Evaluation of a new device (patent publication GB 2366729) for identification of the epidural space

Submission date	Recruitment status	Prospectively registered
29/09/2006	No longer recruiting	☐ Protocol
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan
29/09/2006	Completed	Results
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data
12/12/2013	Surgery	[] Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Maan Hasan

Contact details

Department of Anaethetics Level 4J Northwick Park Hospital North West London Hospitals NHS Trust Watford Road Harrow United Kingdom HA1 3UJ +44 (0)20 8869 3978

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number N0515163806

Study information

Scientific Title

Study objectives

To evaluate a new device (patent publication GB2366729) for identification of the epidural space. In particular to test the claimed advantages:

- 1. Reducing failure rates for epidural insertions which are presently quoted in the literature at a range of 7-23% or an average of 15%
- 2. Reducing the number of attempts before achieving a successful epidural insertion
- 3. Reducing the insertion time
- 4. Ease of use scale
- 5. Steep or zero learning curve

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Not Specified

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Anaesthesia

Interventions

Randomised Controlled Trial

Intervention Type

Device

Phase

Not Specified

Primary outcome(s)

Detailed in protocol and its supplements. Will be misleading to attempt to cover that in this small space.

Key secondary outcome(s))

Not provided at time of registration

Completion date

30/10/2007

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

We have estimated that 400 patients (200 Epidrums) will be required for this study. This was estimated for the required significance of difference at a p value of less than 0.05 and for the average failure rate quoted above, number of attempts at epidural needle insertion and ease of its use scale, and the expected differences in learning curves. We estimated that the power of this study with the number of patients above will not be enough for incidence of complication such PDPH, and neurological complications which will be tested later in larger multi-centre trials. Two hundred patients in each group, sixteen to eighty years.

Inclusion criteria:

- 1. Patients over 18 and under 80 years of age
- 2 Clinical need for epidural injection
- 3. Absence of any contraindication to epidural injection, relative or absolute

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 years

Sex

Not Specified

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Patients under 18 and over 80 years of age
- 2. Bleeding tendency, local infection, bacteraemia or septicaemia
- 3. Unable or unwilling to give consent
- 4. All other relative clinical contraindications to epidural injection or anaesthesia

Date of first enrolment

01/12/2002

Date of final enrolment

30/10/2007

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre
Department of Anaethetics Level 4J
Harrow
United Kingdom
HA1 3UJ

Sponsor information

Organisation

Record Provided by the NHSTCT Register - 2006 Update - Department of Health

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

North West London Hospitals NHS Trust

Funder Name

NHS R&D Support Funding

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration