# Does performing amputation of lower limb due to gangrene in critically ill patients in two stages allow improved results of treatment compared to single-stage amputation?

Submission date 06/07/2020	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<ul> <li>Prospectively registered</li> <li>Protocol</li> </ul>
<b>Registration date</b> 07/07/2020	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<ul> <li>[] Statistical analysis plan</li> <li>[X] Results</li> </ul>
Last Edited 16/03/2021	<b>Condition category</b> Circulatory System	[_] Individual participant data

#### Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

The death of tissue as a result of deficient blood supply (ischaemic gangrene) is treated by amputation of the affected body part. This results in a large number of wound complications and death. There are two methods of amputation in common use, one-stage and two-stage. Two-stage amputation is a well-known way to reduce wound complications in patients with a high risk of infection (e.g. contaminated trauma or infected diabetic foot), but there is still lack of evidence if it can lower the mortality in severely ill patients with a complicated background of diseases.

Who can participate? Patients requiring urgent amputation.

What does the study involve?

Records were collected for patients who had either had amputation using intensive treatment during 24 - 72 hours before surgery, and then fulfil one-stage amputation, or immediate guillotine amputation followed by reampuation after stabilisation.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating? None (retrospective study)

Where is the study run from? Vinogradov Moscow Municipal hospital (Russia)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? December 2017 to December 2018

Who is funding the study? Investigator initiated and funded Who is the main contact? Prof. Vitaly Tsvetkov, tsvetkow@yandex.ru

### **Contact information**

**Type(s)** Scientific

**Contact name** Prof Vitaly Tsvetkov

**ORCID ID** http://orcid.org/0000-0002-2889-702X

**Contact details** 61, Vavilova str. Moscow Russian Federation 117292 +7 9166312613 tsvetkow@yandex.ru

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS number** Nil known

**IRAS number** 

**ClinicalTrials.gov number** Nil known

**Secondary identifying numbers** Nil known

## Study information

#### Scientific Title

Two-phase versus one-stage amputation of lower limbs among critically ill patients with ischemic gangrene as a way to reduce lethality and improve treatment outcome. Retrospective cohort trial.

Acronym TPACIP

#### **Study objectives**

Use of urgent guillotine amputation of lower limb in critically ill patients with ischaemic gangrene as the first stage of surgical treatment allows to reduce lethality, number of wound complications and improve functional result.

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

Approved 24/02/2018, Local Ethics Committee of the V.V. Vinogradov Moscow Municipal Hospital (61, Vavilova str., Moscow, Russia; no telephone number provided; ezhovalg@mail.ru), ref: none provided

**Study design** Observational cohort retrospective study in two clinical centers

**Primary study design** Observational

**Secondary study design** Cohort study

**Study setting(s)** Hospital

**Study type(s)** Treatment

#### **Participant information sheet** n/a (retrospective review of patient records)

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Ischaemic gangrene of lower limb

#### Interventions

Retrospective comparison of two approaches to the treatment of critically ill patients who admit to the hospital due to ischaemic gangrene of lower limb.

In 2011- 2014 (control group) the tactic was to perform intensive treatment during 24 - 72 hours before surgery, and then fulfil one-stage amputation with primary formation of the stump. In 2014 - 2018 (main group) the patients underwent urgent guillotine amputation or knee disarticulation, and then, after normalisation or stabilisation of general condition, reamputation with formation of the stump was performed.

The goal of the study is to compare these surgical tactics and their influence at the lethality, number of wound complications and functional

Records are gathered for patients in two groups:

1. Two-phase amputation including urgent guillotine amputation or knee disarticulation as a first stage an then reamputation with shaping of stump after stabilisation of patient general condition.

2. Intensive treatment before surgery, then one-stage amputation.

#### Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

#### Primary outcome measure

Lethality of procedure measured using patient records at a single time point

#### Secondary outcome measures

Measured using patient records at a single time point: 1. Number of wound complications 2. Levels of amputation

Overall study start date

20/12/2017

Completion date

29/12/2018

## Eligibility

#### Key inclusion criteria

1. Need to perform urgent (within 72 hours after admission to the hospital) high amputation of the lower extremities in patients with critical limb ischemia who were admitted in a severe or extremely severe condition. The indication for amputation was gangrene accompanied by a progressive infection of soft tissues or a syndrome of endogenous intoxication caused by ischemic damage to the muscle tissue of the limb.

Participant type(s)

Patient

**Age group** Adult

**Sex** Both

**Target number of participants** 300

**Total final enrolment** 393

#### Key exclusion criteria

1. Patients with surgical soft tissue infection but without occlusion of the main arteries (including patients with extensive limb phlegmons with a neuropathic form of diabetic foot syndrome)

2. Patients with planned indications for amputation (including those operated within 72 hours after admission), e.g., patients with critical ischemia and gangrene of the limb if angiosurgical intervention is impossible or unsuccessful

3. Patients in whom the indications for urgent amputation of the limbs occurred during their stay in the hospital

#### Date of first enrolment

01/01/2011

**Date of final enrolment** 31/12/2018

### Locations

**Countries of recruitment** Russian Federation

#### Study participating centre

**Vinogradov Moscow Municipal hospital** 61, Vavilova str. Moscow Russian Federation 117292

#### Study participating centre

**Moscow Municipal Clinical hospital 53** 26, Trophimova str Moscow Russian Federation 115432

### Sponsor information

**Organisation** Sechenov University

#### **Sponsor details**

8, Trubetskaya str. Moscow Russian Federation 119048 +7 (495) 609-14-00 rektorat@sechenov.ru

**Sponsor type** University/education

Website https://sechenov.ru/eng/

ROR https://ror.org/02yqqv993

## Funder(s)

**Funder type** Other

**Funder Name** Investigator initiated and funded

### **Results and Publications**

#### Publication and dissemination plan

Publication in peer-reviewed journal.

#### Intention to publish date

01/09/2020

#### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request after the results have been published.

#### IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

#### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	24/11/2020	16/03/2021	Yes	No