

The pre-pectoral breast reconstruction evaluation study

Submission date 01/03/2019	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 08/05/2019	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 26/02/2025	Condition category Surgery	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

55,000 women are diagnosed with breast cancer each year in the UK. 40% undergo mastectomy (surgical removal of the breast) and of those who choose breast reconstruction, most will have an implant-based operation.

Traditionally, implant-based reconstructions have been performed by placing the implant under the chest wall (pectoral muscle) with or without a biological or synthetic mesh to support it. This gives a good result but lifting the muscle can be painful and some women dislike the upward movement of the implant that is seen when the chest wall muscle contracts often called implant 'animation'.

Recently new techniques have been introduced in which the implant wrapped in mesh is placed on top of, rather than under the muscle. These techniques called prepectoral implant reconstruction may be less painful and may give more natural-looking results as well as avoiding problems with implant animation.

Although these techniques are gaining in popularity, there is no good evidence to suggest that they are as safe or effective as standard submuscular reconstruction. Ideally, the two techniques should be fairly compared in a randomised trial but the prepectoral technique is still changing and only a limited number of surgeons are able to offer this to patients. Before a trial can be considered it is necessary to:

1. Determine whether prepectoral techniques are safe by measuring complications including the need to remove the implant at 3 months
2. Explore whether the technique has stopped changing and is now stable and ready for evaluation
3. Create a network of surgeons who are able to perform prepectoral reconstructions and could take part in a future trial

Who can participate?

Women aged over 16 undergoing mastectomy for breast cancer or risk reduction and electing to undergo immediate prepectoral implant-based breast reconstruction

What does the study involve?

Patients will be asked to sign a consent form and complete questionnaires before the operation. They will have an operation and see a surgeon and their team as normal following surgery.

Patients will be asked to complete postoperative pain scores at 24 hours, 48 hours, 1 week following surgery and quality of life and satisfaction questionnaires at 3 and 18 months after their operation. These can be completed online or paper according to patient preference. The questionnaires should take no more than 30 minutes to complete.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

There are no benefits to individual participants, but the information collected during the study might help women make choices regarding breast reconstruction in future. There are no risks involved in trial participation beyond the inherent risks of surgery, which will be explained by individual surgeons and are independent of the study.

Where is the study run from?

University of Bristol and 37 recruiting sites (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

October 2017 to September 2022

Who is funding the study?

1. The Bristol NIHR Biomedical Research Centre (UK)
2. The Royal College of Surgeons of England (UK)
3. The Association of Breast Surgeons (UK)

Who is the main contact?

Miss Shelley Potter

prebra-study@bristol.ac.uk

Contact information

Type(s)

Public

Contact name

Miss Shelley Potter

ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6977-312X>

Contact details

Centre for Surgical Research

Bristol Medical School

Canynges Hall

Whatley Road

Bristol

United Kingdom

BS8 2PS

+44 (0)7815684182

prebra-study@bristol.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

Nil known

Protocol serial number

2.0

Study information

Scientific Title

The Pre-pectoral Breast Reconstruction Evaluation Feasibility Study - a mixed-methods IDEAL 2a /2b prospective cohort study to determine the safety and effectiveness of pre-pectoral implant-based breast reconstruction

Acronym

Pre-Bra

Study objectives

Current study hypothesis as of 08/04/2020:

Pre-pectoral breast reconstruction is safe and the technique is stable and ready for evaluation in a definite randomised controlled trial.

Previous study hypothesis:

Pre-pectoral breast reconstruction is safe and results in levels of pain and implant animation following surgery which are acceptable to patients.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Approved 10/05/2019, NHS HRA South Central - Oxford B Research Ethics Committee (Whitefriars, Level 3, Block B, Lewin's Mead, Bristol, BS1 2NT; 0207 104 8168; nrescommittee.southcentral-oxfordb@nhs.net), ref: 19/SC/0129, HRA/HCRW approval 14/05/2020

Study design

Mixed methods IDEAL 2a/2b prospective observational cohort study

Primary study design

Observational

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Breast reconstruction

Interventions

It is anticipated that patients entering the study will be followed up for 18 months.

