# Physicians' understanding of the Glasgow Coma Score and the effect of a scoring aid

Submission date	Recruitment status  No longer recruiting	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>		
20/06/2023		[X] Protocol		
Registration date 21/06/2023	Overall study status Completed	Statistical analysis plan		
		[X] Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data		
21/06/2023	Completed			

### Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

The Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) is a way for doctors to check how awake and aware someone is after an injury or illness. It looks at three things: how well they open their eyes, how they respond when spoken to, and how they move. Doctors give a score based on these things, with higher scores meaning the person is more conscious and aware.

In this study, researchers want to test if using a scoring aid can help doctors assess the Glasgow Coma Score (GCS) more accurately and quickly in adult patients who are in a coma. They will create a simulated situation that closely resembles a real clinical setting. The study will also assess how well doctors understand and use the GCS in terms of evaluating and applying it in clinical practice.

### Who can participate?

Medical doctors, serving as resident physicians in various medical specialities such as intensive care medicine, emergency medicine, internal medicine, and neurology

#### What does the study involve?

Participants in this study will be asked to evaluate the Glasgow Coma Score (GCS) of a simulated adult patient who has a GCS score of 8. The participating doctors will be randomly assigned to receive or not receive a scoring aid (a GCS scoring card) that gives detailed information on how to assess and score each part of the GCS based on the patient's condition. The GCS assessments will be recorded on video and audio. The recordings will be analyzed by two investigators to measure the time it takes to complete the GCS assessment, the accuracy of the scores, whether the eye, verbal, and motor responses are checked correctly, the number of commands or attempts to check specific GCS components, and the use of pain stimulation. After the assessment, the doctors will answer a questionnaire to evaluate their understanding of the GCS, including how to assess it, interpret the scores, and use it in clinical practice. The main things the researchers are interested in are how long it takes to do the GCS assessments and how accurate they are. The doctors' understanding of the GCS will also be looked at as a secondary outcome.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating? Potential benefits for the participant is gaining experience and training for the assessment and clinical use of the GCS.

No risks.

Where is the study run from?
The University Hospital Basel (Switzerland)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? January 2019 to July 2023

Who is funding the study?
The University Hospital Basel (Switzerland)

Who is the main contact?

Prof. Raoul Sutter, raoul.sutter@usb.ch

# Contact information

### Type(s)

Principal Investigator

#### Contact name

**Prof Raoul Sutter** 

#### **ORCID ID**

http://orcid.org/0000-0002-6575-356X

#### Contact details

Petersgraben 4 Universitätsspital Basel Basel Switzerland 4031 +41 613287928 Raoul.Sutter@usb.ch

# Additional identifiers

# **EudraCT/CTIS** number

Nil known

IRAS number

# ClinicalTrials.gov number

Nil known

# Secondary identifying numbers

Protocol01/2019

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

Physicians' understanding of the Glasgow Coma Score and the effect of a scoring aid: a simulator-based randomized controlled trial

### **Study objectives**

We hypothesize that (first) the assessment of the Glasgow Coma Score is optimized in terms of accuracy and duration of assessment when using a scoring aid and (second) there is inadequate understanding of the Glasgow Coma Score among physicians regarding its assessment, interpretation and clinical application

### Ethics approval required

Ethics approval not required

### Ethics approval(s)

According to the local ethics committee, this is not a study subject to approval according to cantonal and federal legislation, as the study project is not defined as a research project under the Human Research Act Article 2. However, upon review of the request, the local ethics committee (EKNZ BASEC Nr. Requ\_2019-00168) can ascertain that this study complies with the general ethical principles for research involving human subjects (according to the article 51(2) of the Human Research Act). In addition, consent was received from the volunteering physicians.

### Study design

Observational cohort study

### Primary study design

Observational

## Secondary study design

Cohort study

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

# Study type(s)

Screening

### Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details to request a participant information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Physicians' understanding of the Glasgow Coma Score

#### Interventions

A cohort of volunteer medical doctors, serving as resident physicians in various medical specialties such as intensive care medicine, emergency medicine, internal medicine, and neurology. They are required to assess the GCS of an adult individual simulating a GCS score of 8 (comprising a best eye response of 1, best verbal response of 2, and best motor response of 5)

at the high-fidelity simulator center in the University Hospital Basel, a Swiss academic medical facility. The participating physicians are randomly assigned to either receive or not receive a scoring aid (i.e., GCS scoring card) containing comprehensive information on all constituent components and their corresponding scoring based on the clinical presentation. The GCS assessment is thereby recorded by video and audio. All recordings are anonymously analyzed by two investigators regarding the following predefined variables: duration of complete GCS assessment, measured GCS, eye response checked correctly, verbal response checked correctly, motor response checked correctly, number of commands and/or attempts to heck specific GCS components, application of pain stumi. Immediately following the GCS assessment, the physicians complete a predefined questionnaire to evaluate their comprehension of the GCS, specifically concerning its assessment, interpretation, and clinical application. The primary outcomes of interest are the duration and accuracy of the GCS assessments. The physicians' understanding of the GCS with regards to its assessment, interpretation, and clinical applications is considered as secondary outcome.

#### **Intervention Type**

Other

### Primary outcome measure

Duration and accuracy of the assessment of the Glasgow Coma Score (GCS) of a simulated coma with a GCS of 8. Duration of GCS assessment will be assessed from the timepoints of first contact with the patients until stating the measured score to the instructor in mounts. GCS assessment will be rated as accurate if the GCS stated by the participants is 8. All other measured GCS will be rated as inaccurate.

### Secondary outcome measures

Understanding of the Glasgow Coma Score among physicians regarding its assessment, interpretation and clinical application. Therefore participants will complete a prewritten questionnaire which asks the following questions:

- 1. Their stress level during the simulation (rated from 1 [no stress] to 10 [maximally stressed])?
- 2. How confident they are that the assessed GCS is accurate (rated from 0 [not confident at all] to 10 [very confident])?
- 3. If they use the GCS in daily clinical practice yes or no?
- 4. If a GCS scoring card was felt to be missing (for participants who did not receive a scoring card) yes or no?
- 5. Which of the GCS from 3 to 15 or which GCS range would be difficult to adequately assess without a scoring aid?
- 6. Which of the three GCS components (i.e., eye, verbal, motor response) is the most difficult to assess?
- 7. Which is the highest GCS an intubated patient can present
- 8. Does a patient with a GCS < 9 have to be intubated in any case?

The physicians will be finally asked to rate expected probability of a discordant GCS assessment by other physicians for the identical simulated scenarios using a probability score ranging from 0% denoting "not existing" to 100% indicating the "highest probability".

# Overall study start date

03/01/2019

## Completion date

30/07/2023

# Eligibility

#### Key inclusion criteria

Volunteering and consenting medical doctors working as resident physicians in different medical fields, including intensive care medicine, emergency medicine, internal medicine, and neurology

### Participant type(s)

Health professional

### Age group

Adult

### Lower age limit

25 Years

#### Sex

Both

### Target number of participants

100

#### Total final enrolment

109

### Key exclusion criteria

Physicians already having participated in the same simulated clinical scenario

#### Date of first enrolment

21/03/2019

#### Date of final enrolment

23/07/2023

# Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

**Switzerland** 

# Study participating centre University Hospital Basel

Petersgraben 4 Basel Switzerland 4031

# **Sponsor information**

#### Organisation

University Hospital of Basel

### Sponsor details

Petersgraben 4
Basel
Switzerland
4031
+41 012652525
Raoul.Sutter@usb.ch

#### Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

#### Website

https://www.unispital-basel.ch/

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/04k51q396

# Funder(s)

### Funder type

Hospital/treatment centre

#### **Funder Name**

Universitätsspital Basel

#### Alternative Name(s)

University Hospital Basel, University Hospital of Basel, The University Hospital Basel, Hôpital Universitaire de Bâle, L'Hôpital universitaire de Bâle, Das Universitätsspital Basel, UHB

### **Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

#### **Funding Body Subtype**

Other non-profit organizations

#### Location

Switzerland

# **Results and Publications**

# Publication and dissemination plan

We plan to publish our results in a peer-reviewed journal.

### Intention to publish date

01/08/2023

# Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The dataset generated during and/or analyzed during the current study will be available upon request from the principal investigator (Raoul Sutter, MD) raoul.sutter@usb.ch

### IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

### **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<u>Protocol file</u>			23/08/2023	No	No
Results article		12/12/2024	15/01/2025	Yes	No