

Gel vs saline as medium for ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia

Submission date 12/04/2021	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 27/04/2021	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 27/04/2021	Condition category Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

The ultrasound-guided technique is the gold standard for procedural guidance in regional anesthesia. Traditionally, ultrasound gel has been used as the medium for ultrasound wave conduction, but the use of aqueous solutions such as D5W or normal saline has been described and kits containing gel or normal saline conducting mediums are commercially available. Ultrasound gel presents potential problems including a theoretical risk for nerve toxicity and cell damage, increased cost, allergic reaction, and difficulty in maintaining a static ultrasound probe position while performing a block; all of these factors are addressed when using normal saline. Previous studies evaluating the image quality for ultrasound-guided central line placement have shown that gel image quality is superior to normal saline, but both provided adequate views for placement of central lines. Regional anesthesia blocks often require more fine resolution to identify nerve structures, but no study to date has evaluated how normal saline compares to gel for ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia.

Who can participate?

Anesthesiology trainees and attending physicians within the Department of Anesthesiology, Perioperative & Pain Medicine at Mount Sinai

What does the study involve?

Anesthesiology trainees and attending physicians are asked to obtain a supraclavicular view (above the collarbone) with one medium before a washout period and then repeat the exercise with the other medium. The view with each medium is saved and two blinded experts grade each view as "proceed with the nerve block" or "not proceed with the nerve block". If there is a disagreement between the two experts a third expert provides a tie-break.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Benefits include practising ultrasound skills and using different types of medium. The risk to participants is minimal. They risk others knowing they are participating in a research study. They may have stress associated with being observed while scanning with ultrasound. There is a risk of loss of study information including name, highest level of education, experience level with regional anesthesia, and time to obtaining scans/grade of ultrasound view.

Where is the study run from?
Mount Sinai Hospital (USA)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?
August 2020 to May 2021

Who is funding the study?
Investigator initiated and funded

Who is the main contact?
Garrett Burnett
garrett.burnett@mountsinai.org

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Dr Garrett Burnett

Contact details
1468 Madison Avenue
New York
United States of America
10029
+1 (0)9728970460
garrett.burnett@mountsinai.org

Type(s)
Public

Contact name
Dr Amar Bhavsar

Contact details
1468 Madison Avenue
New York
United States of America
10029
+1 (0)7329861514
Amar.bhavsar@mountsinai.org

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number
Nil known

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Nil known

Secondary identifying numbers

HS#: 20-01288M

Study information

Scientific Title

Ultrasound gel vs sterile saline as conducting medium for ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia

Study objectives

Gel would provide superior images for ultrasound-guided regional anesthesia compared to normal saline.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Approved 10/21/2020, Institutional Review Board of the Mount Sinai School of Medicine (One Gustave L. Levy Place Box 1621 New York, NY 10029, USA; +1 (0)212 824 8200; irb@mssm.edu), ref: HS#: 20-01288M

Study design

Single-centre randomized simulation study with crossover component

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised cross over trial

Study setting(s)

Hospital

Study type(s)

Diagnostic

Participant information sheet

Not applicable

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Regional anesthesiology

Interventions

Anesthesiology trainees and attending physicians are asked to obtain a supraclavicular view with one medium before a washout period and then repeat the exercise with the other medium. The view with each medium is saved and two blinded experts graded each view as: "proceed with the nerve block" or "not proceed with the nerve block". If there is a disagreement between the two experts a third expert provided a tie-break.

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Primary outcome measure

Acceptable ultrasound image as deemed by the percentage of images that blinded expert reviewer answered "yes" to the question "Would you proceed with the block with this image?". This will be measured just after image acquisition.

Secondary outcome measures

Quality of ultrasound image measured by a visual analogue scale of 0-10 by a blinded expert reviewer just after image acquisition

Overall study start date

19/08/2020

Completion date

29/05/2021

Eligibility**Key inclusion criteria**

Anesthesiology trainee or attending at the Mount Sinai Hospital who completed all required peripheral nerve blocks required by the Accreditation Council for Graduate Medical Education

Participant type(s)

Health professional

Age group

Adult

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

40

Key exclusion criteria

Subject refused after being explained study

Date of first enrolment

05/03/2021

Date of final enrolment

28/05/2021

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

United States of America

Study participating centre
Mount Sinai Hospital
1468 Madison Avenue
New York
United States of America
10029

Sponsor information

Organisation
Mount Sinai Hospital

Sponsor details
1468 Madison Avenue
New York
United States of America
10029
+1 (0)212 241 6500
MSHPatientRelations@mountsinai.org

Sponsor type
Hospital/treatment centre

Website
<http://www.mountsinai.on.ca/>

ROR
<https://ror.org/05deks119>

Funder(s)

Funder type
Other

Funder Name
Investigator initiated and funded

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

1. The study protocol has not been published online but is available on request
2. Planned publication in a high-impact peer-reviewed journal

Intention to publish date

01/06/2022

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are/will be available upon request from Garrett Burnett (garrett.burnett@mountsinai.org). The study data will be kept for 6 months following the publication of the study. Data will include training level, prior use of saline as an ultrasound medium, comfort with supraclavicular blocks, time to obtain supraclavicular block, acceptability of ultrasound image, and quality of ultrasound image. Unidentified data will be shared with researchers inquiring for further analyses, collaboration or additional information if deemed acceptable by the Mount Sinai Institutional Review Board.

IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request