# The utility of the Contrast Enhanced endoscopic ultrasound in guiding fine needle aspiration for PANcreatic masses

Submission date	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>		
26/03/2013		☐ Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan		
08/08/2013	Completed	[X] Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	[] Individual participant data		
18/01/2019	Cancer			

# Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

The global accuracy of fine needle aspiration endoscopic ultrasound (EUS-FNA) for detecting pancreatic adenocarcinoma (cancer of the pancreas) is about 85%. The use of contrast agents (dyes) during EUS may highlight the vessels and the diseased (necrotic) parts of the pancreatic masses, which could lead to obtaining larger and less bloody pancreatic samples. The aim of the study is to evaluate whether the guidance of fine needle aspiration (FNA) during harmonic contrast-enhanced pancreatic endoscopic ultrasound (CEH-EUS) would increase the diagnostic accuracy of FNA guided by conventional endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) in the same

Who can participate?

pancreatic masses.

Both male and female patients, above 18 years old with pancreatic mass.

# What does the study involve?

In each prospectively examined patient with pancreatic masses on CT scan, EUS- FNA was performed using a 22 G needle, followed by CEH-EUS using Sonovue as contrast agent. A second cluster of EUS-FNA was performed on contrast image, avoiding vessels and the regions inside the mass considered as necrosis. The final diagnosis was based on the results of EUS-FNA and surgery, or 6 months of follow-up in benign lesions.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The CEH-EUS allows a better orientation of the needle inside the pancreatic lesion during FNA and possibly increases the yield of diagnostic accuracy in pancreatic masses. There are no risks over normal EUS-FNA of pancreatic masses.

Where is the study run from?

University of Medicine and Pharmacy Cluj Napoca, Romania.

Regional Institute of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Cluj Napoca.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? The study started in March 2013 and ran until May 2013.

Who is funding the study? National Olympus and Aloka-Hitachi.

Who is the main contact? Andrada Seicean, MD, PhD.

# Contact information

# Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Dr Andrada Seicean

## Contact details

15, Closca street Cluj-Napoca Romania 400039

# Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number

N/A

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

The utility of the Contrast Enhanced endoscopic ultrasound in guiding fine needle aspiration for PANcreatic masses

### Acronym

**CEPAN** 

## Study objectives

The use of the contrast agents during endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) may highlight the vessels and the necrotic parts of the pancreatic masses, which could better to guide sampling.

The aim of the study is to evaluate whether the guidance of fine needle aspiration (FNA) during harmonic contrast-enhanced endoscopic ultrasound of the pancreas would increase the diagnostic accuracy of FNA than FNA guided by conventional endoscopic ultrasound (EUS) in the same pancreatic masses.

# Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Ethics Board of the Regional Institute of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Cluj-Napoca, Romania, approval 04.12.2012, ref: 15283

## Study design

Interventional non-randomized single center study

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Study type(s)

Diagnostic

# Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Pancreatic mass

#### Interventions

This is a non-randomized study. In each prospectively examined patient with pancreatic masses on CT scan, Endoscopic Ultrasound - Fine Needle Aspiration (EUS- FNA) was performed using a 22 G needle, followed by Contrast Enhanced Harmonic Endoscopic Ultrasound (CEH-EUS) using Sonovue as contrast agent. A second cluster of EUS-FNA was performed on contrast image, avoiding vessels and the regions inside the mass considered as necrosis. The final diagnosis was based on the results of EUS-FNA and surgery, or 6 months of follow-up in benign lesions. The pairs of samples (cell blocks), obtained during conventional EUS-FNA and CEH-EUS-FNA, were assessed blindly for macroscopic and microscopic aspects by two pathologists. No cytopathologist was present in the EUS room during the procedure. Qualitative assessment of pancreatic mass after contrast injection was done compared to surrounding parenchyma.

The duration of the intervention up to 30 minutes.

The duration of follow-up - 30 minutes after the procedure

## Intervention Type

Other

#### **Phase**

Not Applicable

# Primary outcome(s)

Diagnostic accuracy of FNA guided by conventional endoscopic ultrasound (EUS)

# Key secondary outcome(s))

Combination of the time to peak obtained by quantitative assessment of the contrast image with CEH-EUS-FNA pathologic results

# Completion date

31/05/2013

# **Eligibility**

Key inclusion criteria

Any gender and age above 18 years old with pancreatic mass. Pancreatic mass detected by ultrasonography or computerised tomography (CT) scan

## Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

## Healthy volunteers allowed

No

## Age group

Adult

## Lower age limit

18 years

#### Sex

All

# Key exclusion criteria

- 1. History of chemotherapy
- 2. Coagulation disorders
- 3. Patients refuse

## Date of first enrolment

28/03/2013

## Date of final enrolment

31/05/2013

# Locations

## Countries of recruitment

Romania

# Study participating centre

**15, Closca street** Cluj-Napoca

Romania

400039

Sponsor information

# Organisation

SC Techno Electro Medical Company (Romania)

# Funder(s)

# Funder type

Industry

## Funder Name

The equipment was supported by National Olympus and Aloka-Hitachi companies

## **Funder Name**

Other devices and the procedures were supported by the hospital: Regional Institute of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Cluj-Napoca (Romania)

# **Results and Publications**

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

# IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

# **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/04/2017	18/01/2019	Yes	No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes