

# Postoperative sensitivity evaluation after placement of dental resin restoration when different types of adhesives are used

<b>Submission date</b> 27/01/2012	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 14/02/2012	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 09/11/2017	<b>Condition category</b> Oral Health	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

A dental restoration or filling is a treatment to restore missing parts of the tooth resulting for example from cavities. Postoperative sensitivity is an issue after adhesive restorations. The aim of this study is to assess short- and long-term postoperative sensitivity after dental restorations using six different adhesive treatments.

### Who can participate?

Patients aged 18 to 50 with at least one large, deep cavity in the molars or premolars

### What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated to one of six different adhesive treatments. Sensitivity is assessed before treatment and after 48 hours, 7 days and 6 months.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

All planned clinical procedures are regularly used in daily practice. Experienced operators were involved in all clinical steps. Therefore, the risks and benefits of participating in this study are those normally present in any clinical procedures. Patients who need further assistance are immediately directed to the corresponding sector in the Dental School.

### Where is the study run from?

Federal University of Pará (Brazil)

### When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

January 2009 to August 2011

### Who is funding the study?

1. National Council for Research Development (Science and Technology Ministry) (Brazil)
2. Federal University of Para (Brazil)

Who is the main contact?  
Prof. Mário H. Silva e Souza  
honorato@ufpa.br

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Prof Mário Honorato Silva e Souza Jr.

**Contact details**  
Tv. Dom Romualdo de Seixas  
156, apt. 501  
Belém, PA  
Brazil  
66050-110  
+55 (0)91 3229 7337  
honorato@ufpa.br

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS number**

**IRAS number**

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

**Secondary identifying numbers**  
N/A

## Study information

**Scientific Title**  
A randomized, split-month clinical study comparing the short and long-term postoperative sensitivity in composite resin restoration using etch-and-rinse and self-etching adhesive systems placed in large and deep class I and II cavities in molars and pre-molars

**Study objectives**  
Postoperative sensitivity may occur after adhesive restorative procedures. Several reasons could be related to this phenomenon, and the hydrophilic and permeable characteristics of some dental adhesives are one of them, which can be in part controlled by the operator. For instance, the use of a hydrophobic coat after the use the hydrophilic components of dental adhesives may help to control the postoperative discomfort.

This study aims to compare the short- and long-term postoperative sensitivity associated with resin restorations of large and deep cavities using the following process:  
1. Etch-and-rinse followed by two and three step adhesives (XP Bond, Single Bond, SBMP and All

Bond 3+liner)

2. Mild two-step self-etching adhesives with a hydrophobic coat (All Bond SE+ Liner)

3. Strong two-step self-etching adhesive (Adper SE Plus)

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

Research Ethics Committee of the Federal University of Pará (Brazil), July 2009, ref: 181/08 CEP-ICS-IFPA

### **Study design**

Single-center randomised interventional study

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

### **Study setting(s)**

GP practice

### **Study type(s)**

Treatment

### **Participant information sheet**

Not available in web format, please contact Professor Mário Honorato Silva e Souza Jr. (mario-honorato@hotmail.com) to request patient information sheet

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Postoperative sensitivity

### **Interventions**

Cavity preparation and restorative procedures: Class I and II cavities were prepared involving at least half of the distance between cusp tips and the pulpal or axial walls were determined 1–1.5 mm far from the pulp chamber. Cylindrical carbide burs, selected according to the size of each cavity, were used attached to high- and low-speed hand-pieces.

The adhesive treatments were randomly assigned among patients, tooth types (molars and premolars), and initial tooth condition (decayed or previously restored); the treatments were used according to the manufacturers directions. Two previously trained, graduated students prepared and restored the cavities. Eventually, 120 molars and premolars were prepared and restored (one by appointment) using rubber dam isolation and the incremental placement technique. For all six groups, the treated cavities were obliquely layered with A3 Filtek Z-350 nanoparticle composite resin (3M ESPE, St Paul, MN, USA). Each increment of 2 mm thickness was cured for 20 seconds using a LED source, Flash Lite 1410 (Discus Dental, Culver City, CA, USA) and its output energy constantly monitored ( $\pm 800\text{mW/cm}^2$ ).

Materials used in the study

Groups: Materials Manufacturer

1. Adper SBMP/3M ESPE - St Paul, MN, USA
2. Adper Single Bond 2/ 3M ESPE - St Paul, MN, USA
3. XP Bond / Dentsply - Milford - DE, USA
4. All Bond III Bisco Inc, Schaumburg, IL, USA
5. Adper SE Plus / 3M ESPE - St Paul, MN, USA
6. All Bond SE Bisco, Inc. Schaumburg, IL, USA

Pre and postoperative sensitivity evaluation (Initial-T0, 48 hours-T1, seven days-T2, 180 days-T3): This part of the study was conducted blind by one previously trained examiner who did not participate in the restorative procedures and was unable to detect the system used. The initial evaluation (T0) was done immediately before the cavity preparation. Tetrafluorethane spray (Endo-Ice Hygienic, Akron, OH, USA) was applied with a cotton pellet on the middle of the buccal surface for up to 5 seconds. During the tests, the patients were asked to register their discomfort using a visual analogue scale (VAS) according to the following parameters: 0, no discomfort; 1 to 3, light discomfort; 4 to 6, mild discomfort; 7 to 9, intense discomfort (pain); 10, unbearable discomfort (excruciating pain).

### **Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

### **Primary outcome measure**

Non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis analysis was applied to the verified sensitivity results for all adhesive systems within each evaluation period (independent sample), including T0 (the preoperative evaluation), and no significant differences were detected. According to the p-values observed ( $p=0,53$ ) at each evaluation period, no significant differences could be found.

### **Secondary outcome measures**

Friedman non-parametric analysis was also applied to the results obtained for each adhesive system at all the evaluation periods (dependent sample), and the p-values ( $P>0.05$ ) again showed no significant differences

### **Overall study start date**

10/01/2009

### **Completion date**

20/08/2011

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

1. 18 to 50 years and good health
2. The teeth to be restored had to present opposing and adjacent contacts and not exhibit primary or secondary trauma, excessive clenching, or bruxism
3. Low caries risk and good periodontal health were also necessary

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

### **Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 Years

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

The final distribution of the sample was: 40 teeth restored in male and 80 in female; 24 in premolars and 96 in molars; 46 restorations were made in class I preparations, while 74 in class II

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Continuous use of analgesic and anti-inflammatory drugs
2. Patients under orthodontic treatment
3. Using of total or partial removable prosthodontics

**Date of first enrolment**

10/01/2009

**Date of final enrolment**

20/08/2011

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

Brazil

**Study participating centre**

Tv. Dom Romualdo de Seixas

Belém, PA

Brazil

66050-110

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

Federal University of Pará (Brazil)

**Sponsor details**

School of Dentistry

No. 1, Rua Augusto Corrêa

Campus Universitário do Pará

Faculdade de Odontologia

Programa de Pós-graduação

Belém / PA

Brazil

66000-000  
+55 (0)91 3201 7563  
mestradodonto@ufpa.br

**Sponsor type**

University/education

**Website**

<http://www.ufpa.br/posodonto>

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/03q9sr818>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

Government

**Funder Name**

National Council for Research Development (CNPq) - Science and Technology Ministry (Brazil)

**Funder Name**

Universidade Federal do Pará

**Alternative Name(s)**

Federal University of Pará, UFPA

**Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**

Local government

**Location**

Brazil

## **Results and Publications**

**Publication and dissemination plan**

Not provided at time of registration

**Intention to publish date**

## **Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

### **IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration