ISRCTN11598502 https://doi.org/10.1186/ISRCTN11598502

Facilitating Implementation of Research Evidence

Submission date	Recruitment status	[_] Prospectively registered
04/02/2010	No longer recruiting	[X] Protocol
Registration date	**Overall study status**	[_] Statistical analysis plan
16/03/2010	Completed	[X] Results
Last Edited	**Condition category**	[_] Individual participant data
23/04/2019	Other	

Plain English summary of protocol
Not provided at time of registration

Contact information

Type(s)
Scientific

Contact name
Prof Kate Seers

Contact details
RCN Research Institute
School of Health and Social Studies
University of Warwick
Coventry
United Kingdom
CV4 7AL
-
kate.seers@warwick.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers
223646

Study information

Scientific Title
Facilitating Implementation of Research Evidence: a pragmatic randomised controlled trial with integral qualitative, quantitative and health economic evaluative components

Acronym
FIRE

Study objectives
This study aims to advance understanding about the contribution that facilitation and facilitators can make to translating the findings of research into practice and to study different facilitator models to identify whether it is possible to determine a 'good enough' model of facilitation that can address the complex range of factors that influence the uptake of research evidence within the time and resource constraints of day to day service delivery.

The objectives of the study are to:
1. Extend current knowledge of facilitation as a process for translating research evidence into practice
2. Evaluate the feasibility and effectiveness of two different models of facilitation in promoting the uptake of research evidence on continence promotion
3. To advance current knowledge of guideline implementation in healthcare, with a particular focus on understanding the impact of contextual factors on the processes and outcomes of implementation
4. Implement a pro-active dissemination strategy that complements the design of the study and facilitates the diffusion of the study findings to a wide policy and practice community throughout Europe and beyond

Ethics approval required
Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)
1. UK: South East Wales Research Ethics Committee - Panel D, 21/04/2010, ref: 10/WSE04/20; CSP No.: 33062)
2. Ireland: Local ethics committee, 02/03/2010, ref: ECM 4(u)
3. Netherlands: National ethical clearance not required for this study
4. Sweden: Local ethics committee, 11/01/2010, ref: 2009/180631/2; 2009/2:11

Study design
Pragmatic randomised controlled trial

Primary study design
Interventional

Secondary study design
Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)
Other

Study type(s)
Treatment

Participant information sheet
Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied
Long-term nursing care

Interventions
Arm 1: guidelines on continence care and an implementation guide (control)
Arm 2: guidelines on continence care and an implementation guide and type A facilitation (a 12-month development programme run by external facilitators using technical facilitation)
Arm 3: guidelines on continence care and an implementation guide and type B facilitation (a 24-month development programme run by external facilitators using enabling facilitation)

Intervention Type
Other

Phase
Not Applicable

Primary outcome measure
The extent to which the guidelines are implemented, using clear criteria linked to the guidelines. Follow up of outcome data is at 6, 12, 18 and 24 months after the interventions starts in all arms. Process data is also collected thoughout the study.

Secondary outcome measures
Clinical outcomes including:
1. Quality of life
2. Continence status
3. Pad use
4. Health economic analysis

Follow up of outcome data is at 6, 12, 18 and 24 months after the interventions starts in all arms. Process data is also collected thoughout the study.

Overall study start date
01/03/2010

Completion date
31/12/2012

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria
1. Long-term nursing care settings with at least 60 places
2. Publically funded places
3. Residents who are aged 60 years or older

4. Interested in taking part in the study
5. Residents with documented urinary incontinence
6. Participants within each site will include those who have consented to be involved as:
6.1. Facilitators engaged in intervention delivery
6.2. Staff at all levels working in sites delivering care
6.3. Key stakeholders related to sites (e.g. regional administrators, funding agencies)
6.4. Residents and carers

Participant type(s)
Patient

Age group
Senior

Sex
Both

Target number of participants
Total number of patients, 50 per site = 300 per country = total 1,200

Total final enrolment
2313

Key exclusion criteria
Patients with moderate or severe dementia

Date of first enrolment
01/03/2010

Date of final enrolment
31/12/2012

Locations

Countries of recruitment
England

Ireland

Netherlands

Sweden

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

University of Warwick
Coventry
United Kingdom
CV4 7AL

Sponsor information

Organisation
University of Warwick (UK)

Sponsor details
Research Support Services
University House
Coventry
England
United Kingdom
CV4 7AL
-
dave.duncan@warwick.ac.uk

Sponsor type
University/education

Website
http://www2.warwick.ac.uk/

ROR
https://ror.org/01a77tt86

Funder(s)

Funder type
Government

Funder Name
Seventh Framework Programme

Alternative Name(s)
EC Seventh Framework Programme, European Commission Seventh Framework Programme, EU Seventh Framework Programme, European Union Seventh Framework Programme, FP7

Funding Body Type
Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype
National government

Location

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan
Planned publication in the journal Implementation Science.

Intention to publish date
31/12/2018

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary
Not provided at time of registration

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Protocol article	protocol	27/03/2012		Yes	No
Results article	results	16/11/2018		Yes	No
Results article	realist process evaluation results	16/11/2018	23/04/2019	Yes	No