

# Comparison of the fibrin analysis system (FAS) endoluminal brush with currently accepted practice for restoring patency to blocked or partially blocked haemodialysis catheters.

<b>Submission date</b> 30/09/2005	<b>Recruitment status</b> Stopped	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
<b>Registration date</b> 30/09/2005	<b>Overall study status</b> Stopped	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Last Edited</b> 16/12/2010	<b>Condition category</b> Urological and Genital Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
		<input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr David Makanjuola

### Contact details

Epsom and St. Helier NHS Trust  
Renal Unit  
St Helier Hospital  
Wrythe Lane  
Carshalton  
United Kingdom  
SM5 1AA  
+44 (0)20 8296 3685  
david.makanjuola@epsom-sthelier.nhs.uk

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

**Secondary identifying numbers**

N0112146492

## **Study information**

**Scientific Title**

**Study objectives**

Is the fibrin analysis system (FAS) endoluminal brush better than thrombolytics at restoring patency to blocked haemodialysis catheters?

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

Not provided at time of registration

**Study design**

Randomised controlled trial

**Primary study design**

Interventional

**Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

**Study setting(s)**

Hospital

**Study type(s)**

Treatment

**Participant information sheet**

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Urological and Genital Diseases: Haemodialysis

**Interventions**

There will be two test groups of patients all experiencing a significant reduction in flow rate. This level will be defined as a flow rate that is less than 75% of the best flow rate achieved for that patient with the current catheter. Randomisation enrolment into Test Group 1 or 2 will be carried out by the patient enroller. Two random lists of 30 numbers have been generated. The first was assigned to brush intervention and second to urokinase intervention. The list was combined and ranked. The investigator will be provided with the blind ranked list, and assign each participant to the next sequential number. This code will be broken by an independent trialist, prior to intervention.

Added 20/07/09: the trial was stopped in 2002 due to recruitment issues.

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Phase**

Not Specified

**Primary outcome measure**

Number of dialysis catheters restored to patency following the intervention.

**Secondary outcome measures**

Not provided at time of registration

**Overall study start date**

01/11/2002

**Completion date**

01/11/2004

**Reason abandoned (if study stopped)**

Participant recruitment issue

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

Patients on haemodialysis at St Helier Hospital.

Intervention groups:

1. Catheterised haemodialysis patients with a flow rate less than 75% of best flow rate. Note that the same patient may be enrolled several times into the study if flow rate is reduced below 75% several times during the course of the study.
2. Patients new to dialysis or patients that have been on dialysis for a period of time.
3. All catheter types including temporary and permanent catheters.
4. All subjects must have a clinically defined optimal flow rate.
5. All subjects must have an x-ray prior to entry into the study - this would usually be done at the time a catheter is inserted to provide information on the site, tip position and length of catheter inserted.
6. No age restriction, both sexes, no other restrictions due to other illnesses or disorders.

Control Group:

The inclusion criteria for this group are as above, but patient must have flow rates >75% of the best flow rate achieved for that patient with the current catheter. This group will be used to establish baseline complication, time and cost data.

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

60

**Key exclusion criteria**

Subject exclusion criteria from control and intervention groups:

1. Patients with kinked lines
2. Patients with occlusion due to fibrin sheath formation

**Date of first enrolment**

01/11/2002

**Date of final enrolment**

01/11/2004

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre**

Epsom and St. Helier NHS Trust

Carshalton

United Kingdom

SM5 1AA

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

Department of Health

**Sponsor details**

Richmond House

79 Whitehall

London

United Kingdom

SW1A 2NL

+44 (0)20 7307 2622

dhmail@doh.gsi.org.uk

**Sponsor type**

Government

**Website**

<http://www.dh.gov.uk/Home/fs/en>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

Hospital/treatment centre

**Funder Name**

Epsom and St Helier University Hospitals NHS Trust (UK), NHS R&D Support Funding

## **Results and Publications**

**Publication and dissemination plan**

Not provided at time of registration

**Intention to publish date**

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

**IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration