

# INFORM - surgery for hip infection project

<b>Submission date</b> 28/01/2015	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 29/01/2015	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 13/02/2024	<b>Condition category</b> Surgery	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Hip replacement surgery is common, with over 86,000 cases in England and Wales in 2012. After surgery about 1% patients develop a deep infection in their artificial hip, called a prosthetic joint infection (PJI). If left untreated PJI can result in severe pain, disability and death. When an infection is found, there are two types of surgical treatment: a 1-stage revision involves removing the joint, thoroughly cleaning the infected area and implanting a new joint immediately; a 2-stage revision involves removing the joint, and delaying re-implantation for 3 to 6 months whilst treating with antibiotics. Both treatments are widely used but we don't know which has the best long-term outcomes for patients. The aim of this study is to find out whether there is any difference in patient-reported outcomes (pain, stiffness or physical function) after 1-stage or 2-stage revision hip surgery for PJI.

### Who can participate?

Patients that have a prosthetic joint infection that needs surgery.

### What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated into one of two groups. Those in group 1 have 1-stage revision surgery. Those in group 2 have 2-stage revision surgery. All participants are then followed up for the next 18 months. They are asked to complete questionnaires every 3 months, to assess their hip pain and function, quality of life and any costs incurred during their treatment. They attend two appointments with a research nurse to complete questionnaires and a walk test. A small number of participants and surgeons are also interviewed about their experiences of treatment and participation in the trial. Participants who decide against the randomised trial are asked to join a monitoring group. This group have treatment-as-usual, and then complete questionnaires at home. The monitoring group provide important information on the wider population of patients with hip PJI, including patient reported outcomes, control of infection and adverse events.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Not provided at time of registration

### Where is the study run from?

14 hospitals in the UK and 3 in Sweden (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

January 2015 to February 2020

Who is funding the study?

National Institute for Health Research (UK)

Who is the main contact?

Mr Simon Strange

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Mr Simon Strange

### Contact details

INFORM Programme Manager  
Musculoskeletal Research Unit  
Level 1, Learning and Research  
Southmead Hospital  
Bristol  
United Kingdom  
BS10 5NB  
+44 (0)7789777053  
simon.strange1@nhs.net

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

18159

## Study information

### Scientific Title

A multicentre randomised trial to compare 1-stage with 2-stage revision surgery for prosthetic hip joint infection

### Study objectives

The aim of this study is to determine if there is any difference in patient-reported outcomes (pain, stiffness or physical function) after 1-stage or 2-stage revision hip surgery for prosthetic joint infection (PJI).

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

NRES Committee South-West - Frenchay, 31/12/2014, ref: 14/SW/1166

**Study design**

Randomised; Interventional and Observational; Design type: Not specified, Treatment, Qualitative

**Primary study design**

Interventional

**Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

**Study setting(s)**

Hospital

**Study type(s)**

Treatment

**Participant information sheet**

Not available in web format, please use contact details to request a patient information sheet

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Topic: Surgery; Subtopic: Surgery; Disease: All Surgery

**Interventions**

Revision hip surgery

1-stage or 2-stage revision for infected prosthetic hip joint; Follow Up Length: 18 month(s)

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Primary outcome measure**

Patient-reported hip pain, stiffness, function; Timepoint(s): WOMAC at 18 months post-randomisation

**Secondary outcome measures**

1. Quality of life, measured using Euroqol EQ-5D-5L and HOOS
2. All hip-related complications (including continuing and reinfection) recorded throughout study period
3. Post-op pain, measured using the Brief Pain Inventory
4. Patient-reported hip function, measured using the Oxford Hip Score
5. Objective measure of hip function, measured using the 20 metre timed walk test
6. Depression and anxiety, measured using the Hospital Anxiety and Depression Score
7. Cost-effectiveness of interventions, measured using health resource use

**Overall study start date**

10/02/2014

**Completion date**

28/02/2020

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Age 18 or above
  2. A clinical diagnosis of prosthetic hip joint infection
  3. Require revision surgery (either 1-stage or 2-stage) to treat prosthetic hip joint infection, in the opinion of the treating consultant orthopaedic surgeon
- Target Gender: Male & Female ; Lower Age Limit 18 years

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 Years

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

Planned Sample Size: 228; UK Sample Size: 228; Description: RCT - 142 patients; Monitoring group - approx 80 participants

**Total final enrolment**

177

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Unable or unwilling to undergo either 1-stage or 2-stage revision surgery
2. Lack capacity to give written informed consent

**Date of first enrolment**

04/03/2015

**Date of final enrolment**

31/08/2018

## Locations

**Countries of recruitment**

England

Sweden

United Kingdom

**Study participating centre**

**North Bristol NHS Trust**

Trust Headquarters

Beckspool Road

Frenchay

Bristol

United Kingdom

B16 1JE

## Sponsor information

**Organisation**

North Bristol NHS Trust

**Sponsor details**

Research & Innovation

Floor 3 Learning & Research Building

Southmead Hospital

Bristol

England

United Kingdom

BS10 5NB

**Sponsor type**

Hospital/treatment centre

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/036x6gt55>

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**

Government

**Funder Name**

National Institute for Health Research

**Alternative Name(s)**

National Institute for Health Research, NIHR Research, NIHRresearch, NIHR - National Institute for Health Research, NIHR (The National Institute for Health and Care Research), NIHR

**Funding Body Type**  
Government organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**  
National government

**Location**  
United Kingdom

## Results and Publications

### Publication and dissemination plan

1. Protocol paper
2. Trial results paper
3. Cost-effectiveness analysis paper
4. Dissemination of Best Practice Guidance

**Intention to publish date**  
01/09/2022

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Participant level data will be made available at the data.bris (University of Bristol Research Data repository) beginning 1 year following publication of the trial results. Access to data will 'controlled' and request forms can be found at <http://www.bristol.ac.uk/staff/researchers/data/accessing-research-data/>

**IPD sharing plan summary**  
Stored in repository

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>	protocol	17/02/2016		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>		31/10/2022	01/11/2022	Yes	No
<a href="#">HRA research summary</a>			28/06/2023	No	No
<a href="#">Other publications</a>	What are patients' preferences for revision surgery after periprosthetic joint infection? A discrete choice experiment	21/01/2020	13/02/2024	Yes	No
<a href="#">Other publications</a>	qualitative study	03/08/2020	13/02/2024	Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>		01/11/2022	13/02/2024	Yes	No