

Trial to compare the Airway Management Device (AMD) with the Classic Laryngeal Mask Airway™

Submission date 12/09/2003	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 12/09/2003	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 06/03/2009	Condition category Surgery	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr T Cook

Contact details

Department of Anaesthetics
Royal United Hospital
Bath & North East Somerset Council
Bath
United Kingdom
BA1 3NG

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

N0212075199

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

To compare the performance of the Airway Management Device (AMD) with the Classic Laryngeal Mask Airway™ during insertion, maintenance and removal in anaesthetised adult patients.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Research Ethics Committee of Royal United Hospital Bath approved the study

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Surgery: Anaesthesia

Interventions

Airway Management Device versus the Classic Laryngeal Mask Airway™ during surgery in anaesthetised patients.

Intervention Type

Device

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome(s)

Success of airway placement

Key secondary outcome(s)

1. Time to achieve an airway
2. Airway manipulations required
3. Complications during use
4. Fibre-optic assessment of airway positioning

Completion date

30/04/2003

Eligibility**Key inclusion criteria**

Adult patients undergoing surgery requiring general anaesthetic which would normally involve using LMA

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

1. Any pathology of the neck or the upper respiratory or upper alimentary tract
2. At risk of pulmonary aspiration of gastric contents
3. Weight less than 50 kg or greater than 100 kg

Date of first enrolment

01/09/2000

Date of final enrolment

30/04/2003

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre

Department of Anaesthetics

Bath

United Kingdom

BA1 3NG

Sponsor information**Organisation**

Department of Health (UK)

Funder(s)

Funder type
Government

Funder Name
Royal United Hospital Bath NHS Trust (UK)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary
Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/11/2003		Yes	No