

# Comparing two slicing techniques in the pathological assessment of pancreas specimens in persons who undergo a Whipple resection

<b>Submission date</b> 25/04/2019	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 30/04/2019	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 05/10/2022	<b>Condition category</b> Cancer	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

This study compares two techniques to assess the tumor after surgery on the pancreas. The goal is to find the best technique to determine the origin of the tumor/cancer.

### Who can participate

All patients that undergo resection of the pancreas head (pancreatoduodenectomy) for a (suspected) tumor or cancer older than 18 years.

### What does the study involve

Two techniques to investigate the pancreas after surgery will be compared. These two techniques are commonly used worldwide but have not been compared so far.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating

Since the tumor is only investigated after surgery, there are no adverse effects for a participating individual. Investigation of the tumor is routinely done and does not interfere with the patients treatment after surgery.

### Where is the study run from

The lead center is the Amsterdam UMC, location AMC, and in total we expect 4-5 other Dutch centers to collaborate.

### Who is funding the study

Investigator initiated and funded.

### Who is the main contact

Stijn van Roessel  
[s.vanroessel@amsterdamumc.nl](mailto:s.vanroessel@amsterdamumc.nl)

## Contact information

**Type(s)**

Scientific

**Contact name**

Dr Joanne Verheij

**Contact details**

Meibergdreef 9

Amsterdam

Netherlands

1105AZ

020 5665650

j.verheij@amc.uva.nl

**Additional identifiers****Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)**

Nil known

**ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)**

Nil known

**Protocol serial number**

W18\_110 # 18.139

**Study information****Scientific Title**

Axial slicing versus bivalving of the pancreatic head in the pathological examination of pancreatoduodenectomy specimens: a multicenter, randomized, controlled study

**Acronym**

APOLLO

**Study objectives**

Bivalving of the pancreatic head provides more accurate determination of the origin of the primary tumor compared to axial slicing of the pancreatoduodenectomy specimen.

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

Approved 06/04/2018, Medical Ethics Review Committee of Academic Medical Center Amsterdam (Amsterdam UMC, location AMC, Ethics Committee, PO Box 22660, 1100 DD, Amsterdam, Netherlands; s.vanroesse@amc.uva.nl; +31 20 566 9111), ref: W18\_110 # 18.139

**Study design**

Multicenter randomized controlled 1:1 ratio superiority trial

**Primary study design**

Interventional

## **Study type(s)**

Diagnostic

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Patients that undergo elective pancreatoduodenectomy for a malignant or premalignant periampullary lesion

## **Interventions**

Participants will be randomised to one of two treatment arms:

1. Axial slicing according to Verbeke: Parallel margins (en face) from the pancreatic neck margin, proximal distal bile duct margin and enteric proximal and distal margin will be taken. Fixation of the specimen in formalin, after that serial specimen slicing in the axial plane in slices of 3-5 millimeter thick after fixation.
2. Bivalving of the pancreatic head according to Adsay: The main pancreatic duct and common bile duct are probed, and the specimen is sliced along the plane defined by both probes and both ducts are longitudinally opened, i.e. bivalving of the pancreatic head.

Remaining part of the pathological examination will be according to local protocols. Macroscopic photos will be taken from the specimens and an expert panel of pathologists will assess the photos.

The randomization process is done centrally by a computer-based system, stratified for center and neoadjuvant treatment (yes/no).

## **Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

## **Primary outcome(s)**

Level of certainty in determining the primary origin of the tumor by 4 pathologists. Pathologists assess the macroscopic photos of each specimen and score how certain they are of the primary origin of the tumor (0-100%) in a survey.

## **Key secondary outcome(s)**

- 1) Inter-observer agreement (kappa) among different pathologists in origin of the tumor (by survey)
  - 2) R1 rate for pancreatic and periampullary cancers/lymph node harvest
- Both determined during routine pathological examination.

## **Completion date**

01/12/2019

# **Eligibility**

## **Key inclusion criteria**

1. All patients that undergo pancreatoduodenectomy

## **Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

All

**Total final enrolment**

128

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Pancreatoduodenectomy performed for chronic pancreatitis
2. Pancreatoduodenectomy preoperatively confirmed neuro-endocrine tumors and hamoudi / acinar cell tumors
3. Pancreatoduodenectomy performed for tumors outside the periampullary region

**Date of first enrolment**

01/08/2018

**Date of final enrolment**

04/11/2019

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

Netherlands

**Study participating centre**

**Amsterdam UMC, location AMC**

Meibergdreef 9

Amsterdam

Netherlands

1105AZ

**Study participating centre**

**Antonius Hospital**

Koekoekslaan 1

Nieuwegein

Netherlands

3435CM

**Study participating centre**

**Erasmus MC**

Doctor Molewaterplein 40  
Rotterdam  
Netherlands  
3015GD

**Study participating centre****Radboud UMC**

Geert Grooteplein Zuid 10  
Nijmegen  
Netherlands  
6562GA

## Sponsor information

**Organisation**

Amsterdam UMC, location AMC

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/00q6h8f30>

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**

Other

**Funder Name**

Investigator initiated and funded

## Results and Publications

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

All data generated or analysed during this study will be included in the subsequent results publication.

**IPD sharing plan summary**

Other

**Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
-------------	---------	--------------	------------	----------------	-----------------

<a href="#">Results article</a>		21/01/2021	13/08/2021	Yes	No
<a href="#">Participant information sheet</a>		30/04/2019	23/05/2019	No	Yes
<a href="#">Participant information sheet</a>	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes
<a href="#">Protocol file</a>		28/08/2019	05/10/2022	No	No