30, 90 and 180 days of treatments	After 7 days: +5.58% (S) After 30 days: +9.61% (S) After 90 days: +8.29% (S)	After 7 days: +7.35% (S) After 30 days: +13.59% (S) After 90 days: +13.49% (S)	After 7 days: +6.27% (S) After 30 days: +10.88% (S) After 90 days: +12.24% (S) After 180 days: +15.76% (S)	No significant difference	No significant difference
Microbiological results	KOH: D0: 100% positive D90: 37.2% positive (S) D180: 26.2% positive (S) Culture: D0: 53.5% positive D90: 11.6% positive (S) D180: 14.3% positive (S) <i>T. rubrum</i> most frequent species	KOH: D0: 100% positive D90: 37.2% positive (S) D180: 19% positive (S) Culture: D0: 56.8% positive D90: 7% positive (S) D180: 7.1% positive (S) <i>T. rubrum</i> most frequent species	KOH: D0: 100% positive D90: 31.8% positive (S) D180: 29.5% positive (S) Culture: D0: 45.5% positive D90: 6.8% positive (S) D180: 9.1% positive (S) <i>T. rubrum</i> most frequent species	No significant difference	No significant difference
Onychomycosis evolution (live evaluation)	General improvement over time, % of subject with an improvement or success: D7: 27.9% D30: 83.8% D90: 97.7% D180: 97.7%	General improvement over time, % of subject with an improvement or success: D7: 18.2% D30: 76.7% D90: 100% D180: 100%	General improvement over time, % of subject with an improvement or success: D7: 6.8% D30: 68.2% D90: 88.6% D180: 93.2%	Significant difference in favor of the solution at D7	Significant difference in favor of the pen at D90
OSI score (live evaluation)	% subjects cured: D7: 0% D30: 4.7% (S) D90: 14% (S) D180: 30.2% (S)	% subjects cured: D7: 2.3% D30: 4.5% (S) D90: 20.5% (S) D180: 43.2% (S)	% subjects cured: D7: 0% D30: 2.3% D90: 9.1% (S) D180: 20.5% (S)	No significant difference	No significant difference
Onycholysis (live evaluation)	D7-D0: -6.4% D30-D0: -25.6% (S) D90-D0: -51.3% (S) D180-D0: -68.5% (S)	D7-D0: -11.5% (S) D30-D0: -33.1% (S) D90-D0: -75.1% (S) D180-D0: -87.9% (S)	D7-D0: -5.2% D30-D0: -27.3% (S) D90-D0: -53.2% (S) D180-D0: -70.1% (S)	No significant difference	No significant difference
Nail dystrophy (live evaluation)	D7-D0: -5.9% (S) D30-D0: -28.6% (S) D90-D0: -47.1% (S) D180-D0: -68.2% (S)	D7-D0: -0.9% D30-D0: -26.4% (S) D90-D0: -46.4% (S) D180-D0: -61.8% (S)	D7-D0: -0.8% D30-D0: -13.6% (S) D90-D0: -39.0% (S) D180-D0: -55.1% (S)	Significant difference in favour of the solution at D7, D30	Significant difference in favour of the pen at D30
Discoloration (live evaluation)	D7-D0: -10.0% (S) D30-D0: -30.8% (S) D90-D0: -46.2% (S) D180-D0: -66.9% (S)	D7-D0: -6.3% (S) D30-D0: -34.4% (S) D90-D0: -64.0% (S) D180-D0: -76.4% (S)	D7-D0: -0.8% D30-D0: -17.3% (S) D90-D0: -40.6% (S) D180-D0: -60.2% (S)	Significant difference in favour of the solution at D7 and D30	Significant difference in favour of the pen at D7, D30, D90 and

Parameter	Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®	Comparison between Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution and Loceryl®	Comparison between Fungal Nail Pen and Loceryl®
					D180
Nail thickening (live evaluation)	D7-D0: -11.6% (S) D30-D0: -28.6% (S) D90-D0: -55.4% (S) D180-D0: -71.7% (S)	D7-D0: -6.1% (S) D30-D0: -37.0% (S) D90-D0: -70.0% (S) D180-D0: -78.8% (S)	D7-D0: -3.4% D30-D0: -20.7% (S) D90-D0: -48.3% (S) D180-D0: -60.3% (S)	Significant difference in favour of the solution at D7	No significant difference
Impaired overall nail appearance (blinded assessor)	D7-D0: -6.8% D30-D0: -11.1 (S) D90-D0: -24.8% (S) D180-D0: -35.2% (S)	D7-D0: -2.5% D30-D0: -10.7% (S) D90-D0: -28.7% (S) D180-D0: -39.9% (S)	D7-D0: -9.9% (S) D30-D0: -19.1% (S) D90-D0: -29.7% (S) D180-D0: -32.8% (S)	Significant difference in favour of Loceryl® at D30	Significant difference in favour of Loceryl® at D7 and D30
Impaired nail shine (blinded assessor)	D7-D0: -12.8% (S) D30-D0: -12.8 (S) D90-D0: -29.9% (S) D180-D0: -39.6% (S)	D7-D0: -13.5% D30-D0: -20.2% (S) D90-D0: -36.7% (S) D180-D0: -50.9% (S)	D7-D0: -12.3% (S) D30-D0: -23.8% (S) D90-D0: -33.7% (S) D180-D0: -40.2% (S)	Significant difference in favour of Loceryl® at D30	No significant difference
Impaired nail smoothness (blinded assessor)	D7-D0: -7.7% D30-D0: -9.6% D90-D0: -36.5% (S) D180-D0: -43.9% (S)	D7-D0: -9.6% D30-D0: -21.7% (S) D90-D0: -43.1% (S) D180-D0: -55.4% (S)	D7-D0: -13.5% (S) D30-D0: -22.5% (S) D90-D0: -43.8% (S) D180-D0: -48.6% (S)	No significant difference	No significant difference
Discoloured nail (blinded assessor)	D7-D0: -5.9% D30-D0: -11.0% (S) D90-D0: -26.3% (S) D180-D0: -36.7% (S)	D7-D0: -6.5% D30-D0: -15.7% (S) D90-D0: -27.6% (S) D180-D0: -42.9% (S)	D7-D0: -7.4% (S) D30-D0: -15.6% (S) D90-D0: -27.9% (S) D180-D0: -30.3% (S)	No significant difference	No significant difference
Dry nail (blinded assessor)	D7-D0: -19.8% (S) D30-D0: -17% D90-D0: -37.7% (S) D180-D0: -45.9% (S)	D7-D0: -5.6% D30-D0: -21.7% (S) D90-D0: -41.7% (S) D180-D0: -55.9% (S)	D7-D0: -22.3% (S) D30-D0: -29.5% (S) D90-D0: -49.8% (S) D180-D0: -55.4% (S)	Significant difference in favour of Loceryl® at D90 and D180	Significant difference in favour of Loceryl® at D7
Thick nail (blinded assessor)	D7-D0: -9.1% D30-D0: -12.1% (S) D90-D0: -27.3% (S) D180-D0: -43.1% (S)	D7-D0: -2.8% D30-D0: -15.6% (S) D90-D0: -34.6% (S) D180-D0: -48.6% (S)	D7-D0: -3.7% D30-D0: -13.0% (S) D90-D0: -32.7% (S) D180-D0: -38.0% (S)	No significant difference	No significant difference
Brittle nail (blinded assessor)	D7-D0: -7.5% D30-D0: -17.8% (S) D90-D0: -34.6% (S) D180-D0: -48.3% (S)	D7-D0: -0.0% D30-D0: -13.8% (S) D90-D0: -40.7% (S) D180-D0: -51.0% (S)	D7-D0: -10.7% (S) D30-D0: -17.9% (S) D90-D0: -35.1% (S) D180-D0: -42.9% (S)	No significant difference	Significant difference in favour of Loceryl® at D7
Nail peeling (blinded assessor)	D7-D0: -15.9% (S) D30-D0: -6.8% D90-D0: -23.9% (S) D180-D0: -32.5% (S)	D7-D0: -8.5% D30-D0: -22.0% (S) D90-D0: -31.4% (S) D180-D0: -45.4% (S)	D7-D0: -20.6% (S) D30-D0: -26.5% (S) D90-D0: -43.8% (S) D180-D0: -40.26% (S)	Significant difference in favour of Loceryl® at D30 and D90	Significant difference in favour of Loceryl® at D7 and D90
Patient global impression of change	% of subject with an improved overall nail status: D30: 90.7% D90: 97.7% D180: 97.6%	% of subject with an improved overall nail status: D30: 88.4% D90: 100% D180: 100%	% of subject with an improved overall nail status: D30: 72.7% D90: 88.6% D180: 95.5%	Significant difference in favour of the solution at D30	Significant difference in favour of the pen at D90

Parameter	Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution	Fungal Nail Pen	Loceryl®	Comparison between Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution and Loceryl®	Comparison between Fungal Nail Pen and Loceryl®
Patient evaluation of nail appearance	D7-D0: -6.7% (S) D30-D0: -21.1% (S) D90-D0: -36.1% (S) D180-D0: -46% (S)	D7-D0: -4.4% (S) D30-D0: -20.3% (S) D90-D0: -38.9% (S) D180-D0: -51.4% (S)	D30-D0: -14.2% (S) D90-D0: -29.0% (S) D180-D0: -41.5% (S)	No significant difference	No significant difference
Impaired Nail brightness (Patient)	D5-D0: -8.4% (S) D6-D0: -11.2% (S) D7-D0: -14.5% (S) D30-D0: -29.1% (S) D90-D0: -45.3% (S) D180-D0: -53.1% (S)	D5-D0: -6.6% (S) D6-D0: -8.4% (S) D7-D0: -9.0% (S) D30-D0: -27.7% (S) D90-D0: -42.4% (S) D180-D0: -53.0% (S)	D30-D0: -11.5% (S) D90-D0: -33.9% (S) D180-D0: -46.0% (S)	Significant difference in favour of the solution at D5, D6, D7, D30 and D90	Significant difference in favour of the pen at D5, D7 and D30
Impaired Nail smoothness (Patient)	D6-D0: -9.4% (S) D7-D0: -10.5% (S) D30-D0: -29.8% (S) D90-D0: -43.9% (S) D180-D0: -50.9% (S)	D5-D0: -4.9% (S) D6-D0: -7.4% (S) D7-D0: -10.2% (S) D30-D0: -27.8% (S) D90-D0: -41.6% (S) D180-D0: -50.5% (S)	D6-D0: -4.8% (S) D7-D0: -5.4% (S) D30-D0: -14.4% (S) D90-D0: -31.7% (S) D180-D0: -42.5% (S)	Significant difference in favour of the solution at D30 and D90	Significant difference in favour of the pen at D30
Discoloured (Patient)	D7-D0: -8.7% (S) D30-D0: -22.5% (S) D90-D0: -38.7% (S) D180-D0: -52.1% (S)	D7-D0: -4.5% (S) D30-D0: -27.0% (S) D90-D0: -46.0% (S) D180-D0: -57.0% (S)	D30-D0: -15.6% (S) D90-D0: -33.0% (S) D180-D0: -44.7% (S)	Significant difference in favour of the solution at D7	Significant difference in favour of Loceryl® at D30 and D90
Dry nail (Patient)	D5-D0: -9.7% (S) D6-D0: -12.9% (S) D7-D0: -16.1% (S) D30-D0: -31.6% (S) D90-D0: -51.6% (S) D180-D0: -53.8% (S)	D4-D0: -3.9% (S) D5-D0: -7.2% (S) D6-D0: -9.9% (S) D7-D0: -11.8% (S) D30-D0: -34.7% (S) D90-D0: -50.2% (S) D180-D0: -58.6% (S)	D7-D0: -7.5% (S) D30-D0: -16.7% (S) D90-D0: -37.0% (S) D180-D0: -44.9% (S)	Significant difference in favour of the solution at D7 D30 and D90	Significant difference in favour of the pen at D30, D90 and D180
Thick nail (Patient)	D7-D0: -7.7% (S) D30-D0: -26.5% (S) D90-D0: -48.4% (S) D180-D0: -57.7% (S)	D30-D0: -35.7% (S) D90-D0: -51.8% (S) D180-D0: -55.1% (S)	D30-D0: -13.8% (S) D90-D0: -34% (S) D180-D0: -46.5% (S)	Significant difference in favour of the solution at D7 and D90	Significant difference in favour of the pen at D30
Brittle nail (Patient)	D5-D0: -7.6% (S) D6-D0: -12.7% (S) D7-D0: -13.6% (S) D30-D0: -32.2% (S) D90-D0: -43.2% (S) D180-D0: -47.9% (S)	D7-D0: -8.3% (S) D30-D0: -26.6% (S) D90-D0: -40.1% (S) D180-D0: -47.6% (S)	D30-D0: -9.2% (S) D90-D0: 28.6% (S) D180-D0: -40.3% (S)	Significant difference in favour of the solution at D30	Significant difference in favour of the pen at D30
Nail peeling (Patient)	D4-D0: -5.4% (S) D5-D0: -8.0% (S) D6-D0: -11.6% (S) D7-D0: -16.1% (S) D30-D0: -32.1% (S) D90-D0: -41.1% (S) D180-D0: -47.9% (S)	D7-D0: -14.0% (S) D30-D0: -27.3% (S) D90-D0: -40.7% (S) D180-D0: -44.5% (S)	D30-D0: -13.8% (S) D90-D0: -28.4% (S) D180-D0: -39.7% (S)	Significant difference in favour of the solution at D7	No significant difference
Subjective evaluation questionnaire	Patient satisfied with the product since D30	Patient satisfied with the product since D30	Patient satisfied with the product since D30	/	/

Adverse Events

	Fungal Nail 3-in-1 Solution N=44	Fungal Nail Pen N=44	Loceryl® N=44
ALL SOC			
Number of adverse events	2	1	3
Number of subjects with at least one adverse event	2	1	3
SOC			
Gastrointestinal disorders	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (33.3%)
Infections and infestations	0 (0.0%)	1 (100.0%)	2 (66.7%)
Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders	1 (50.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders	1 (50.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
PT			
COVID-19	0 (0.0%)	1 (100.0%)	1 (33.3%)
Dental discomfort	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (33.3%)
Eczema	1 (50.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Gastroenteritis	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	1 (33.3%)
Oropharyngeal pain	1 (50.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Serious AE			
No	2 (100.0%)	1 (100.0%)	3 (100.0%)
Yes	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Severity			
Mild	2 (100.0%)	1 (100.0%)	1 (33.3%)
Moderate	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	2 (66.7%)
Severe	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Product relationship			
Not related	2 (100.0%)	1 (100.0%)	3 (100.0%)
Unlikely	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Possible	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Probable	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Certain	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Protocol relationship			
Not related	2 (100.0%)	1 (100.0%)	3 (100.0%)
Unlikely	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Possible	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Probable	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Certain	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Action			
None	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)
Corrective treatment	2 (100.0%)	1 (100.0%)	3 (100.0%)
Other	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)	0 (0.0%)

Table 67. Adverse events summary