# Pragmatic, randomised controlled trial assessing the non-Inferiority of counselling and its effectiveness for depression

Submission date	Recruitment status  No longer recruiting	[X] Prospectively registered		
18/07/2014		[X] Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan		
24/09/2014		[X] Results		
<b>Last Edited</b> 18/05/2021	Condition category  Mental and Behavioural Disorders	[] Individual participant data		

#### Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

There is good evidence showing that a talking therapy called Cognitive Behaviour Therapy (CBT) can and does help many people. However, it does not help everyone all the time. For people experiencing moderate or severe depression there is an alternative treatment called counselling for depression (CfD). CfD is as effective as CBT but we need to test whether this is really the case by conducting a scientifically rigorous study. This is the purpose of the study and the findings may help to inform patient choice in the future.

#### Who can participate?

Adults from the Sheffield Health and Social Care NHS Foundation Trust's Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT) service who have been referred for step 3 treatment and who have a primary diagnosis of moderate or severe depression.

#### What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated to either Counselling for Depression (CfD) or Cognitive Behaviour Therapy (CBT). Once people are screened and accepted into the study, they complete some forms about how effective the therapy is for them. Once treatment has finished, clients are sent a questionnaire pack 6 and 12 months after their entry into the study. With their agreement, they are also contacted by telephone to carry out a short interview on their experience of treatment, whether they have terminated treatment with the agreement of their practitioner or by their own decision.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Participants stand an equal chance of receiving either CBT or CfD, and there is currently little evidence to suggest that one treatment is better than the other for depression. There is little or no risk to participants who are part of the study. This is because they continue with their treatment as they would normally.

Where is the study run from? Sheffield Health and Social Care NHS Foundation Trust (UK) - Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT) service

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? Recruitment began in August 2014 and will recruit for a period of around 18 months

Who is funding the study?

The British Association for Counselling and Psychotherapy (BACP) Research Foundation (UK)

Who is the main contact? Prof. Michael Barkham m.barkham@sheffield.ac.uk

# **Contact information**

#### Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Mr David Saxon

#### Contact details

ScHARR
University of Sheffield
Regents Court
Regents Street
Sheffield
United Kingdom
S1 4DA
+44 (0)114 222 0718
d.saxon@sheffield.ac.uk

## Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

A pragmatic non-inferiority randomised trial of the clinical and cost-effectiveness of counselling for depression versus cognitive-behaviour therapy, for clients in primary care meeting a diagnosis of moderate or severe depression

#### Acronym

**PRaCTICED** 

#### **Study objectives**

Determining the clinical and cost-effectiveness of counselling for depression (CfD) compared with cognitive behaviour therapy (CBT) as delivered in primary care for clients with moderate or severe depression.

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

NRES Committee Yorkshire & The Humber - South Yorkshire, 27/03/2014, ref: 14/YH/0001

#### Study design

Non-inferiority randomised controlled trial embedded within a comprehensive cohort design

#### Primary study design

Interventional

#### Study type(s)

Treatment

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Moderate and severe depression

#### **Interventions**

Participants are randomised to two arms:

- 1. Counselling for Depression (CfD): the intervention being evaluated is Counselling for Depression (CfD). CfD is a form of Person-Centred/Experiential (PCE) therapy derived from the competences required to deliver effective humanistic psychological therapies. CfD is drawn from those humanistic approaches with the strongest evidence for efficacy, based on outcomes of controlled trials. CfD is specifically designed to address depression and is delivered within IAPT and related programmes. The comparator intervention will be high-intensity Beckian CBT as delivered within the Sheffield IAPT service. Both interventions will offer up to 20 sessions for participants.
- 2. Cognitive Behavioural Therapy (CBT)

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

Not Applicable

#### Primary outcome(s)

Depression severity and symptomatology measured by the PHQ-9 at 6 months and at 12-month follow-up.

#### Key secondary outcome(s))

- 1. CORE-OM, BDI-II, EQ-5D-5L, WSAS and GAD-7
- 2. Healthcare utilisation, measured using an adaptation of the Client Service Receipt Inventory
- 3. Patient satisfaction, measured using the Client Satisfaction Questionnaire (CSQ), at 6 months

Taken at baseline, 6 and 12 months

#### Completion date

30/08/2019

## **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

Aged 18 or over

#### Stage 1:

- 1. An initial indication by the client that depression is a major focus (ascertained by the PWP during initial assessment of presenting issues)
- 2. Weekly PHQ-9 scores are greater or equal to 12 at the 3rd or 4th appointment with the PWP
- 3. Client states no strong objection to either treatment sufficient for them to be unwilling to enter the trial should they be allocated to the alternate treatment

#### Stage 2:

4. Client meets an ICD-10 diagnosis of moderate or severe depression using the Clinical Interview Schedule-Revised (CIS-R) carried out by an independent assessor

#### Participant type(s)

Patient

#### Healthy volunteers allowed

No

#### Age group

Adult

#### Lower age limit

18 years

#### Sex

All

#### Total final enrolment

510

#### Key exclusion criteria

#### Stage 1:

- 1. Presence of prior diagnosis of personality disorder, bipolar disorder, schizophrenia as indicated in the IAPT Outcomes Toolkit within the service data or from GP referral notes to the service
- 2. Organic origin of presentation (e.g., dementia) as indicated on referral to the service by the GP
- 3. Long-term physical condition as denoted in service notes

#### Stage 2:

4. Elevated risk of suicide: if active thoughts of suicide are indicated from the CIS-R, we will implement a risk protocol to inform the PWP or identified practitioner

5. Alcohol or substance dependency: these will be determined by Questions 1 and 2 from Section I (Alcohol) and Section II (Drug) of the Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I. N.I.), which yield diagnoses of current alcohol or drug dependency

### Date of first enrolment

16/10/2014

#### Date of final enrolment

30/08/2018

## Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

**United Kingdom** 

England

Study participating centre University of Sheffield Sheffield United Kingdom S1 4DA

# **Sponsor information**

#### Organisation

University of Sheffield (UK)

#### ROR

https://ror.org/05krs5044

# Funder(s)

#### Funder type

Research organisation

#### Funder Name

The British Association for Counselling and Psychotherapy (BACP) Research Foundation (UK) (Ref: 0001)

# **Results and Publications**

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not expected to be made available

## **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article		01/06/2021	18/05/2021	Yes	No
Protocol article	protocol	01/03/2017		Yes	No
HRA research summary			28/06/2023		No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes
Study website	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes