

Provision of feedback to GPs to increase suspected cancer referrals

Submission date 20/04/2017	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 20/04/2017	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 06/06/2017	Condition category Cancer	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims:

Almost half of people who develop cancer are diagnosed late. Two-week wait (2WW) referrals for patients are important in ensuring that cancer is detected early. It is important that all patients who may be at risk are being referred because patients at practices with lower 2WW referral rates have higher death rates than those at practices with higher referral rates. This study aims to test whether sending GPs a series of letters increases the number of referrals to secondary care for suspected cancer by GPs in Greater Manchester. The letters will be sent to GPs who refer below the England average with the aim of bringing the number of two-week wait (2WW) referrals closer to the England average.

Who can participate?

GP Practices operating within Greater Manchester in 2017.

What does the study involve?

In this study, a series of three letters ('Anticipated feedback' letter, 'Social norm feedback with reminder sticker' letter and 'Reminder' letter) are rolled out over six months. Each step is one month long which means that all three letters are sent in the month. The letters are sent approximately one week apart. By the end of the trial, all GP practices will have received the intervention, although the order in which GP practices receive the letters is determined at random. The study starts with an initial period where no GP practices receive the letters. Following this, each month a group of GP practices are randomly selected to receive the letters. This process continues until all GP practices who have a referral rate below the England average have been sent the letters.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Not provided at time of registration.

Where is the study run from?

The study is run by the Greater Manchester Health and Social Care Partnership and takes place in 273 GP practices in the Greater Manchester area (UK)

When is study starting and how long is it expected to run for?
September 2016 to March 2018

Who is funding the study?
Greater Manchester Health and Social Care Partnership (UK)

Who is the main contact?
Ms Kristina Londakova

Contact information

Type(s)
Public

Contact name
Ms Kristina Londakova

Contact details
The Behavioural Insights Team
4 Matthew Parker Street
London
United Kingdom
SW1H 9NP

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers
2017016

Study information

Scientific Title
A behaviourally-informed intervention to address low numbers of suspected cancer (two-week wait) referrals made by GPs in the Greater Manchester area

Study objectives
This study aims to test whether sending GPs a series of behaviourally-informed letters increases the number of referrals to secondary care for suspected cancer by GPs in Greater Manchester.

Ethics approval required
Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)
Not provided at time of registration

Study design

Stepped-wedged randomised controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

GP practice

Study type(s)

Other

Participant information sheet

No participant information sheet available

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Cancer

Interventions

Three behaviourally informed letters to each GP practice in Greater Manchester which refers fewer patients via the 2WW referral rate than the England average. Each letter will be sent to one named GP and the practice manager at the GP surgeries that meet our criteria.

Letter 1: Anticipated feedback

This first letter will tell GP practices that they will shortly be receiving feedback on their performance. This letter aims to create anticipation and so increase the salience of the second letter. We also provide context about why we are sending the information: "Almost half of people who develop cancer are diagnosed late. In the next few weeks, I will be sending you feedback on how your practice's two-week wait (suspected cancer) referral rate compares to other practices in your area."

Letter 2: Social norm feedback with reminder sticker

The second letter will contain the feedback on GP practices, based on the publicly available PHE age-and-sex standardised two-week wait cancer referral ratios in 2015-2016. We will divide the GP surgeries into two groups: those with very low referral rates (defined as the lowest performing 30% within Greater Manchester) and those with low referral rates (defined as those practices that perform below the England average but better than the bottom 30%). The groups will receive the following messages: Practices with very low referral rates: "The great majority (70%) of practices in Greater Manchester have a higher two-week wait cancer referral rate than yours. Two-week wait cancer referrals are critical for detecting cancer early." Practices with low referral rates: "Many practices in Greater Manchester have a higher two-week wait cancer referral rate than yours. Two-week wait cancer referrals are critical for detecting cancer early." As well as giving feedback about their relative performance the letter includes practical suggestions designed to help GPs make better referrals decisions and a sticker to serve as a timely prompt.

Letter 3: Reminder

The final letter serves as a reminder of the feedback and is meant to reinforce the feedback message. The groups will receive the following reminder messages:

Practices with very low referral rates: "Recently we wrote to you to tell you that your practice had a lower two-week wait cancer referral rate than the vast majority (70%) of practices in Greater Manchester."

Practices with low referral rates: "Recently we wrote to you to tell you that your practice had a lower two-week wait cancer referral rate than many practices in Greater Manchester."

The reminder letters again provide suggestions relating to the 2WW referral route.

The impact of the letters will be measured using a stepped-wedge design randomised at the GP practice level. This involves a sequential roll-out of the intervention to GP practices over a number of months. In this study, the intervention (the series of three letters) will be rolled out over 6 months.

Each step is one month long, this means that all three letters will be sent in the month. The letters will be sent approximately one week apart. By the end of the trial, all GP practices will have received the intervention, although the order in which GP practices receive the intervention is determined at random. The trial starts with an initial period where no GP practices receive the letters. Subsequently, each month a group of GP practices will be randomly selected to receive the letters. This process continues until all GP practices who have a referral rate below the England average have been sent the letters. Over the course of the trial period a total of 1,638 letters will be sent to 273 GP practices in Greater Manchester (three letters for the named GP and three letters for the practice manager for each GP practice).

Intervention Type

Behavioural

Primary outcome measure

GP referral for suspected cancer is measured using the age-and-sex-adjusted ratio at 4 weeks after the receipt of intervention.

Secondary outcome measures

No secondary outcome measures

Overall study start date

01/09/2016

Completion date

30/03/2018

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. GP Practices
2. Operating within Greater Manchester
3. Operating in 2017
4. Data is available in the PHE Fingertips Cancer Services data
5. For the experimental sample: the practice is referring fewer patients with suspected cancer via the 2WW than the 2015/16 England average

Participant type(s)

Health professional

Age group

Adult

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

273 GP practices, e.g. 273 GP leads and 273 practice managers

Key exclusion criteria

1. Outside the Greater Manchester area
2. Within the Greater Manchester area who are performing above the England average are excluded from the experimental group but included as additional control observations
3. In Greater Manchester for whom publicly available data is not available on the PHE Fingertips website
4. For whom data on their referral rate was unavailable from provider trusts or referral centres

Date of first enrolment

22/05/2017

Date of final enrolment

30/11/2017

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

England

United Kingdom

Study participating centre

Greater Manchester Health and Social Care Partnership

3 Piccadilly Place

Manchester

United Kingdom

M1 3BN

Sponsor information**Organisation**

Greater Manchester Health & Social Care Partnership

Sponsor details

3 Piccadilly Place
Manchester
United Kingdom
M1 3BN

Sponsor type

Other

Funder(s)**Funder type**

Other

Funder Name

Greater Manchester Health & Social Care Partnership

Results and Publications**Publication and dissemination plan**

Planned publication in an internal report, on BIT's website and potentially, in a high-impact peer reviewed journal, in 2018.

Intention to publish date

31/12/2018

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are/will be available upon request from michael.sanders@bi.team

IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request