

# Efficacy of working memory training in children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)

<b>Submission date</b> 30/05/2013	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
<b>Registration date</b> 27/11/2013	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Last Edited</b> 21/01/2019	<b>Condition category</b> Mental and Behavioural Disorders	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) have deficits in executive functions, especially in working memory. These have a negative impact on academic performance, clinical symptoms and functional impairment. Previous studies have shown the effectiveness of working memory training on working memory, but the effects on clinical symptoms of ADHD are controversial. Our study aims to find out the effect of working memory training on relevant aspects in ADHD not previously studied, including other cognitive functions and functional impairment as well as clinical symptoms of ADHD. Moreover, according to recent studies, the effectiveness of this program may be influenced by genetic factors, so it is proposed to investigate the genetic influence on the effectiveness of the program.

### Who can participate?

Children with ADHD combined type aged 7 to 12 years.

### What does the study involve?

Children with combined-type ADHD who were not receiving pharmacological or psychological treatment were randomly assigned to one of two treatments: computerized training working memory program RoboMemo® (Cogmed Working Memory Training™), or placebo (dummy) training. The intervention lasted for five weeks. Assessments were conducted before, one week after the end of training, and at six months follow-up. We investigated genes associated with cognition to determine their influence on training effectiveness.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

RoboMemo ® can increase tics (rapid, repetitive, involuntary contractions of a group of muscles) in vulnerable patients. This training is contraindicated in people with photosensitive epilepsy. There were no other risks to the participants in the study. At the end of the study we offered to the participants who took part in the placebo training the possibility of doing RoboMemo ® for they could also benefit from it.

Where is the study run from?

The study was conducted at the Child and Adolescent Mental Health Unit, Hospital Universitari Mutua Terrassa, Barcelona, Spain.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

The study ran from June 2010 to December 2012.

Who is funding the study?

This study has received financial support through the award 22è PREMI FERRAN SALSAS I ROIG Salut Mental i Comunitat granted by the City Council of Rubi (Spain), 2010.

Who is the main contact?

Dr Amaia Hervás Zúñiga

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr Amaia Hervás Zúñiga

### Contact details

Rambla de Egara 386-388

Terrassa

Spain

08221

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

BE0045

## Study information

### Scientific Title

Near-transfer and far-transfer effects (other cognitive functions, clinical symptoms and functional impairment) in children with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder: influence of genetic variants associated with cognition, executive functions and working memory: a randomized controlled trial

### Study objectives

Participants of the working memory training will improve their performance in working memory, other cognitive functions, scales of executive functions and a reduction of ADHD

symptomatology. All this will be reflected by an improvement in functional impairment. The effectiveness of treatment may be influenced by genetic variants, whose knowledge could help improve the effectiveness of the program.

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

Clinical Research Ethics Committee (CEIC), University Hospital Mutua de Terrassa, 28/04/2010

**Study design**

Randomized double-blind placebo-controlled parallel-group clinical trial

**Primary study design**

Interventional

**Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

**Study setting(s)**

Hospital

**Study type(s)**

Quality of life

**Participant information sheet**

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD)

**Interventions**

The experimental group performed the computerised working memory training program, RoboMemo®, consisting of exercises on visuospatial and auditory working memory tasks. Training was carried out 5 days a week for five weeks, a total of 25 sessions. Each training session included 90 trials of working memory tasks. The mean total time of each training session (excluding breaks) was about 40 min/day. The training included motivational elements like feedback on performance in each task and a game at the end of each training session. The level of difficulty was automatically adjusted to the performance of each participant.

The control group (placebo training) performed the same working memory tasks (90 trials per session, 5 sessions a week for five weeks, with motivational elements) but without automatic adjustment of the level of difficulty, so the tasks remained at a low level of difficulty.

Both groups performed the baseline, post-intervention and 6 months follow-up assessments.

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Phase**

Not Applicable

### **Primary outcome measure**

Working memory index, consisting of: Digit Span Backward of the Wechsler Intelligence Scale - IV (WISC-IV), total score in Letters and Numbers WISC-IV, and Spatial Span Backward of the Wechsler Memory Scale - III (WMS-III)

### **Secondary outcome measures**

Cognitive functions:

For the evaluation of executive functions:

1. Commission Errors of the Conners' Continuous Performance Test (CPT II) for the assessment of response inhibition
2. Detectability of CPT II for sustained attention
3. Total correct score of the Tower of London DX 2nd Edition for planning
4. Perseverative errors of the Wisconsin Card Sorting Test - 64 (WCST-64) for cognitive flexibility
5. Trail Making Test part B (TMT B) for task switching

For the assessment of learning:

1. Reading comprehension test
2. Mathematical problem solving test of the Probes Psicopedagògiques d'Aprenentatges Instrumentals en Català

To evaluate social cognition:

1. IOWA Gambling Task for decision-making
2. Child Eyes Test for recognising facial expressions
3. Happe Strange Stories for Theory of Mind

Scales of executive functions:

1. Behavior Rating Inventory of Executive Function (BRIEF) for parents and teachers

For the assessment of clinical symptoms:

1. Conners Parent Rating Scale and Conners Teacher Rating Scale to assess core symptoms of ADHD and opposition
2. Child Behavior Checklist/4-18 CBCL for Parents and Teachers Report Form/5-12 TRF for teachers
3. Strengths and Difficulties Questionnaire for parents and teachers to evaluate other clinical symptoms.

For evaluation of functional impairment:

1. Weiss Functional Impairment Rating Scale - parent report (WFIRS-P)

### **Overall study start date**

01/06/2010

### **Completion date**

01/12/2012

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Combined-type ADHD according to DSM-IV-TR criteria. Comorbidity with other Disruptive Behaviour Disorders was accepted (i.e., Oppositional Defiant Disorder or Conduct Disorder) according to DSM-IV-TR criteria
2. Age between 7 and 12 years
3. T scores for Conners ADHD index for parents and teachers >70 at the time of diagnosis
4. No previous psychological or pharmacological treatment for ADHD
5. Access to a personal computer with internet connection

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Child

**Lower age limit**

7 Years

**Upper age limit**

12 Years

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

66. We calculated the sample size based on the variables that were part of the primary outcome measure.

**Key exclusion criteria**

Current exclusion criteria as of 30/09/2014:

1. Global intellectual capacity below 80 (previous exclusion criteria: below 85)
2. Comorbidity with autism spectrum disorder, psychosis, affective or anxiety disorder, consumption of toxic substances, learning disorder
3. History of traumatic brain injury in the last two years
4. Perceptual-motor alterations which would preclude the use of a computer
5. Participants with whose educational or socio-economic context would make it unlikely for families to comply with the study requirements and follow the treatment procedure

**Date of first enrolment**

01/06/2010

**Date of final enrolment**

01/12/2012

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

Spain

**Study participating centre**  
**Rambla de Egara 386-388**  
Terrassa  
Spain  
08221

## **Sponsor information**

### **Organisation**

Mutua de Terrassa Education and Research Foundation (Fundació Docència i Recerca Mutua de Terrassa) (Spain)

### **Sponsor details**

C/ Sant Antoni N°19  
Terrassa  
Spain  
08221

### **Sponsor type**

Research organisation

### **ROR**

<https://ror.org/02h74qa12>

## **Funder(s)**

### **Funder type**

Government

### **Funder Name**

This study has received financial support through the award 22è PREMI FERRAN SALSAS I ROIG Salut Mental i Comunitat granted by the City Council of Rubi (Spain)

## **Results and Publications**

### **Publication and dissemination plan**

Not provided at time of registration

### **Intention to publish date**

### **Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results of long-term far-transfer effects of working memory training in children with ADHD	01/08/2016	21/01/2019	Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results of the impact of working memory training on hot executive functions (decision-making and theory of mind) in children with ADHD	01/01/2016	21/01/2019	Yes	No