Interactive Video Disk and Patient Treatment Choices for benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH)

Submission date	Recruitment status	Prospectively registered
23/01/2004	No longer recruiting	Protocol
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan
23/01/2004	Completed	Results
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data
31/10/2019	Urological and Genital Diseases	Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof Klim McPherson

Contact details

Health Promotion Sciences Unit London School of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene Keppel Street London United Kingdom WC1E 7HT +44 (0)20 7927 2036 abc@email.com

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

PSI04-01

Study information

Scientific Title

Interactive Video Disk and Patient Treatment Choices for benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH)

Study objectives

The objective was to determine whether the provision of detailed information about BPH treatment options and outcomes via an interactive video disk affected patient decision making.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

Not specified

Study type(s)

Treatment

Participant information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Urological and genital diseases: benign prostatic hyperplasia (BPH)

Interventions

- 1. Video viewing
- 2. Standard care

Intervention Type

Other

Phase

Not Applicable

Primary outcome measure

Providing detailed information about the risks and benefits of treatment via the interactive video did not significantly appear to affect treatment choice. The interactive video was considered acceptable and easy to understand by patients, and despite the lack of a statistically significant difference in treatment choice, we cannot exclude the possibility that important

shifts in the decision making process may occur, when a video such as this is introduced into clinical practice.

Secondary outcome measures

Not provided at time of registration

Overall study start date

01/10/1994

Completion date

01/02/1999

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Patients from the Urology Department at Ashford Hospital, Middlesex.

Participant type(s)

Patient

Age group

Not Specified

Sex

Male

Target number of participants

Not provided at time of registration

Key exclusion criteria

Not provided at time of registration

Date of first enrolment

01/10/1994

Date of final enrolment

01/02/1999

Locations

Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre **Health Promotion Sciences Unit**

London

Sponsor information

Organisation

Record Provided by the NHS R&D 'Time-Limited' National Programme Register - Department of Health (UK)

Sponsor details

The Department of Health Richmond House 79 Whitehall London United Kingdom SW1A 2NL

Sponsor type

Government

Website

http://www.doh.gov.uk

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

NHS Primary and Secondary Care Interface National Research and Development Programme (UK)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration