

Comparative study of two types of pacing for the treatment of unexplained syncope (vasovagal syndrome)

Submission date 15/04/2010	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 23/07/2010	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 29/12/2020	Condition category Signs and Symptoms	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Daniel Flammang

Contact details

Croix Rousse University Hospital
103 Grand Rue de la Croix Rousse
Lyon
France
69004
+33 (0)472 072865
daniel.flammang@yahoo.fr

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

N/A

Study information

Scientific Title

Comparative study of two types of pacing for the treatment of unexplained syncope (vasovagal syndrome): a multicentre, single-blinded, randomised controlled trial with a direct individual benefit

Study objectives

To compare the effectiveness of a dual chamber pacing (DDD) at 70 beats per minute (bpm) and a single chamber atrial pacing (AAI) at 30 bpm in patients suffering from vasovagal syndrome related to a predominant cardio-inhibitory reflex determined by adenosine-5'-triphosphate (ATP) test.

Null hypothesis:

The cumulative percentage syncope recurrence in the 30 bpm AAI-mode stimulated group, r_p , equals that in the 70 bpm DDD-mode group, r_w . Thus, $r_p = r_w = r_0$.

Alternative hypothesis: $r_p = ar_w$, where $a \neq 1$.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

1. The Ethics Committee of Poitiers University approved on the 1st of September 1999 (ref: 99.07.22)
2. Individual ethics committees of each clinical centre

Study design

Prospective multicentre comparative randomised single blind study with a direct individual benefit

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Syncope (unknown origin)

Interventions

ATP testing was carried out prior to randomisation. Only patients with block at least 10 seconds in duration were randomised to pacemaker implantation and programming with either:

1. Dual chamber pacing (DDD) at 70 bpm
2. Single chamber atrial pacing (AAI) at 30 bpm

An injection of adenosine triphosphate will be used to screen for cardiac pause.

The implantation is permanent, but the programming was set for the duration of the study to the random assignment. At the end of the study the recommended programming was to the more successful settings in the study, DDD @ 70bpm. The total follow-up was from January 2000 until May 2005.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome(s)

Recurrence of syncope

Key secondary outcome(s))

1. Duration of cardiac pause
2. Reprogramming of pacemaker
3. Recurrences after reprogramming

Completion date

31/12/2005

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Adult patients (greater than or equal to 18 years), agreeing to take part in the study and having signed the informed consent form
2. Patients having presented one or more episodes of syncope or pre-syncope unexplained by the usual screening tests: questionnaire, clinical examination, orthostatic hypotension investigation, biological tests, electrophysiological study (if necessary), echocardiogram (ECG), Holter, exercise test (optional), electroencephalogram (EEG) (optional), and brain scan (optional)
3. Negative sino-carotid massage (SCM)
4. Head-up tilt test conducted according to Appendix B protocol, whatever the result
5. Positive adenosine-5'-triphosphate (ATP) test, confirmed by double reading by principal investigator

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 years

Sex

All

Total final enrolment

80

Key exclusion criteria

1. Syncope etiology revealed by usual screening testings
2. Positive SCM
3. Atrial or ventricular tachyarrhythmia
4. Defibrillator implanted (DAI)
5. Pacemaker previously implanted
6. Patient on waiting list for or having had heart transplantation
7. Sick sinus syndrome, brady-tachy syndrome
8. Atrial fibrillation, paroxysmal or permanent first and second-degree atrio-ventricular block, trifascicular block
9. Ongoing pregnancy. Women of childbearing age should be using reliable contraception.
10. Acute systemic infection or other surgical contra-indication for pacemaker implantation
11. Chronic obstructive bronchopneumopathy
12. Asthma
13. Diabetes

Date of first enrolment

01/07/2000

Date of final enrolment

31/12/2005

Locations

Countries of recruitment

Belgium

France

Study participating centre

Croix Rousse University Hospital

Lyon

France

69004

Sponsor information

Organisation

Croix Rousse University Hospital (France)

ROR

<https://ror.org/006evg656>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Industry

Funder Name

Medtronic, Inc. (USA)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	03/01/2012	29/12/2020	Yes	No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes