

# Facilitating Implementation of Research Evidence

<b>Submission date</b> 04/02/2010	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 16/03/2010	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 23/04/2019	<b>Condition category</b> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Prof Kate Seers

**Contact details**  
RCN Research Institute  
School of Health and Social Studies  
University of Warwick  
Coventry  
United Kingdom  
CV4 7AL  
-  
kate.seers@warwick.ac.uk

## Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number**  
223646

## Study information

**Scientific Title**  
Facilitating Implementation of Research Evidence: a pragmatic randomised controlled trial with integral qualitative, quantitative and health economic evaluative components

## **Acronym**

FIRE

## **Study objectives**

This study aims to advance understanding about the contribution that facilitation and facilitators can make to translating the findings of research into practice and to study different facilitator models to identify whether it is possible to determine a 'good enough' model of facilitation that can address the complex range of factors that influence the uptake of research evidence within the time and resource constraints of day to day service delivery.

The objectives of the study are to:

1. Extend current knowledge of facilitation as a process for translating research evidence into practice
2. Evaluate the feasibility and effectiveness of two different models of facilitation in promoting the uptake of research evidence on continence promotion
3. To advance current knowledge of guideline implementation in healthcare, with a particular focus on understanding the impact of contextual factors on the processes and outcomes of implementation
4. Implement a pro-active dissemination strategy that complements the design of the study and facilitates the diffusion of the study findings to a wide policy and practice community throughout Europe and beyond

## **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

## **Ethics approval(s)**

1. UK: South East Wales Research Ethics Committee - Panel D, 21/04/2010, ref: 10/WSE04/20; CSP No.: 33062)
2. Ireland: Local ethics committee, 02/03/2010, ref: ECM 4(u)
3. Netherlands: National ethical clearance not required for this study
4. Sweden: Local ethics committee, 11/01/2010, ref: 2009/180631/2; 2009/2:11

## **Study design**

Pragmatic randomised controlled trial

## **Primary study design**

Interventional

## **Study type(s)**

Treatment

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Long-term nursing care

## **Interventions**

Arm 1: guidelines on continence care and an implementation guide (control)

Arm 2: guidelines on continence care and an implementation guide and type A facilitation (a 12-month development programme run by external facilitators using technical facilitation)

Arm 3: guidelines on continence care and an implementation guide and type B facilitation (a 24-month development programme run by external facilitators using enabling facilitation)

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Phase**

Not Applicable

**Primary outcome(s)**

The extent to which the guidelines are implemented, using clear criteria linked to the guidelines. Follow up of outcome data is at 6, 12, 18 and 24 months after the interventions starts in all arms. Process data is also collected throughout the study.

**Key secondary outcome(s)**

Clinical outcomes including:

1. Quality of life
2. Continence status
3. Pad use
4. Health economic analysis

Follow up of outcome data is at 6, 12, 18 and 24 months after the interventions starts in all arms. Process data is also collected throughout the study.

**Completion date**

31/12/2012

**Eligibility****Key inclusion criteria**

1. Long-term nursing care settings with at least 60 places
2. Publically funded places
3. Residents who are aged 60 years or older
4. Interested in taking part in the study
5. Residents with documented urinary incontinence
6. Participants within each site will include those who have consented to be involved as:
  - 6.1. Facilitators engaged in intervention delivery
  - 6.2. Staff at all levels working in sites delivering care
  - 6.3. Key stakeholders related to sites (e.g. regional administrators, funding agencies)
  - 6.4. Residents and carers

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Senior

**Sex**

All

**Total final enrolment**

2313

**Key exclusion criteria**

Patients with moderate or severe dementia

**Date of first enrolment**

01/03/2010

**Date of final enrolment**

31/12/2012

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

Ireland

Netherlands

Sweden

**Study participating centre**

**University of Warwick**

Coventry

United Kingdom

CV4 7AL

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

University of Warwick (UK)

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/01a77tt86>

**Funder(s)****Funder type**

Government

**Funder Name**

Seventh Framework Programme

**Alternative Name(s)**

EC Seventh Framework Programme, European Commission Seventh Framework Programme, EU Seventh Framework Programme, European Union Seventh Framework Programme, FP7

**Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**

National government

**Location**

## Results and Publications

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan****IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

**Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	16/11/2018		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	realist process evaluation results	16/11/2018	23/04/2019	Yes	No
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>	protocol	27/03/2012		Yes	No