

ReSPECT Evaluation study

Submission date 03/08/2017	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 05/09/2017	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 01/10/2025	Condition category Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

A national group of patients, healthcare professionals and organisations have been working together to develop the Recommended Summary Plan for Emergency Care and Treatment (ReSPECT) process. It aims to respect patient preferences and respect clinical judgment through shared conversations between a person and their healthcare professionals. One of its principal aims is to make sure people understand the care and treatment options that may be available to them and that may work in a medical emergency, and to allow them to make healthcare professionals aware of their preferences. Although the idea of emergency plans sounds good, experience (e.g. the Liverpool Care Pathway) has taught that it is important to test any changes to the way things are done. Therefore, this project plans to study how, when and why these emergency treatment plans are made and the effects they have on patient care. It will use a mixture of methods for collecting information to achieve this aim. The project will look at people coming into hospital, as this is where most decisions will be made initially. The study will involve researchers watching emergency treatment decisions being made in hospital. Doctors, nurses, patients and family members are interviewed to ask them about their experience. This is done to find out when decisions are made and check they are being made consistently and are ethical. National resuscitation audit data is used to see what effect emergency treatment plans have on the number of people having resuscitation and what happens to them afterwards. The study will also look at how emergency treatment plans affect overall patient care. The overall aim of this study is, through our partnership with key national organisations, this study will produce the essential information to inform the future use of emergency plans throughout the NHS.

Who can participate?

Adult patients involved in the ReSPECT process, relatives of adult patients, GP's and NHS trust staff members.

What does the study involve?

This study consists of four work packages with a different sample of participants for each one. The first work package involves observations and interviews with clinicians, patients and relatives and the review of patient clinical records involved in the decision-making for the ReSPECT process. The second work package involves collecting routine audit data on a national scale; therefore, participants will not be required to do anything. This work package also involves distributing a survey on a national scale which requires completion from a member of NHS staff, usually a resuscitation officer, responsible submitting national audit data from their

hospital. The third work package involves collecting information from participant's medical record on a selected data collection date whilst they are an in-patient in hospital. The participant will not be required to do anything unless they wish to opt-out of their data being used. Lastly, the fourth work package will require general practitioner participants to take part in a focus group discussion.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?
There are no direct benefits or risks with participating.

Where is the study run from?

1. Heartlands Hospital (UK)
2. University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust (UK)
3. Queen Elizabeth Hospital (UK)
4. Manchester Royal Infirmary (UK)
5. Hampshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (UK)
6. Addenbrookes Hospital (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?
November 2016 to November 2020

Who is funding the study?
National Institute for Health Research (UK)

Who is the main contact?
respect@warwick.ac.uk

Contact information

Type(s)
Public

Contact name
Ms Claire Jacques

Contact details
Warwick Clinical Trials Unit
University of Warwick
Coventry
United Kingdom
CV4 7AL
+44 24761 50478
respect@warwick.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number
REGO-2017-1916

Study information

Scientific Title

Evaluation of the Recommended Summary Plan for Emergency Care and Treatment

Study objectives

The aim of this evaluation study is to determine, in adults admitted to acute NHS hospitals where emergency care and treatment is provided, how, when and why are Recommended Summary Plans for Emergency Care and Treatment plans (ReSPECT) made and what effects do they have on patient care.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Coventry and Warwickshire Research Ethics Committee, 12/06/2017, ref: 17/WM/0134

Study design

This study will evaluate the ReSPECT process using a mixed-methods approach across four work packages in 6 acute NHS trusts, GP focus groups and using UK wide audit data.

Primary study design

Observational

Study type(s)

Other

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Emergency care treatment escalation plans

Interventions

This study evaluates the ReSPECT process, it is therefore not an intervention but rather an evaluation of a process already in place. The evaluation is conducted across four work packages with a mixed-methods approach.

WP1a: A qualitative study of the decision-making process using observation, mini-interviews with decision-making clinicians and patients/family members to explore how and why judgments are made.

WP 1b: To explore the ethical basis and the experience of the patient / family in the decision-making process

WP 2: An interrupted time series analysis using repeated measures of process and survival outcomes for in-hospital cardiac arrests covering two years before and two years after ReSPECT implementation

WP 3: A descriptive summary of patient characteristics according to ReSPECT treatment choice and evaluation of whether a DNACPR decision, made in the context of an overall treatment plan are independently associated with risk of patient harm.

WP 4: Focus groups with General Practitioners to evaluate how ReSPECT is working across the acute/primary care boundary. A description of the context for implementation from regular meeting between sites, researchers and the ReSPECT National Working Group, responsible for

developing the process. A narrative synthesis of the key findings of the study and future research priorities from the patient, clinician and policy maker perspective, effectively disseminated to ensure that key messages are integrated into future development work on ReSPECT.

Intervention Type

Behavioural

Primary outcome(s)

The study design is a mixed methods evaluation consisting of qualitative and quantitative methods. WP1 and WP4 use qualitative methods; therefore, they do not have a primary outcome measure.

WP2:

Number of resuscitation attempts that are terminated due to futility is measured using the National Cardiac Arrest Audit Dataset at point of data analysis

WP3:

1. Pressure ulcers is measured using the NHS Classic Safety Thermometer Audit Dataset at point of data collection on specified day
2. Urine infections in catheterised patients is measured using the NHS Classic Safety Thermometer Audit Dataset at point of data collection on specified day
3. Falls is measured using the NHS Classic Safety Thermometer Audit Dataset at point of data collection on specified day
4. Venous thromboembolism is measured using the NHS Classic Safety Thermometer Audit Dataset at point of data collection on specified day
5. Drug induced harm is measured using the NHS Medication Safety Thermometer Audit Dataset at point of data collection on specified day

Key secondary outcome(s)

WP2:

1. Number of in-hospital cardiac arrests attended by the resuscitation team per one thousand admissions is measured using National Cardiac Arrest Audit Dataset at point of data analysis
2. Patient status at team arrival (dead – resuscitation stopped; resuscitation on-going; ROSC achieved before team arrival; deteriorating (not yet arrested) is measured using National Cardiac Arrest Audit Dataset at point of data analysis
3. Proportion of resuscitation attempts that are terminated due to presence of a DNACPR (this represents a failure of implementation) are measured using National Cardiac Arrest Audit Dataset at point of data analysis
4. Vital status at hospital discharge (alive or dead) is measured using the National Cardiac Arrest Audit Dataset at point of data analysis
5. Proportion of shockable arrhythmic cardiac arrests is measured using the National Cardiac Arrest Audit Dataset at point of data analysis
6. Cerebral Performance Category at discharge is measured using the National Cardiac Arrest Audit Dataset at point of data analysis

WP3:

1. Demographics (age, gender, ethnicity, abbreviated home postcode as a proxy for social class) are measured using patient notes at point of data collection on specified day
2. Reason for admission is measured using patient notes at point of data collection on specified day

3. Co-morbidities: Cognitive impairment (dementia, learning difficulties), Charlson co-morbidity index, GO-FAR score (both of which predict outcome from cardiac arrest), assessment of whether their condition is likely to be fatal is measured by McCabe Scale at point of data collection on specified day
4. ReSPECT (patient preference, emergency care treatment decisions, resuscitation status, capacity, who was involved in the discussions, when, where and by whom was the decision made) is measured using patient notes at point of data collection on specified day
5. NHS Safety Thermometer Audit data at point of data collection on specified day
6. Length of hospital stay, survival to discharge, discharge location is measured using patient notes at point of data collection on specified day

Completion date

01/11/2020

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

WP1a:

1. Adult in-patients involved in discussions for the ReSPECT process
2. Relatives of adult patients without capacity, involved in discussions for the ReSPECT process
3. Clinicians completing the ReSPECT process

WP2:

Anonymised patient audit data from National Cardiac Arrest Audit (NCAA) from all participating UK hospitals

WP3:

Adult in-patients in the 6 NHS trust hospitals recruited

WP4:

1. GPs working in practices served by the 6 NHS trust Sites in WP1 and WP3
2. NHS trust staff and members of the National Working Group that developed ReSPECT attending meetings to share experiences of implementing ReSPECT

Participant type(s)

Mixed

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Sex

All

Key exclusion criteria

WP1:

1. Adult in-patients without a ReSPECT form

2. Neonates, pediatric, day case patients and others refusing consent (WP1a) or who opt-out (WP1b)

3. Clinicians not involved in completing ReSPECT forms

WP2:

N/A

WP3:

Paediatrics, Neonates, day case admissions, patients who opt-out

WP4:

GPs from practices not serving the 6 NHS Trust sites in WP1 and WP3

Date of first enrolment

08/08/2017

Date of final enrolment

31/05/2020

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre

Heartlands Hospital

Heart of England NHS Foundation Trust

Bordesley Green East

Birmingham

United Kingdom

B9 5SS

Study participating centre

University Hospitals Coventry and Warwickshire NHS Trust

Clifford Bridge Road

Coventry

United Kingdom

CV2 2DX

Study participating centre

Queen Elizabeth Hospital

University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust

Mindelsohn Way

Birmingham
United Kingdom
B15 2TH

Study participating centre
Manchester Royal Infirmary
Manchester Royal Infirmary
Central Manchester University Hospitals NHS Trust
Oxford Road
Manchester
United Kingdom
M13 9WL

Study participating centre
Hampshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
Aldermaston Road
Basingstoke
United Kingdom
RG24 9NA

Study participating centre
Addenbrookes Hospital
Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
Hills Rd
Cambridge
United Kingdom
CB2 0QQ

Sponsor information

Organisation
University of Warwick

ROR
<https://ror.org/01a77tt86>

Organisation
Heart of England NHS Foundation Trust

Funder(s)

Funder type

Not defined

Funder Name

NIHR HS & DR programme (project number 15/15/09)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

All essential documentation and study records will be stored by WCTU in conformance with the applicable regulatory requirements and access to stored information will be restricted to authorised personnel. Any paper data forms, field notes, meeting notes, or other documents will be stored in a lockable filing cabinet in a secure room, to which access is restricted to authorised personnel. Electronic data will be stored in a secure area of the computer and an electronic database with access restricted to staff working on the study.

Written informed consent will be obtained from participants in WP1a (observations and interviews with clinicians, patients and relatives) and WP4 (focus groups with General Practitioners). WP2 will involve the use of anonymised data collected routinely from NCAA which we have approval from NCAA steering committee to use. WP2 will also involve an annual survey of acute NHS trusts who routinely submit data to NCAA. Completion of the survey indicates their agreement to take part. An opt-out consent process will be used for WP1b and WP3 where participants can choose to opt-out of data being collected from their medical records.

IPD sharing plan summary

Stored in repository

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article		29/06/2022	04/07/2022	Yes	No
Results article		01/12/2022	01/10/2025	Yes	No
HRA research summary			28/06/2023	No	No
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes
Preprint results	Focus group results in preprint	12/08/2021	20/12/2021	No	No
Protocol file	version 5.0	20/06/2019	18/10/2022	No	No
Study website	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes