# Testing an artificial intelligence tool for childhood fracture detection on X-rays

Submission date	Recruitment status  No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
10/11/2023		[X] Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status Ongoing  Condition category Musculoskeletal Diseases	Statistical analysis plan		
28/12/2023		Results		
Last Edited		Individual participant data		
30/08/2024		[] Record updated in last year		

#### Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

The study aims to evaluate the impact of an AI tool called BoneView (provided by vendor: Gleamer) on the diagnostic accuracy, confidence and potential change in management plans of healthcare professionals who routinely review bone radiographs of children. The study will involve a minimum of 40 readers, including general radiologists, emergency medicine clinicians, reporting radiographers and orthopaedic surgeons who will interpret 500 paediatric limb radiographs (across 4 body parts - ankle, wrist, elbow, knee) without and with the assistance of the AI tool. The scans will include approximately 35% abnormal (fractured) cases and the rest normal to simulate the normal prevalence of injuries in clinical practice. The study will assess the stand-alone performance of the AI tool and its impact on the readers' performance.

#### Who can participate?

Radiologists, emergency medicine, orthopaedic surgical consultants and registrars, reporting radiographers and senior triage nurses who review paediatric limb radiographs as part of their clinical practice.

#### What does the study involve?

40 readers will be recruited as stated above. Readers will interpret each of the 500 paediatric radiographs both without and with AI assistance (BoneView tool). Each reader will provide an opinion on presence/absence of fracture (and location of fracture where relevant), confidence score (scale of 1 to 5, 5 = very confident) and their management plan (based on a drop down menu checklist, tailored for each specialty type).

Using a panel of two consultant radiologists as setting the ground truth, the stand-alone performance of BoneView will be assessed, and its impact on the readers' performance will be analysed as change in accuracy and changes in self-reported diagnostic confidence.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating? None

Where is the study run from?
Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children NHS Foundation Trust (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? September 2021 to August 2026

Who is funding the study? National Institute for Health and Care Research (NIHR) (UK).

Who is the main contact?

Dr. Susan Shelmerdine, susan.shelmerdine@gosh.nhs.uk

# Contact information

#### Type(s)

Public, Scientific, Principal investigator

#### Contact name

Dr Susan Shelmerdine

#### **ORCID ID**

https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6642-9967

#### Contact details

Great Ormond Street Hospital NHS Foundation Trust London United Kingdom WC1N 3JH +44 2074059200 susan.shelmerdine@gosh.nhs.uk

# Additional identifiers

Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

Integrated Research Application System (IRAS)

274278

ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

Nil known

Protocol serial number

**IRAS 274278** 

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

External validation of an artificial intelligence tool for paediatric fracture detection

#### Acronym

FRACTURE Study

#### **Study objectives**

A commercially available AI algorithm can be used for accurate paediatric fracture detection, and potentially improve clinical decision making in a simulated implementation study.

#### Ethics approval required

Ethics approval not required

#### Ethics approval(s)

HRA approval has already been granted and REC approval waived for the collection of the retrospective multicentric dataset. Ethical approval is not required for the multi-reader case study of healthcare professionals

#### Study design

Observational cohort study that is retrospective multicenter and multireader

#### Primary study design

Observational

#### Study type(s)

Diagnostic, Safety, Efficacy

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Acute fractures in otherwise healthy children (i.e. no underlying skeletal dysplasia, metabolic bone disease)

#### **Interventions**

Current interventions as of 30/08/2024:

A retrospective dataset of 500 scans will be compiled, to include fractures across 4 body parts in children (older than 2 years old, but less than 16 years old; both genders). The body parts include ankles, wrists, elbows and knees. There will therefore be 125 scans per 4 body parts, with each body part being approximately 35% abnormal (i.e. each body part = 81 normal and 44 abnormal (fractured)). This balance of normal to abnormal is intended to better mimic clinical practice whilst still being statistically powered.

40 readers will be recruited across all NHS sites to include at least 6 radiologists (both general, paediatric and musculoskeletal radiologists – of any experience level), 6 reporting radiographers, 6 emergency department staff (i.e. physicians), 6 senior triage nurses and 6 orthopaedic surgeons - each group comprising of staff of varying seniority.

Readers will interpret each of the 500 scans twice in a random order during two different reading sessions i.e. the first without AI assistance, and the second with AI assistance. The image viewer platform will randomise the order of the studies so that each reader at each session will be viewing the images in completely random order by abnormality and body part. There will be a washout period of 4 weeks in between the two reading sessions to minimise reader memory of the radiographs reviewed

The ground truth (reference standard) will be set by two consultant paediatric radiologists. The stand-alone performance of BoneView will be assessed, and its impact on the readers' performance will be analysed as changes in accuracy, self-reported diagnostic confidence and changes in diagnostic decision-making.

Subgroup analyses will be performed by the reader professional group and reader seniority. Inter- and intra-observer variability will be evaluated.

#### Previous interventions:

A retrospective dataset of 500 scans will be compiled, to include fractures across 4 body parts in children (older than 2 years old, but less than 16 years old; both genders). The body parts include ankles, wrists, elbows and knees. There will therefore be 125 scans per 4 body parts, with each body part being approximately 35% abnormal (i.e. each body part = 81 normal and 44 abnormal (fractured)). This balance of normal to abnormal is intended to better mimic clinical practice whilst still being statistically powered.

30 readers will be recruited across all NHS sites to include at least 6 radiologists (both general, paediatric and musculoskeletal radiologists – of any experience level), 6 reporting radiographers, 6 emergency department staff (i.e. physicians), 6 senior triage nurses and 6 orthopaedic surgeons - each group comprising of staff of varying seniority.

Readers will interpret each of the 500 scans twice in a random order during two different reading sessions i.e. the first without AI assistance, and the second with AI assistance. The image viewer platform will randomise the order of the studies so that each reader at each session will be viewing the images in completely random order by abnormality and body part. There will be a washout period of 4 weeks in between the two reading sessions to minimise reader memory of the radiographs reviewed

The ground truth (reference standard) will be set by two consultant paediatric radiologists. The stand-alone performance of BoneView will be assessed, and its impact on the readers' performance will be analysed as changes in accuracy, self-reported diagnostic confidence and changes in diagnostic decision-making.

Subgroup analyses will be performed by the reader professional group and reader seniority.

# Intervention Type

Other

# Primary outcome(s)

Reader and AI performance of the paediatric X-rays will be evaluated using measures of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value and accuracy, where each correctly identified fracture on an Xray (where one exists) will be counted as a true positive, and each incorrectly identified fracture on an Xray (i.e. an overcall) will be counted as a false positive. Where fractures are present but not identified by the reader, this will constitute a false negative. Where no fracture exists, and none is identified by the reader, this will count as a true negative.

The performance measures listed above will be compared for each reader before and after using AI assistance in interpretation of the X-rays. The performance of the AI tool alone will also be evaluated (without a human in the loop) for comparative measure.

## Key secondary outcome(s))

1. The reader confidence in their diagnostic ability to identify or confirm the absence of a fracture per Xray will be measured using a survey provided at the time of reviewing each Xray on the image viewer platform using a 5 point Likert scale (1 = not confident, 5 = very confident). Differences will be compared in these scores before and after the use of the AI tool.

2. The readers' intended management plan (for the patient) based on the Xray will be provided in a drop down menu (7 options available) provided on the image viewer platform next to each Xray the reader has to interpret. The reader will need to select the single best option they would follow. The differences in theoretical management choices will be compared before and after the use of the AI tool.

#### Completion date

31/08/2026

# **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

Radiographic 'readers' will include radiology consultants and registrars (either general, musculoskeletal or paediatric subspecialty interests), emergency medicine consultants and registrars, orthopaedic surgical consultants and registrars and reporting radiographers who review paediatric limb radiographs as part of their clinical practice

#### Participant type(s)

Health professional

#### Healthy volunteers allowed

No

#### Age group

Adult

### Lower age limit

18 years

#### Sex

Αll

### Key exclusion criteria

Any doctor, nurse, radiographer who does not routinely review paediatric radiographs in their clinical practice or for their job.

#### Date of first enrolment

01/12/2023

#### Date of final enrolment

31/03/2024

# Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

United Kingdom

England

Northern Ireland

#### Scotland

Wales

# Study participating centre Great Ormond Street Hospital

Great Ormond Street London United Kingdom WC1N 3JH

## Study participating centre St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Blackshaw Road London United Kingdom SW17 0QT

## Study participating centre King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust Denmark Hill

London United Kingdom SE5 9RS

# Sponsor information

# Organisation

Great Ormond Street Hospital for Children NHS Foundation Trust

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/03zydm450

# Funder(s)

# Funder type

Government

#### Funder Name

National Institute for Health and Care Research

#### Alternative Name(s)

National Institute for Health Research, NIHR Research, NIHRresearch, NIHR - National Institute for Health Research, NIHR (The National Institute for Health and Care Research), NIHR

#### **Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

#### **Funding Body Subtype**

National government

#### Location

**United Kingdom** 

# **Results and Publications**

#### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

All data generated or analysed during this study will be included in the subsequent results publication

## IPD sharing plan summary

Published as a supplement to the results publication

## **Study outputs**

Output type	Details			Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes
Protocol file	version 1.4	26/12/2023	28/12/2023	No	No
Study website	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes