# A magnetic resonance and histological investigation of articular cartilage damage in early stage degenerative disease of the hip joint and evaluation of synthetic labro-chondral graft implantation

Submission date 22/08/2013	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<ul> <li>Prospectively registered</li> <li>Protocol</li> </ul>	
Registration date 22/08/2013	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	Statistical analysis plan	
		Results	
Last Edited 29/05/2020	<b>Condition category</b> Musculoskeletal Diseases	Record updated in last year	

#### **Plain English summary of protocol** Not provided at time of registration

# **Contact information**

**Type(s)** Scientific

**Contact name** Miss Jocelyn Buly

**Contact details** Cardiology, Epsom and St Helier University Hospitals NHS Trust St Helier Hospital Wrythe Lane Carshalton United Kingdom SM5 1AA

jocelyn.buly@eoc.nhs.uk

# Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

#### ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers 14799

## Study information

#### Scientific Title

A magnetic resonance and histological investigation of articular cartilage damage in early stage degenerative disease of the hip joint and evaluation of synthetic labro-chondral graft implantation (INTCLAPH)

#### Acronym

INTCLAPH

#### **Study objectives**

Healthy joints depend upon specialised cartilage (hyaline cartilage) that covers the surfaces of the articulating bones.

In the hip joint, the ball at the top of the thigh bone (femoral head) moves against a recess in the pelvis (the acetabulum). The acetabulum is deepened by the presence of a fibrous rim (the labrum) that helps to stabilise the joint and keep lubricating fluid between the rubbing surfaces.

When joints are damaged, the hyaline cartilage is lost and the joint becomes painful. In the hip, damage can be caused by repetitive injury to the labrum because the shapes of the ball and socket do not quite match. In time, the labrum begins to separate from the bony acetabular rim and the adjacent hyaline cartilage becomes unstable. As the damage progresses, the cartilage begins to peel away from the underlying bone, the femoral head then rubs against the damaged area and arthritis ensues.

Over the last few years, techniques have been developed, using keyhole surgery, that allow us to repair damage to the labrum and reshape the femoral head to avoid further injury. A number of strategies have also been developed to promote cartilage regeneration in areas of hyaline cartilage loss. These range from simply removing the damaged cartilage, making holes in the underlying bone (microfracturing) to the application of synthetic collagen graft patches. To date no one has compared these different strategies to find out which is the most effective. We propose to undertake a two-centre, prospective, randomised study, on patients with acetabular cartilage loss (adjacent to labral damage) and compare the four most commonly used repair strategies in order to find out which works best. Clinical outcome, X-rays, Computerised tomography (CT), Magnetic Resonance (MR) and biomarkers (blood and urine tests) will be used to evaluate the study subjects.

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s) 13/LO/0753

**Study design** Randomised; Interventional; Design type: Not specified Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design Randomised controlled trial

**Study setting(s)** Hospital

**Study type(s)** Diagnostic

#### Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use contact details to request a participant information sheet

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Topic: Musculoskeletal; Subtopic: Musculoskeletal (all Subtopics); Disease: Non-inflammatory Joint Disorders

**Interventions** tbc, tbc

Intervention Type Other

**Phase** Not Applicable

**Primary outcome measure** tbc; Timepoint(s): tbc

**Secondary outcome measures** Not provided at time of registration

Overall study start date 15/08/2013

Completion date 15/01/2015

# Eligibility

#### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. History of hip pain.
- 2. Tönnis grade 0 or 1 radiographic changes.
- 3. Hip Joint Space Width (JSW) > 2 mm.
- 4. Arthroscopic confirmation of:
- 4.1. Labro-chondral split
- 4.2. Chondral defect grade 3 and 4 (Outerbridge, ICRS & UCL Classifications)

4.3. Chondral defect size range 0.5 4.0 cm2.

5. Ability to provide informed written consent.

Target Gender: Male & Female; Upper Age Limit 55 years ; Lower Age Limit 18 years

#### Participant type(s)

Patient

#### Age group

Adult

#### Lower age limit

18 Years

#### Sex

Both

#### Target number of participants

Planned Sample Size: 45; UK Sample Size: 45

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. OA Grade > 1 (Tönnis scale)
- 2. Inflammatory joint disease
- 3. Previous dislocation or fracture of the affected hip
- 4. Previous non-arthroscopic surgery to the affected hip
- 5. History of back pain
- 6. Age <18 years and > 55 years
- 7. BMI > 30
- 8. Hip joint space = 2 mm
- 9. Previous hip joint sepsis or osteomyelitis
- 10. Metabolic bone disease
- 11. Osteoporosis
- 12. Avascular necrosis
- 13. Associated neurological disease
- 14. Diabetes
- 15. Pregnancy
- 16. Chronic use of narcotics or oral steroids
- 17. Heavy alcohol intake on a regular basis
- 18. Inability to give consent or cooperate with the study protocol
- 19. Non-English speaking patients
- 20. Patients who move outside United Kingdom

#### Date of first enrolment

15/08/2013

#### Date of final enrolment

15/01/2015

# Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre Epsom and St Helier University Hospitals NHS Trust** Carshalton United Kingdom SM5 1AA

### Sponsor information

**Organisation** St George's University of London (UK)

**Sponsor details** Cranmer Terrace London England United Kingdom SW17 0RE

**Sponsor type** University/education

ROR https://ror.org/040f08y74

## Funder(s)

Funder type Charity

**Funder Name** Orthopaedic Research UK; Grant Codes: 491

Alternative Name(s)

**Funding Body Type** Private sector organisation

Funding Body Subtype

For-profit companies (industry)

**Location** United Kingdom

## **Results and Publications**

#### Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

#### IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs					
Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
HRA research summary			28/06/2023	No	No