# Study of colonoscopic surveillance intervals after removal of colorectal adenomas

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li><li>Protocol</li></ul>	
07/06/2011			
Registration date	Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan	
08/06/2011		[X] Results	
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data	
02/03/2017	Cancer		

#### Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Bowel polyps are small, benign (harmless) growths found on the inner lining of the colon (end part of the large intestine) or rectum. They are not usually cancerous, however if they are found they should be removed as some will eventually turn into cancer if left untreated. People who have been found to have bowel polyps need to undergo colposcopies in order to monitor the growth of polyps and check for new ones. This involves having a thin, flexible tube with a camera on the end being inserted into the anus to look at the lining of the large intestine. The aim of this study is to find out how often these colonoscopies should take place to best monitor patients with bowel polyps to prevent the development of cancer.

#### Who can participate?

Patients aged between 20 and 71 attending St Mark's Hospital between 1979-1990 with bowel polyps or bowel cancer.

#### What does the study involve?

Patients are divided into groups depending on their risk of developing bowel cancer. Those who have a high risk are randomly allocated to undergo colonoscopies at 12-18 month intervals or every three years. Those who have a low risk are randomly allocated to undergo examinations every three years or every five years. Throughout the study, all patients are monitored for the development of bowel cancer and at the end of the study, the best possible frequency of monitoring is determined.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating? Not provided at time of registration

Where is the study run from? Imperial College London (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? January 1979 to January 1990

Who is funding the study?

- 1. National Institute for Health Research, Health Technology Assessment Programme (UK)
- 2. Cancer Research UK (UK)

Who is the main contact? Professor Wendy Atkin w.atkin@imperial.ac.uk

# Contact information

## Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Prof Wendy S Atkin

#### Contact details

Imperial College London St. Mary's Campus Norfolk Place London United Kingdom W2 1PG +44 20 7594 3369 w.atkin@imperial.ac.uk

# Additional identifiers

# Protocol serial number

HTA 04/33/01

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

Randomised trial of colonoscopic surveillance intervals after removal of colorectal adenomas

## **Study objectives**

The study was designed to compare the effectiveness of different frequencies of colonoscopic examination, with polypectomy of all adenomas detected, for preventing advanced adenomas and colorectal cancer (CRC).

A secondary aim of this study was to identify baseline risk factors for diagnosis of advanced neoplasia (adenomas and CRC) during follow-up. This approach was adopted to determine if a subgroup of patients might benefit from more intense surveillance.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Not applicable because ethical approval standards were not in place when the study was started in the late 1970s early 1980s.

#### Study design

Single-centre stratified randomised trial

#### Primary study design

Interventional

#### Study type(s)

Diagnostic

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Colorectal cancer

#### **Interventions**

Study of colonoscopic surveillance regimens. Allocation to regimen was by minimisation method. Patients were stratified into high and low risk groups by their characteristics at entry (age, malignancy and multiplicity of adenomas). Then within the high risk group the participants could be randomised to frequent follow-up or exams at three year intervals. Within the low risk group paricipants could be randomised to exams at three year intervals or exams at five year intervals. No masking, all participants received surveillance.

#### High risk patients:

Three exams at 12-18 month intervals and 3-yearly follow-up thereafter (median endoscopic surveillance - 7.0 yrs, median passive follow-up - 18.6 yrs) or exams at three year intervals (median endoscopic surveillance - 6.6 yrs, median passive follow-up - 18.8 yrs)

#### Low risk patients:

Exams at three year intervals (median endoscopic surveillance - 9.1 yrs, median passive follow-up - 21.7 yrs) or exams at five year intervals (median endoscopic surveillance - 6.3 yrs, median passive follow-up - 21.8 yrs)

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

Not Applicable

## Primary outcome(s)

The primary outcome was the diagnosis of advanced neoplasia (advanced adenomas or colorectal cancer) during follow-up. An advanced adenoma was defined as an adenoma ≥ 10 mm or with high grade dysplasia.

# Key secondary outcome(s))

Detection of any adenoma during follow-up.

## Completion date

01/01/1990

# **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Patients attending St Marks Hospital between 1979-1990, with endoscopically removed adenomas or malignant lesions
- 2. 468 males and 317 females in the study, aged between 20 and 71

#### Participant type(s)

Patient

#### Healthy volunteers allowed

No

#### Age group

Adult

#### Sex

All

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. History of inflammatory bowel disease
- 2. Surgical resection for CRC
- 3. A rectal villous adenoma
- 4. Patients older than 70 years

#### Date of first enrolment

01/01/1979

#### Date of final enrolment

01/01/1990

# Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

# Study participating centre Imperial College London

Kensington London United Kingdom W2 1PG

# Sponsor information

#### Organisation

Imperial College London

#### ROR

https://ror.org/041kmwe10

# Funder(s)

#### Funder type

Government

#### **Funder Name**

Health Technology Assessment Programme

#### Alternative Name(s)

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme, Health Technology Assessment (HTA), HTA

#### **Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

## **Funding Body Subtype**

National government

#### Location

United Kingdom

#### **Funder Name**

Cancer Research UK

#### Alternative Name(s)

CR\_UK, Cancer Research UK - London, Cancer Research UK (CRUK), CRUK

#### **Funding Body Type**

Private sector organisation

#### **Funding Body Subtype**

Other non-profit organizations

#### Location

**United Kingdom** 

# **Results and Publications**

# Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

# IPD sharing plan summary

**Study outputs** 

Output type	Details	Date created Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	05/03/1992	Yes	No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025 11/11/2025	No	Yes