Screening (using SEAR method)

Screening data will not include any patient identifiable information:

1. All patients electing to undergo IBBR
2. Number technically suitable for PPBR
3. Number not considered technically suitable for PPBR and reasons for this
4. Number accepting PPBR
5. Number accepting study participation

Baseline pre-operative assessment

1. Patient demographic data captured
2. BREAST-Q completed (Reconstruction-pre-operative version)

Surgical admission

1. PPBR procedure: operative data captured
2. Length of post-operative hospital stay
3. Post-operative pain at 24 hours or point of discharge
4. Any immediate in-hospital complications

Early clinical follow-up

1. Post-operative pain score at 1 and 2 weeks electronically self-reported by the patient if no longer in hospital
2. Assessment and recording of complications at clinical review: timing as per each site's routine standard of care follow-up arrangements
3. Oncological data and planned adjuvant treatment (if appropriate)

3-month data

1. Assessment of complications including re-admission and re-operation if these events occur
2. Information taken from clinical notes and in discussion with each site's research team
3. Pain Scores electronically self-reported by the patient at 3 months
4. PROMs: Breast-Q reconstruction – satisfaction with information; patient reported complications

18-month data

1. BREAST-Q completed (Reconstruction-pre-operative version)

Added 23/04/2020:

The intervention is prepectoral implant-based breast reconstruction performed according to local surgeons' standard practice.

This is a multicentre prospective cohort study. The researchers will collect baseline demographic, operative, oncological and complication data at 3 and 18 months. Patients will complete validated patient-reported outcome questionnaires (BREAST-Q) preoperatively and at 3 and 18 months following surgery. Pain scores will be measured using a VAS at 24 hours, 1 week and 3 months.

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Primary outcome(s)

Current primary outcome measure as of 08/04/2020:

Implant loss rate at 3 months defined as: any unplanned removal of the implant without replacement of the prosthesis (implant or expander) for infection, wound problems or other indication within the first 3 months following surgery

Previous primary outcome measure:

Implant loss rate at 3 months will be determined by clinical review carried out by participating surgeons at participating clinical sites. Implant loss is defined as: "any unplanned removal of the implant without replacement of the prosthesis (implant or expander) for infection, wound problems or other indication within the first 3 months following surgery."

Key secondary outcome(s)

Current secondary outcome measures as of 08/04/2020:

1. Other key safety measures including infection, return to theatre and reoperation, measured by clinical review at 3 months
2. Post-operative pain scores measured by VAS at 24 hours, 48 hours, 1 week and 3 months
3. Patient satisfaction with the outcome of their reconstruction assessed using the 'satisfaction with breasts' domain of the BREAST-Q at 18 months
4. Other patient-reported outcomes included in the breast reconstruction core outcome set including physical function, emotional well being and animation assessed using the BREAST-Q at 18 months
5. The feasibility of using mixed methods to promote shared learning and determine if the procedure is sufficiently stable for evaluation in the context of an RCT
6. The proportion of surgeons reporting modifications/complications; of these
7. The proportion of CRFs including details of modifications or learning arising from complications; of these
8. The proportion of surgeons agreeing to be interviewed; and of these
9. The proportion of interviews performed

Previous secondary outcome measures:

1. Safety will be determined by comparing key safety outcomes for pre-pectoral breast reconstruction including infection; return to theatre and readmission at 3 months with published national audit data. All 3 measures will be determined by clinical teams and reported to the central study team as they occur. Definitions of all 3 measures are:
 - 1.1. Infection- A hot, red swollen breast associated with one of the following; a temperature, pus at the wound site, a raised white cell count and/or; a positive wound swab within the first 3 months following surgery. This will be further classified as:
 - 1.1.1. Minor – requiring oral antibiotics only;
 - 1.1.2. Major 1 – requiring admission for IV antibiotics and/or debridement;
 - 1.1.3. Major 2 – requiring surgical drainage/debridement
 - 1.2. Readmission to hospital– any re-admission to hospital in the 3 months following surgery directly related to the procedure (e.g. with infection requiring antibiotics).
 - 1.3. Return to theatre – Return to the operating theatre at any time during the first 3 months following surgery to deal with any complication of the reconstruction. This will not include any secondary oncological procedures such as axillary clearance or planned procedures including exchange of expander for a fixed volume implant or lipo-modelling.
2. The feasibility of using mixed methods to promote shared learning and identify when the technique is sufficiently stable for evaluation in the context of an RCT will be determined by:
 - 2.1. The number of surgeons reporting modifications to their patient selection criteria or technique, or a post-operative complication via individual patient case report forms (CRFs). Each time one of these events occur, surgeons will be contacted and invited to take part in qualitative interviews to explore these events in further detail. The number of surgeons reporting events

via CRFs and agreeing to qualitative interviews will determine the feasibility of these novel methods.

3. The feasibility, design and conduct of a future RCT will be determined by exploring the numbers of patients potentially eligible for pre-pectoral reconstruction; the types and frequencies of products used and approaches to concomitant interventions. This will be measured by:

3.1. Before the study - A national practice survey of both clinical sites and individual surgeons to determine how widely pre-pectoral breast reconstruction (PPBR) is practiced and how widely the technique varies from the point of view of products used and approaches to concomitant interventions (e.g. use of surgical drains and antibiotics) at the start of the study.

3.2. During the study - detailed screening logs will be kept at each clinical site of how many cases are suitable for implant-based breast reconstruction (IBBR), and within this group how many of those would be technically suitable for PPBR? And of those suitable for PPBR, how many go on to have the technique and if they don't choose to, their reasons. This will inform the feasibility of potentially randomising between IBBR and PPBR in a future study.

3.3. At the close of the study - how many surgeons have taken part, what proportion have engaged with qualitative interviews. And how many surgeons report (via individual patient CRFs) that sequential operations they have performed have not been modified i.e. the procedure is stable, and can be evaluated in a randomised controlled trial.

4. The capacity to deliver a future RCT will be determined by the success of 1, 2 and 3

Completion date

30/09/2022

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Consecutive women >16 who require a mastectomy for breast cancer or risk-reduction who:

1. Elect to undergo immediate implant-based breast reconstruction
2. Considered suitable for PPBR by their surgeon
3. Understand and accept that PBRR is innovative and that outcome data are limited

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Sex

Female

Total final enrolment

347

Key exclusion criteria

Absolute exclusion criteria:

1. Thin/ insufficiently vascularised skin-flaps as assessed by the operating surgeon
2. Revision /delayed breast reconstruction

Additional relative exclusion criteria applied in line with the ABS/BAPRAS guidelines for mesh-assisted breast reconstruction for surgeons in the learning phase or early practice of prepectoral breast reconstruction:

1. Smokers or ex-smokers <6 weeks
2. Previous radiotherapy to the ipsilateral breast/chest wall
3. BMI >30 (except for dermal sling procedures)
4. Implant size >600cc
5. Anticipated post-mastectomy radiotherapy
6. Poorly controlled diabetes

Date of first enrolment

28/05/2019

Date of final enrolment

31/12/2020

Locations

Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Scotland

Wales

Study participating centre

North Bristol NHS Foundation Trust

Southmead Hospital

Bristol

United Kingdom

BS10 5NB

Study participating centre

Royal Liverpool & Broadgreen University Hospital NHS Trust

Prescot Street

Liverpool

United Kingdom

L7 8XP

Study participating centre

Pennine Acute Hospitals NHS Trust (Wythenshawe)

North Manchester General Hospital
Delaunays Rd
Crumpsall
Manchester
United Kingdom
M8 5RB

Study participating centre

Poole Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Longfleet Rd
Poole
United Kingdom
BH15 2JB

Study participating centre

East Kent Hospitals University NHS Foundation Trust

Ethelbert Rd
Canterbury
United Kingdom
CT1 3NG

Study participating centre

Cwm Taf Morgannwg University Health Board

Albert Road
Pontypridd
United Kingdom
CF37 1LB

Study participating centre

Yeovil District Hospital NHS Foundation Trust

Higher Kingston
Yeovil
United Kingdom
BA21 4AT

Study participating centre

Hywel Dda University Health Board (Prince Phillip)

St Davids Park
Jobswell Road

Carmarthen
United Kingdom
SA31 3BB

Study participating centre

Royal Devon & Exeter NHS Foundation Trust
Barrack Rd
Exeter
United Kingdom
EX2 5DW

Study participating centre

Tameside and Glossop Integrated Care NHS Foundation Trust
Fountain St
Ashton-Under-Lyne
United Kingdom
OL6 9RW

Study participating centre

Blackpool Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
Whinney Heys Rd
Blackpool
United Kingdom
FY3 8NR

Study participating centre

Hampshire Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
Aldermaston Rd
Basington
United Kingdom
RG24 9NA

Study participating centre

Chesterfield Royal Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
Calow
Chesterfield
United Kingdom
S44 5BL

Study participating centre
Airedale NHS Foundation Trust
Skipton Rd
Steeton Keighley
United Kingdom
BD20 6TD

Study participating centre
St George's University Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
Blackshaw Rd
Tooting
London
United Kingdom
SW17 0QT

Study participating centre
Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust
Derby Rd
Nottingham
United Kingdom
NG7 2UH

Study participating centre
North Tees and Hartlepool NHS Foundation Trust
Holdforth Rd
Hartlepool
United Kingdom
TS24 9AH

Study participating centre
Bradford Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
Duckworth Lane
Bradford
United Kingdom
BD9 6RJ

Study participating centre
Wrightington, Wigan and Leigh NHS Foundation Trust
The Elms
Wigan Lane
Wigan

United Kingdom
WN1 2NN

Study participating centre
Frimley Health NHS Foundation Trust (Wexham Park)
Portsmouth Road
Frimley
United Kingdom
GU16 7UJ

Study participating centre
St Helens and Knowsley Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust
Warrington Rd
Prescot
United Kingdom
L35 5DR

Study participating centre
The Royal Bournemouth and Christchurch Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
Castle Lane East
Bournemouth
United Kingdom
BH7 7DW

Study participating centre
Royal Berkshire NHS Foundation Trust (Reading)
London Road
Reading
United Kingdom
RG1 5AN

Study participating centre
Bolton NHS Foundation Trust
Minerva Rd
Farnworth
Bolton
United Kingdom
BL4 0JR

Study participating centre

The Royal Wolverhampton NHS Trust

New Cross Hospital
Wolverhampton Rd
Heath Town
Wolverhampton
United Kingdom
WV10 0QP

Study participating centre

North West Anglia NHS Foundation Trust

Bretton Gate
Bretton
Peterborough
United Kingdom
PE3 9GZ

Study participating centre

The Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Headley Way
Headington
Oxford
United Kingdom
OX3 9DU

Study participating centre

Norfolk and Norwich University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Colney Lane
Colney
Norwich
United Kingdom
NR4 7UY

Study participating centre

Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust

Cobbet House
Oxford Road
Manchester
United Kingdom
M13 9WL

Study participating centre

Wirral University Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust

Arrowe Park Hospital
Arrowe Park Road
Upton
United Kingdom
CH49 5PE

Study participating centre

Western Sussex Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Worthing Hospital
Lyndhurst Rd
Worthing
United Kingdom
BN11 2DH

Study participating centre

Brighton & Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust

Eastern Rd
Brighton
United Kingdom
BN2 5BE

Study participating centre

The Dudley Group NHS Foundation Trust

Russells Hall Hospital
Pensnett Rd
Dudley
United Kingdom
DY1 2HQ

Study participating centre

Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust

St. James's University Hospital
Beckett St
Leeds
United Kingdom
LS9 7TF

Study participating centre

East Suffolk and North Essex NHS Foundation Trust
Turner Road
Colchester
United Kingdom
CO4 5JL

Study participating centre
Grampian Health Board
2 Eday Rd
Aberdeen
United Kingdom
AB15 6RE

Study participating centre
NHS Forth Valley
33 Spittal St
Stirling
United Kingdom
FK8 1DX

Sponsor information

Organisation
University of Bristol

ROR
<https://ror.org/0524sp257>

Funder(s)

Funder type
Charity

Funder Name
Royal College of Surgeons of England

Alternative Name(s)
RCS England, RCS ENG, The Royal College of Surgeons of England, RCS

Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

Funding Body Subtype

Universities (academic only)

Location

United Kingdom

Funder Name

The Association of Breast Surgeons

Funder Name

The NIHR Bristol Biomedical Research Centre Director's Fund

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The data sharing plans for the current study are unknown and will be made available at a later date.

IPD sharing plan summary

Data sharing statement to be made available at a later date

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	protocol	16/05/2022	18/07/2023	Yes	No
Results article		01/02/2025	26/02/2025	Yes	No
Protocol article		26/01/2020	09/04/2020	Yes	No
HRA research summary	Participant information sheet		28/06/2023	No	No
Participant information sheet		11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes
Study website		11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes