ISRCTN06849961 https://doi.org/10.1186/ISRCTN06849961

Improving adolescent and adult mortality data in developing countries

Submission date	Recruitment status	[_] Prospectively registered
21/01/2014	No longer recruiting	[_] Protocol
Registration date	**Overall study status**	[_] Statistical analysis plan
13/02/2014	Completed	[X] Results
Last Edited	**Condition category**	[_] Individual participant data
08/01/2015	Other	

Plain English summary of protocol
Background and study aims
very few low-income countries have complete vital registration systems to monitor adult mortality accurately. Instead, adult mortality is estimated from data collected during household-based surveys, by asking respondents about the survival of their siblings. These siblings' survival histories (SSH) are inexpensive to collect but are potentially affected by large errors. As a result, estimates of the level of adult mortality in low-income countries are frequently contested. In this project, we tested whether a new survey instrument helps improve the quality of data on siblings' survival collected during surveys.

Who can participate?
This study recruited participants who ever resided among the population of the Niakhar Health and demographic surveillance area in Senegal. Participants were aged 15-59 years old, and had at least one adult sibling. We recruited 824 participants among families with at least one adult death and 375 among families with only surviving members.

What does the study involve?
We interviewed participants with either the standard SSH questionnaire (the same questionnaire that is used in most national surveys), or a new questionnaire, which we call the siblings survival calendar (SSC). The questionnaire to which a participant was allocated was decided by a process called randomisation, equivalent to a coin toss. We then compared the answers provided by respondents according to the type of questionnaire they were interviewed with. In particular, we checked whether respondents interviewed with the SSC omitted fewer of their siblings and reported the ages and possible date of death of their siblings more accurately.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?
There are no direct benefits for the respondents. However, information gathered from the study respondents will help significantly improve the measurement of adult and maternal mortality in Senegal, and possibly in other low-income countries.
Risks associated with the study only involve possible discomforts felt by respondents when recalling deaths of siblings, as well as a potential breach of confidentiality if information collected during the study is accidentally disclosed to a third party.

Where is the study run from?
The study is run by Columbia University, the Institut National Détudes démographiques (Paris, France), and the Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (Dakar, Sénégal).
Recruitment took place in the Niakhar area of Senegal (Fatick region).

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?
Recruitment for the study lasted from January to March 2013. Participants were asked to take part in a single interview, which lasted 30-45 minutes. No follow-up was conducted.

Who is funding the study?
National Institutes of Health (NICHD, USA) and Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR, France).

Who is the main contact?
Stéphane Helleringer
sh2813@columbia.edu

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr Stephane Helleringer

Contact details
60 Haven Avenue
Level B-2
New York
United States of America
NY 10032
-
sh2813@columbia.edu

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers
R03HD071117

Study information

Scientific Title
Improving adolescent and adult mortality data in developing countries: a block-randomised controlled trial

Study objectives
We hypothesize that a new survey questionnaire, which incorporates recall cues and a life calendar approach, will significantly improve the quality of data on adult mortality collected during surveys in developing countries with limited vital registration systems.

Ethics approval required
Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)
Columbia University Medical Center Institutional Review Board, 11/17/2011, Protocol ref.: IRB-AAAI9159
National Ethics Committee for Health Research in Sante (Comite National d'Ethique pour la Recherche en Sante) (Dakar, Senegal), 08/14/2012, Protocol ref.: SEN 12/11

Study design
Parallel arm block-randomised controlled trial of the SSC questionnaire

Primary study design
Interventional

Secondary study design
Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)
Other

Study type(s)
Screening

Participant information sheet
Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied
Measurements of adult mortality during retrospective surveys

Interventions
Participants were randomized as follows. first, we drew a sample of potential participants from the list of individuals in the population rosters of the Niakhar HDSS who met eligibility requirements. Among these selected participants, randomization was then done using a random-number generator and was stratified by gender, age of the respondent and family composition. randomization was done in blocks of 10. Each participant then underwent one single interview with either the standard questionnaire (used in most demographic and health surveys) or our new siblings survival calendar. There was no follow-up, all study outcomes were measured durign this single interview.

Intervention Type
Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome measure
Proportion of respondents who forget at least one of their adult siblings during the survey measured at baseline and there was no follow-up. Our study tested the efficacy of a new questionnaire in improving data quality collected during cross-sectional surveys.

Secondary outcome measures
Average error in reports of the:
1. Current age of live siblings
2. Age at death of deceased siblings
3. Number of years since the death of deceased siblings

Overall study start date
15/01/2013

Completion date
30/03/2013

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria
1. Being aged 15-59 years old
2. Having ever resided in the Niakhar Health and Demographic Surveillance System (HDSS) area
3. Having at least one maternal sibling according to the Niakhar HDSS dataset.

Participant type(s)
Patient

Age group
Adult

Sex
Both

Target number of participants
824 among families with at least one adult death + 375 among families with only surviving members

Key exclusion criteria
Residing outside of Senegal or in areas of Senegal where the study team cannot visit a potential participant (e.g., regions distant from the capital).

Date of first enrolment
15/01/2013

Date of final enrolment
30/03/2013

Locations

Countries of recruitment
Senegal

United States of America

Study participating centre
60 Haven Avenue
New York
United States of America
NY 10032

Sponsor information

Organisation
National Institutes of Health (USA)

Sponsor details
Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (NICHD)
31 Center Drive
Building 31, Room 2A32
Bethesda
United States of America
MD 20892-2425

Sponsor type
Government

ROR
https://ror.org/01cwqze88

Funder(s)

Funder type
Government

Funder Name
National Institutes of Health

Alternative Name(s)
Institutos Nacionales de la Salud, US National Institutes of Health, NIH

Funding Body Type
Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype
National government

Location
United States of America

Funder Name
Agence Nationale de la Recherche

Alternative Name(s)
French National Research Agency, French National Agency for Research, ANR

Funding Body Type
Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype
National government

Location
France

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan
Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary
Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	27/05/2014		Yes	No