

# Randomised Trial of Rectal Prolapse Surgery

<b>Submission date</b> 02/06/2003	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
<b>Registration date</b> 20/11/2003	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Last Edited</b> 02/05/2014	<b>Condition category</b> Urological and Genital Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Study website

<http://www.prosper.bham.ac.uk/>

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Mr John Northover

### Contact details

St Mark's Hospital  
ICRF Colorectal Cancer Unit  
Watford Road  
Harrow  
United Kingdom  
HA1 3UJ

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

N/A

# Study information

## Scientific Title

## Acronym

PROSPER - PROlapse Surgery: PErineal or Rectopexy

## Study objectives

Full thickness rectal prolapse is a profoundly disabling condition, occurring mainly in parous women. The pathogenesis is ill understood; curative treatment is exclusively surgical. The prevalence of the condition is not known. Amongst the 50% (154) of senior surgical members of the Association of Coloproctology responding to a questionnaire on the subject, the median number of prolapse operations performed annually was 6 (range 0-25). To make large-scale recruitment feasible, and to maximise the clinical relevance of the eventual findings, the National Rectal Prolapse Trial is designed to fit in with routine practice with a minimum of extra tests and investigations over those that would normally be required. About 1000 patients will be recruited into the trial over a 3 year period and followed for a minimum of 3 years.

A full thickness prolapse is the circumferential protrusion through the anus of all layers of the rectal wall. It is most common in young children and the elderly. The range of surgical methods available to correct the underlying anal sphincter or pelvic floor defects in a full thickness rectal prolapse poses the question about the choice of the best operation.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

## Study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

## Study type(s)

Treatment

## Participant information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Full thickness rectal prolapse

## **Interventions**

Given the uncertainty as to the best method of intervention and the lack of informative randomised evidence, a two-stage randomised, controlled clinical trial is proposed.

Eligibility for randomisation will be based on the 'uncertainty principle'; that is if a surgeon feels uncertain of the relative merits of the abdominal and perineal approach in a particular case, then randomisation can proceed between the abdominal and perineal approach.

Alternatively, the abdominal or perineal approach can be chosen if considered to be clearly indicated.

Randomisation two is then undertaken: if the abdominal approach is elected or allocated at randomisation, then randomisation is between Suture Rectopexy and Resection Rectopexy, if perineal, randomisation is between Delorme's and Altemeier's operations.

## **Intervention Type**

Other

## **Phase**

Not Specified

## **Primary outcome measure**

The primary measures of efficacy will be recurrence of rectal prolapse for randomisation 1 and bowel function for randomisation 2.

## **Secondary outcome measures**

Not provided at time of registration

## **Overall study start date**

01/01/2001

## **Completion date**

31/12/2007

# **Eligibility**

## **Key inclusion criteria**

Patients eligible for this study will be those with full thickness rectal prolapse.

## **Participant type(s)**

Patient

## **Age group**

Adult

## **Sex**

Both

## **Target number of participants**

1000

## **Key exclusion criteria**

Not provided at time of registration

**Date of first enrolment**

01/01/2001

**Date of final enrolment**

31/12/2007

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre**

**St Mark's Hospital**

Harrow

United Kingdom

HA1 3UJ

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

Clinical Trials Unit University of Birmingham (UK)

**Sponsor details**

Park Grange

1 Somerset Road

Edgbaston

Birmingham

England

United Kingdom

B15 2RR

**Sponsor type**

University/education

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/03angcq70>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

Other

**Funder Name**

The NHS R&D funded Clinical Trials Unit at the University of Birmingham and The BUPA Foundation (The Medical Research Charity)

## Results and Publications

**Publication and dissemination plan**

Not provided at time of registration

**Intention to publish date****Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan****IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

**Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/07/2013		Yes	No