# The effect of choice-offering on children's vegetable consumption

Submission date Recruitment status [ ] Prospectively registered 02/06/2009 No longer recruiting [ ] Protocol [ ] Statistical analysis plan Registration date Overall study status 31/07/2009 Completed [X] Results Individual participant data **Last Edited** Condition category 25/01/2010 Nutritional, Metabolic, Endocrine

#### Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

# **Contact information**

## Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Prof Kees De Graaf

#### Contact details

Wageningen University
Division of Human Nutrition
PO Box 8129
Wageningen
Netherlands
6700 EV
Kees.degraaf@wur.nl

# Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

**IRAS** number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

ABR form NL 25334.081.08

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

The effect of choice-offering on children's vegetable consumption: a between-subject randomised controlled trial

#### Acronym

KIK-study

#### **Study objectives**

We hypothesise that children's vegetable liking and consumption will be higher in the choice-offering condition compared to the no-choice condition.

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

The Medical Ethical Committee of Wageningen University approved on the 16th December 2008 (ref: 08/20)

#### Study design

Multicentre between-subject three-arm randomised controlled trial

#### Primary study design

Interventional

#### Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

#### Study setting(s)

Other

#### Study type(s)

Quality of life

#### Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a participant information sheet

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Healthy eating in children and related health status

#### **Interventions**

Three treatments during a dinner situation:

- 1. No-choice condition: the child is not allowed to choose; the child is randomly assigned one of the two target vegetables
- 2. Choice-condition: the child is allowed to choose which of the two target vegetables he or she wants to eat for dinner
- 3. Variety-condition: the child receives both target vegetables for dinner

Duration of treatment per participant for each arm/condition = 1 day; no follow-up.

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### **Phase**

Not Applicable

#### Primary outcome measure

Vegetable consumption: consumption will be assessed by subtracting the amount of vegetable left on the plate from the pre-weight, measured after the intervention (post-treatment).

#### Secondary outcome measures

- 1. Liking of the vegetables: the children will make a preference rank order for various vegetables, with the use of three categories (smileys) of liking. This preference rank-order will be performed twice. The first time is before the start of the study at school, in order to select the target vegetables. The second rank-order will be performed at the Restaurant of the Future, after the meal, to assess how the offering of the vegetables influences the pleasantness of the vegetables. We focus on the vegetable that the child ate (choose self versus no choice), but also for the vegetable that the child had seen but was not allowed to eat (choose self versus no choice). Measured before and after the intervention (pre + post- treatment).
- 2. Perceived choice and autonomy: to be able to check if the children experienced more choice when they were in a choice condition, we will ask the children and their parents a few questions related to choice and autonomy (Based on Intrinsic Motivation Inventory). Measured after the intervention (post-treatment).
- 3. Intrinsic motivation, reactance and experience of meal context: various questions will be asked to understand the process of reactance, the whole meal experience and children's intrinsic motivation. For the children, these questions will be very simple and concrete, so they can answer them reliably. The answers of the parents may help us understand how the child and his /her parent experienced the situation (Based on Intrinsic Motivation Inventory). Measured after the intervention (post-treatment).
- 4. Consumption of the other meal components: the consumption of potatoes and meat can influence children's vegetable consumption. Consumption will be measured by weighing before and after the meal. Measured after the intervention (post-treatment).
- 5. Parental consumption: we will measure parental consumption of potatoes, meat and vegetables as a measure of modelling: do parent and child eat similar portions? Do they finish the same items on the plate? Measured after the intervention (post-treatment).

## Overall study start date

05/01/2009

#### Completion date

06/03/2009

# **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Healthy children aged 4 6 years old (girls and boys) and their parents
- 2. Children whose parents signed an informed consent
- 3. Parents who gave their consent to participate

#### Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

#### Age group

Child

#### Lower age limit

4 Years

#### Upper age limit

6 Years

#### Sex

Both

#### Target number of participants

300

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Children without signed informed consent of their parents
- 2. Children with vegetable allergy
- 3. Children who refuse to eat target vegetables of the study

#### Date of first enrolment

05/01/2009

#### Date of final enrolment

06/03/2009

# Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

Netherlands

# Study participating centre Wageningen University

Wageningen Netherlands 6700 EV

# Sponsor information

# Organisation

Wageningen University (Netherlands)

#### Sponsor details

Division of Human Nutrition PO Box 8129 Wageningen Netherlands 6700 EV Kees.degraaf@wur.nl

#### Sponsor type

University/education

#### Website

http://www.wageningenuniversiteit.nl/UK/

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/04qw24q55

# Funder(s)

#### Funder type

University/education

#### Funder Name

Wageningen University (Netherlands) - Graduate Schools VLAG and Graduate School Mansholt

# **Results and Publications**

# Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

# IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

# **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/02/2010		Yes	No