# A randomised comparison of ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin and gatifloxacin for the treatment of adults with tuberculous meningitis

Submission date Recruitment status [ ] Prospectively registered 22/07/2005 No longer recruiting [ ] Protocol [ ] Statistical analysis plan Registration date Overall study status 22/07/2005 Completed [X] Results [ ] Individual participant data Last Edited Condition category Infections and Infestations 06/02/2015

# Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

# Contact information

#### Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Dr Guy Thwaites

#### Contact details

Oxford University Clinical Research Unit The Hospital for Tropical Diseases 190 Ben Ham Tu Ho Chi Minh City Viet Nam 5 +84 (0)8 9237954 guy.thwaites@btinternet.com

# Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

**IRAS** number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

A randomised comparison of ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin and gatifloxacin for the treatment of adults with tuberculous meningitis

#### Acronym

BN study

#### **Study objectives**

Fluoroquinolones are bactericidal for Mycobacterium tuberculosis and are recommended by the World Health Organisation (WHO) for the treatment of multi-drug resistant pulmonary tuberculosis. Reports of their use in Tuberculous Meningitis (TBM) are restricted to case reports, and there are no controlled trials to clarify their role in management. In particular, data regarding Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) penetration and pharmacokinetics are scant, and it is uncertain which of the fluoroquinolones represents the best drug for treating TBM.

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

#### Study design

Randomised controlled trial

#### Primary study design

Interventional

#### Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

#### Study setting(s)

Not specified

#### Study type(s)

Treatment

#### Participant information sheet

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Tuberculous meninaitis

#### Interventions

Adults entering the study will be randomised to one of four treatment arms:

- 1. Conventional four drug Anti-Tuberculosis Chemotherapy (ATC) (comprising of isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide and ethambutol)
- 2. Conventional four drug ATC plus ciprofloxcin

- 3. Conventional four drug ATC plus levofloxacin
- 4. Conventional four drug ATC plus gatifloxacin.

The trial will be open-label. Sparse pharmacokinetic data will be generated from routine serial sampling of CSF/plasma performed upon each patient for the purposes of assessing response to treatment. Paired blood and CSF samples (for drug measurement and killing curves) will be taken at diagnosis, day two, day seven, day 30, and day 60. The precise timing of the Lumbar Puncture (LP), in relation to drug administration, will be randomised. Likewise, the timing of two further specimens of plasma (taken either side of the LP) will also be randomised.

#### Intervention Type

Drug

#### Phase

Not Applicable

#### Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Conventional four drug anti-tuberculosis chemotherapy (comprising of isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide and ethambutol), ciprofloxcin, levofloxacin and gatifloxacin.

#### Primary outcome measure

- 1. Clinical methods: the following will be used as markers of clinical response:
- a. fever clearance, coma clearance, date of discharge, death at two months, disability or death at nine months
- b. CSF pressure, lactate, white cell count, protein and glucose
- 2. Microbiological methods: we will attempt to demonstrate microbiological activity by two methods:
- a. time to CSF sterility serial lumbar punctures will allow us to assess the time taken to kill TBM in the CSF. 60% of adults with TBM isolated from the CSF before treatment have a sterile CSF after 48 hours of treatment, and 5% (often with resistant organisms) have TBM cultured from the CSF after 30 days of treatment (unpublished data from HTD). We aim to compare time to CSF sterility in the four treatment arms
- b. time to negative CSF amplified TBM direct test (Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Direct [MTD] test: Gen-probe, California). Using the same principles described above, we will compare time to negative MTD in the four treatment arms

#### Secondary outcome measures

No secondary outcome measures

### Overall study start date

01/04/2003

#### Completion date

01/02/2005

# Eligibility

#### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Aged over 14 years
- 2. Clinical diagnosis of TBM

#### Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

#### Age group

Adult

#### Sex

Both

#### Target number of participants

To be added

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Patients who are less than 15 years old
- 2. Patients who are pregnant or breast feeding
- 3. Patients in whom the physician believes fluoroquinolones are contraindicated e.g. previous adverse reaction
- 4. The consent of either the patient or their relatives is not obtained

#### Date of first enrolment

01/04/2003

#### Date of final enrolment

01/09/2004

#### Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

Viet Nam

# Study participating centre Oxford University Clinical Research Unit

Ho Chi Minh City Viet Nam 5

# Sponsor information

#### Organisation

University of Oxford (UK)

#### Sponsor details

University Offices Wellington Square Oxford England United Kingdom OX1 2JD

#### Sponsor type

University/education

#### Website

http://www.ox.ac.uk

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/052gg0110

# Funder(s)

#### Funder type

Charity

#### **Funder Name**

Wellcome Trust

#### Alternative Name(s)

#### **Funding Body Type**

Private sector organisation

#### **Funding Body Subtype**

International organizations

#### Location

**United Kingdom** 

# **Results and Publications**

#### Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

#### IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output typeDetailsDate createdDate addedPeer reviewed?Patient-facing?Results articleresults01/07/2011YesNo