Waiting list controlled trial of surgical treatment for adults with chronic constipation

Submission date	Recruitment status	Prospectively registered		
30/09/2015 No longer recruitin	No longer recruiting	[X] Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan		
30/09/2015		[X] Results		
Last Edited 27/10/2022	Condition category Digestive System	[] Individual participant data		
L1 10 L0LL	Digestive System			

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Constipation is a common condition which affects most people in their lifetime. Chronic constipation is where the sufferer has been affected for at least six months, and non-surgical treatments such as medications (laxatives), exercise and diet changes are ineffective. A laparoscopic ventral mesh rectopexy (LVMR) is a keyhole surgery procedure which is used to treat patients suffering from rectal prolapse (when the bowel slides out of the anus or slides in on itself). This procedure is designed to straighten and reattach the rectum (part of the bowel nearest to the anus) back into its normal position. The aim of this study is to find out whether LVMR could be an effective treatment for patients with chronic constipation who are unresponsive to standard treatment options.

Who can participate?

Adults with chronic constipation who are unresponsive to non-surgical treatment.

What does the study involve?

Eligible participants are randomly allocated into three groups, with different waiting times before their LVMR surgery. Those in the first group receive the surgery when they enter the study, those in the second group receive the surgery 12 weeks after entering the study, and those in the third group receive the surgery 24 weeks after entering the study. The effectiveness of the surgery on improving constipation symptoms and quality of life is measured using a number of questionnaires every 12 weeks (until 48 weeks), with the option of long term follow up to 72 weeks.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Participants may benefit from an improved quality of life as a result of taking part and will be carefully selected and monitored during the course of the study. The surgeons performing the surgery will also be carefully selected and monitored to ensure a high level of care. The risks of trial participation are very low over and above standard surgical risks and risks of anaesthesia. Radiological investigations (scans) routinely performed to select appropriate patients for surgery require a small ionising radiation dose, although this is not considered to be harmful. A number of questionnaires contain personal questions about bowel problems and the effect of these on quality of life and behaviour, which may be difficult for some participants.

Where is the study run from?
The Royal London & Whipps Cross Hospitals and nine other hospitals in England (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? July 2015 to March 2020

Who is funding the study? National Institute for Health Research (UK)

Who is the main contact? Ms Natasha Stevens

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Mrs Shiva Taheri

Contact details

Barts and The London School of Medicine and Dentistry Centre for Neuroscience Trauma Blizard Institute 2 Newark Street London United Kingdom E1 2AT

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number 19016

Study information

Scientific Title

Stepped wedge randomised trial of laparoscopic ventral mesh rectopexy in adults with chronic constipation

Acronym

CapaCiTY03

Study objectives

This trial aims to address the evidence gap for laparoscopic ventral mesh rectopexy (LVMR) and will assess the efficacy of LVMR for the treatment of adults with chronic constipation.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

First Medical Research Ethics Committee, 06/07/2015, ref: 15-LO-0609

Study design

Randomised; Interventional and Observational; Design type: Treatment, Cohort study

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Chronic constipation

Interventions

Patients are randomly allocated into three groups with different waiting times for the Laparoscopic Ventral Mesh Rectopexy (LapVMR) surgery. All groups have a run in period of 4 weeks to arrange surgery, with an average waiting time of 3 months.

Group 1: The LVMR surgery is perforned at T = 0 weeks

Group 2: The LVMR surgery is perforned at T = 12 weeks

Group 3: The LVMR surgery is perforned at T = 24 weeks

Within the intervention, participants are admitted to hospital for at least one day post-operative care. The stepped wedged design allows data before surgery to be compared to data after surgery, effectively, patients act as their own controls.

Post-operatively, patients are followed up after 1 day, after 30 days and once every 12 weeks to maximum 72 weeks.

Intervention Type

Other

Primary outcome(s)

Patient assessment of quality of life is assessed using the Patient Assessment of Constipation Quality of Life (PAC-QOL) questionnaire at 24 weeks post-surgery compared to pre-surgery controls.

Key secondary outcome(s))

- 1. Quality of Life is measured using at 24, 48 and 72 weeks using the following questionnaires:
- 1.1. Patient Assessment of Constipation Symptom (PAC-SYM) total and individual domain scores
- 1.2. Patient Assessment of Constipation Quality of Life (PAC-QOL) total and individual domain score
- 1.3. Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9)
- 1.4. Generalized anxiety disorder questionnaire (GAD7)
- 1.5. Global patient satisfaction/improvement score (VAS) and whether they would recommend each treatment experienced to other patients
- 1.6. Chronic Constipation Brief Response Questionnaire (CC-BRQ), and brief illness perception questionnaire (BIPQ)
- 1.7. St Marks Incontinence score (for concurrent symptoms)
- 1.8. Baseline brief sexual function questionnaire (PISQ-12 for women and MSHQ-EjD Short Form

for men)

- 1.9. A two week patient bowel diary (for 2 weeks prior to each assessment) to record bowel frequency and whether each evacuation was 'spontaneous (no use of laxatives) and/or complete 2. Health Economics measured at 24, 48 and 72 weeks using a patient health journal and generic quality of life using the EQ-5D-5L descriptive system and EQ-VAS
- 3. Specific adverse events, morbidity and mortality data measured at 24, 48 and 72 weeks using:
- 3.1. Perioperative findings e.g. scarring, adhesions, tissue laxity, pelvic depth, ovarian or uterine pathology
- 3.2. Procedural data: duration of surgery, blood loss, approach (lap vs. conversion to open), type of mesh and sutures (make, diameter, number);
- 3.3. Length of post-operative stay
- 3.4. 30 day re-admission rates;
- 3.5. Complications (30-day morbidity and mortality)
- 3.6. Specific outcomes e.g. dyspareunia and sexual function, pelvic pain, urinary dysfunction, new onset-faecal incontinence, early mesh complications (displacement, erosion, infection).
- 3.7. Early clinical recurrence of structural defect e.g. prolapse or rectocele based on rectal examination +/- adjunctive investigations (as clinically indicated)
- 4. Qualitative Interviews to assess patient and health professional experiences. Method of measurement include semi structured, digitally recorded, anonymised and transcribed interviews. Interviews will be conducted with a subset of 20 patients and 5 surgeons, before and after surgery (timing will vary depending on group allocation)

Completion date

01/03/2020

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Aged between 18 and 70 years
- 2. Patient selfreports problematic constipation
- 3. Symptom onset > 6 months prior to recruitment
- 4. Symptoms meet American College of Gastroenterology definition of constipation
- 5. Constipation failed treatment to a minimum basic standard (NHS Map of Medicine 2012 (lifestyle AND dietary measures AND =2 laxatives or prokinetics) tried (no time requirement)
- 6. Ability to understand written and spoken English (due to questionnaire validity)
- 7. Ability and willingness to give informed consent
- 8. Failure of nonsurgical interventions (minimum of nurse led behavioural therapy)
- 9. Internal rectal prolapse as determined by clinical examination and INVEST

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 years

Sex

All

Total final enrolment

28

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Significant organic colonic disease (red flag symptoms e.g. rectal bleeding prior investigated); IBD; megacolon or megarectum (if diagnosed beforehand); severe diverticulosis/stricture/birth defects deemed to contribute to symptoms (incidental diverticulosis if known not an exclusion)
- 2. Major colorectal resectional surgery
- 3. Current overt pelvic organ prolapse (bladder, uterus) or disease requiring obvious surgical intervention other than lap VMR
- 4. Previous rectopexy
- 5. Sacral nerve stimulation (SNS) in situ
- 6. Rectal impaction (as defined by digital and abdominal examination: these form part of the NHS Map of Medicine basic standard)
- 7. Significant neurological disease deemed to be causative e.g. Parkinson's, spinal injury, multiple sclerosis, diabetic neuropathy (not uncomplicated diabetes alone)
- 8. Significant connective tissue disease: scleroderma, systemic sclerosis and SLE (not hypermobility alone)
- 9. Significant medical comorbidities and activity of daily living impairment (based on Bartell index in apparently frail patients Barthel index <=11)
- 10. Major active psychiatric diagnosis (e.g. schizophrenia, major depressive illness and mania)
- 11. Chronic regular opioid use (at least once daily use) where this is deemed to be the cause of constipation based on temporal association of symptoms with onset of therapy
- 12. Pregnancy or intention to become pregnant during study period
- 13. Known severe intraabdominal adhesions

Date of first enrolment

01/07/2015

Date of final enrolment

30/06/2019

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Study participating centre The Royal London & Whipps Cross Hospitals

Barts Health NHS Trust Whitechapel Road London United Kingdom E1 1BB

Study participating centre

St Thomas' Hospital

Westminster Bridge Road Guy's and Thomas' NHS Foundation Trust London United Kingdom SE1 7EH

Study participating centre Wythenshawe Hospital

University Hospital of South Manchester NHS Foundation Trust Southmoor Road Wythenshawe Manchester United Kingdom M23 9LT

Study participating centre Northern General Hospital

Sheffield Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Herries Road Sheffield United Kingdom S5 7AU

Study participating centre

Poole Hospital

Poole Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Longfleet Road Poole United Kingdom BH15 2JB

Study participating centre Southmead Hospital

North Bristol NHS Foundation Trust Southmead Road Westbury-on-Trym Bristol United Kingdom BS10 5NB

Study participating centre Royal Shrewsbury Hospital

The Shrewsbury and Telford Hospital NHS Trust Mytton Oak Road Shrewsbury United Kingdom SY3 8XQ

Study participating centre Broomfield Hospital

Mid Essex Hospital Services NHS Trust Court Road Chelmsford United Kingdom CM1 7ET

Study participating centre St. James's University Hospital

The Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust Leeds United Kingdom LS9 7TF

Study participating centre Southend University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust

Prittlewell Chase Southend-on-Sea Westcliff-On-sea United Kingdom SSO ORY

Sponsor information

Organisation

Queen Mary University of London

ROR

https://ror.org/026zzn846

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

National Institute for Health Research

Alternative Name(s)

National Institute for Health Research, NIHR Research, NIHRresearch, NIHR - National Institute for Health Research, NIHR (The National Institute for Health and Care Research), NIHR

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

National government

Location

United Kingdom

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study will be stored in a repository held by the Barts and the London Pragmatic Clinical Trials Unit, Queen Mary University of London.

IPD sharing plan summary

Stored in repository

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article		19/05/2022	23/05/2022	Yes	No
Results article		01/11/2021	27/10/2022	Yes	No
Protocol article	protocol	05/02/2018		Yes	No
Participant information sheet	version V3		03/05/2016		Yes
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes
Study website	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes