

# Intrauterine pressure monitoring for augmentation or induction of labour with intravenous oxytocin: benefits and costs

<b>Submission date</b>	<b>Recruitment status</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
20/12/2005	No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b>	<b>Overall study status</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
20/12/2005	Completed	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b>	<b>Condition category</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data
29/01/2010	Pregnancy and Childbirth	

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr J.J.H. Bakker

### Contact details

Academic Medical Centre (AMC)  
Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, H3-107  
Meibergdreef 9  
Amsterdam  
Netherlands  
1100 DD  
j.j.bakker@amc.uva.nl

## Additional identifiers

### Protocol serial number

NTR285

## Study information

### Scientific Title

To evaluate the effectiveness of the use of an IntraUterine Pressure Catheter (IUPC) in comparison to external monitoring of uterine activity during (I) augmentation after arrest of labor, or (II) induction of labour with intravenous oxytocin. Does the potentially more accurate monitoring of uterine activity with IUPC lead to a better outcome of labour and delivery in a study that randomises the use of an IUPC?

### **Acronym**

The IUPC study

### **Study objectives**

Our hypothesis is that use of an intrauterine pressure catheter (IUPC), during augmentation or induction of labour with intravenous oxytocin, will reduce the number of instrumental deliveries from 25% to 16%.

### **Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

### **Ethics approval(s)**

Received from the local medical ethics committee

### **Study design**

Multicentre, randomised, single-blind, active controlled, parallel group trial

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Study type(s)**

Treatment

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Pregnancy, labour

### **Interventions**

Intrauterine pressure monitoring with a catheter during labour versus external uterine activity monitoring (control group).

### **Intervention Type**

Drug

### **Phase**

Not Specified

### **Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)**

Oxytocin

### **Primary outcome(s)**

The number of instrumental deliveries, i.e. caesarean sections and/or assisted vaginal delivery.

### **Key secondary outcome(s))**

1. The occurrence of neonatal admittance to Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU)
2. Need for antibiotics by mother or child
3. Total amount of oxytocin used
4. Complications
5. Time to delivery and costs

**Completion date**

01/07/2007

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

Women with the indication to induce labour or to stimulate the contractions with intravenous oxytocin in case of arrest of labour.

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

Female

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Women with a history of caesarean section
2. Gestational age less than 36 weeks
3. Intrauterine foetal death
4. Breech presentation
5. Multiple pregnancy
6. Maternal age greater than 18 years
7. Human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) or hepatitis B infection
8. Intrauterine infection
9. Contraindication for amniotomy
10. Participation in another randomised controlled trial

**Date of first enrolment**

01/07/2004

**Date of final enrolment**

01/07/2007

## Locations

**Countries of recruitment**

Netherlands

**Study participating centre**  
**Academic Medical Centre (AMC)**  
Amsterdam  
Netherlands  
1100 DD

## Sponsor information

**Organisation**  
Academic Medical Centre (AMC) (Netherlands)

**ROR**  
<https://ror.org/03t4gr691>

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**  
Hospital/treatment centre

**Funder Name**  
Academic Medical Centre (AMC) (Netherlands)

## Results and Publications

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

**IPD sharing plan summary**  
Not provided at time of registration

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	28/01/2010		Yes	No