

# A randomised comparison of ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin and gatifloxacin for the treatment of adults with tuberculous meningitis

<b>Submission date</b> 22/07/2005	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
<b>Registration date</b> 22/07/2005	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Last Edited</b> 06/02/2015	<b>Condition category</b> Infections and Infestations	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Guy Thwaites

**Contact details**  
Oxford University Clinical Research Unit  
The Hospital for Tropical Diseases  
190 Ben Ham Tu  
Ho Chi Minh City  
Viet Nam  
5  
+84 (0)8 9237954  
guy.thwaites@btinternet.com

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS number**

**IRAS number**

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

**Secondary identifying numbers**

## Study information

### Scientific Title

A randomised comparison of ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin and gatifloxacin for the treatment of adults with tuberculous meningitis

### Acronym

BN study

### Study objectives

Fluoroquinolones are bactericidal for Mycobacterium tuberculosis and are recommended by the World Health Organisation (WHO) for the treatment of multi-drug resistant pulmonary tuberculosis. Reports of their use in Tuberculous Meningitis (TBM) are restricted to case reports, and there are no controlled trials to clarify their role in management. In particular, data regarding Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) penetration and pharmacokinetics are scant, and it is uncertain which of the fluoroquinolones represents the best drug for treating TBM.

### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

### Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

### Study design

Randomised controlled trial

### Primary study design

Interventional

### Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

### Study setting(s)

Not specified

### Study type(s)

Treatment

### Participant information sheet

### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Tuberculous meningitis

### Interventions

Adults entering the study will be randomised to one of four treatment arms:

1. Conventional four drug Anti-Tuberculosis Chemotherapy (ATC) (comprising of isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide and ethambutol)
2. Conventional four drug ATC plus ciprofloxacin

3. Conventional four drug ATC plus levofloxacin
4. Conventional four drug ATC plus gatifloxacin.

The trial will be open-label. Sparse pharmacokinetic data will be generated from routine serial sampling of CSF/plasma performed upon each patient for the purposes of assessing response to treatment. Paired blood and CSF samples (for drug measurement and killing curves) will be taken at diagnosis, day two, day seven, day 30, and day 60. The precise timing of the Lumbar Puncture (LP), in relation to drug administration, will be randomised. Likewise, the timing of two further specimens of plasma (taken either side of the LP) will also be randomised.

### **Intervention Type**

Drug

### **Phase**

Not Applicable

### **Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)**

Conventional four drug anti-tuberculosis chemotherapy (comprising of isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide and ethambutol), ciprofloxacin, levofloxacin and gatifloxacin.

### **Primary outcome measure**

1. Clinical methods: the following will be used as markers of clinical response:
  - a. fever clearance, coma clearance, date of discharge, death at two months, disability or death at nine months
  - b. CSF pressure, lactate, white cell count, protein and glucose
2. Microbiological methods: we will attempt to demonstrate microbiological activity by two methods:
  - a. time to CSF sterility - serial lumbar punctures will allow us to assess the time taken to kill TBM in the CSF. 60% of adults with TBM isolated from the CSF before treatment have a sterile CSF after 48 hours of treatment, and 5% (often with resistant organisms) have TBM cultured from the CSF after 30 days of treatment (unpublished data from HTD). We aim to compare time to CSF sterility in the four treatment arms
  - b. time to negative CSF amplified TBM direct test (Mycobacterium Tuberculosis Direct [MTD] test: Gen-probe, California). Using the same principles described above, we will compare time to negative MTD in the four treatment arms

### **Secondary outcome measures**

No secondary outcome measures

### **Overall study start date**

01/04/2003

### **Completion date**

01/02/2005

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Aged over 14 years
2. Clinical diagnosis of TBM

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

To be added

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Patients who are less than 15 years old
2. Patients who are pregnant or breast feeding
3. Patients in whom the physician believes fluoroquinolones are contraindicated e.g. previous adverse reaction
4. The consent of either the patient or their relatives is not obtained

**Date of first enrolment**

01/04/2003

**Date of final enrolment**

01/09/2004

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

Viet Nam

**Study participating centre**

Oxford University Clinical Research Unit

Ho Chi Minh City

Viet Nam

5

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

University of Oxford (UK)

**Sponsor details**

University Offices

Wellington Square

Oxford

England  
United Kingdom  
OX1 2JD

**Sponsor type**  
University/education

**Website**  
<http://www.ox.ac.uk>

**ROR**  
<https://ror.org/052gg0110>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**  
Charity

**Funder Name**  
Wellcome Trust

**Alternative Name(s)**

**Funding Body Type**  
Private sector organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**  
International organizations

**Location**  
United Kingdom

## **Results and Publications**

**Publication and dissemination plan**  
Not provided at time of registration

**Intention to publish date**

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

**IPD sharing plan summary**  
Not provided at time of registration

**Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/07/2011		Yes	No