

Study to compare the clinical outcomes of coiled-end versus straight-end Swan-Neck peritoneal dialysis (PD) catheters

Submission date 16/02/2011	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
Registration date 28/02/2011	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Last Edited 10/01/2012	Condition category Urological and Genital Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof Nan Chen

Contact details

197 Ruijin Er Road
Shanghai
China
20025

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

NCT31143456

Study information

Scientific Title

A prospective, randomised, controlled trial to compare the clinical outcomes of coiled-end versus straight-end Swan-Neck peritoneal dialysis (PD) catheters in Chinese Han population

Study objectives

A reliable permanent access is the key factor in the successful delivery of peritoneal dialysis (PD).

The main objective of catheter design is to reduce the risk of mechanical and infectious complications. Variations in the design of peritoneal catheters include different numbers of cuffs (single vs double), different shapes of subcutaneous paths (permanently-bent Swan neck catheter vs straight Tenckhoff catheter) and different shapes of intra-abdominal segments (straight vs coiled).

A recent systematic review demonstrated that the benefits of various design types have been studied poorly. Most published studies are limited by a small sample size and various design problems, such as lack of stratification by surgeon and presence of several interventions (eg. single vs double cuff, Swan-Neck vs Tenckhoff, Moncrief-Popovich vs conventional insertion technique, median vs lateral insertion site, etc). As a result, the International Society for Peritoneal Dialysis (ISPD) is unable to provide definitive guidelines for catheter choice. Moreover, most studies have been performed in Caucasians, and there is general lack of data relevant to Asian populations. It is generally believed that this design allows for less dialysate inflow pain and less propensity for catheter migration. However, two recent studies have suggested that coiled catheters may be associated with a greater rate of drainage dysfunction due to catheter tip migration and may require replacement more frequently compared to straight catheters. These observations prompted our randomised controlled trial (RCT) with the primary hypothesis that coiled-end catheters may be more prone to catheter tip migration and resultant catheter dysfunction.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Hospital Ethics Committee for Human Research, approved on 14th May 2006, ref no: RJYY200605005

Study design

Prospective randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

End stage renal disease requiring renal replacement therapy

Interventions

The patients of two groups were randomised to be inserted with a coiled or a straight Swan-neck peritoneal catheter. After the catheter insertion, the twin bag system, lactate dialysate (Dianeal, Baxter, China) with glucose concentration 1.5% or 2.5%, with a dialytic dose of 6-8 L per day was used.

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome(s)

Catheter tip migration defined as catheter tip located above the pelvic brim on the abdominal X-ray with associated catheter dysfunction

Key secondary outcome(s)

1. All-cause catheter failure (defined by a necessity to remove or reposition the catheter by surgical methods)
2. Catheter related infections (including peritonitis, exit-site infection and tunnel infection)
3. Technique survival (defined as time to permanent transition to haemodialysis) and overall patient survival

Completion date

28/02/2008

Eligibility**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Age between 18 and 80
2. Presence of end stage renal disease (ESRD)
3. Initiation of Continuous Ambulatory Peritoneal Dialysis (CAPD) therapy in our hospital
4. Expected survival greater than 6 months
5. Provision of informed consent to participate in the study

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 years

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

1. Unstable or poorly controlled coronary artery disease
2. Severe congestive heart failure (New York Heart Association Grade III or IV)
3. Severe chronic respiratory disease, malignant disease, clinically significant hepatic disease, acute renal failure and psychiatric disease
4. Women who were pregnant or lactating

Date of first enrolment

01/10/2006

Date of final enrolment

28/02/2008

Locations

Countries of recruitment

China

Study participating centre

197 Ruijin Er Road

Shanghai

China

20025

Sponsor information

Organisation

Shanghai Ruijin Hospital, Shanghai Jiaotong University, School of Medicine (China)

ROR

<https://ror.org/01hv94n30>

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Leading Academic Discipline Project of Shanghai Health Bureau (China) (05III 001 and 2003ZD002)

Funder Name

Shanghai Leading Academic Discipline Project (China) (T0201)

Funder Name

The National Natural Science Foundation (China) (81000295)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary
Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/12/2011		Yes	No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes