Preconceptional transvaginal cervicoisthmic cerclage versus transvaginal cervicoisthmic cerclage in pregnancy for the prevention of preterm delivery in women with cervical insufficiency

Submission date	Recruitment status	Prospectively registered
25/11/2014	No longer recruiting	☐ Protocol
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan
14/01/2015	Completed	Results
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data
04/03/2019	Pregnancy and Childbirth	[] Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

The cervix is a narrow, tube-like, lower end of the uterus (womb) that then opens out into the vagina. During pregnancy secretions are produced that form a protective barrier called the mucus plug and it remains long, firm and closed until very late into the third trimester where it softens up again and becomes shorter (dilates) in preparation for labour. Cervical insufficiency is a condition where the cervix softens and dilates prematurely. It is a major cause of second-trimester miscarriage and premature birth. The transvaginal cervicoisthmic cerclage is an effective, minimal-invasive procedure where a band of strong thread is stitched around the cervix to reinforce it, helping to keep it closed and prolong the gestational age (i.e. the pregnancy) for cervical insufficiency patients. In previous research, we have compared how successful transvaginal cervicoisthmic cerclage is in preventing premature birth when the procedure is performed before pregnancy compared with during pregnancy. We found that the number of woman able to reach full-term pregnancy was greater in the group that underwent the procedure when already pregnant but there was no difference in the perinatal (late pregnancy and just after birth) survival rate or fetal weight between the two groups. Here, we want to compare the obstetric outcome of cerclage done before and during pregnancy.

Who can participate?

Woman with cervical insufficiency who have had at least 2 previous late inevitable abortion /spontaneous preterm delivery, or traumatic/surgical damage of cervix. All participants should be younger than 35 years old, and able to conceive.

What does the study involve?

Patients are randomly allocated into two groups, and the modified transvaginal cervicoisthmic cerclage is then performed by the same surgeon, helped by one or two physicians. Group 1: Undergo the modified transvaginal cervicoisthmic cerclage before pregnancy.

Group 2: Undergo the modified transvaginal cervicoisthmic cerclage during the first 12-14 weeks of pregnancy.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The transvaginal cervicoisthmic cerclage should prolong the gestational age for all patients. Any side effects are associated with the surgical procedure and include bleeding, pain, infection and early failure.

Where is the study run from? Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital (China)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? December 2014 to December 2020

Who is funding the study? Zhejiang Province (Health high-level innovative talents training) (China)

Who is the main contact? Zhang Songying zhangsongying@126.com

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Dr Zhang Songying

Contact details

Assisted Reproduction Unit
Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology
Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital
College of Medicine
Zhejiang University
3# Qing Chun East Road
Hangzhou, Zhejiang
China
310016

Additional identifiers

Protocol serial number N/A

Study information

Scientific Title

Preconceptional transvaginal cervicoisthmic cerclage versus transvaginal cervicoisthmic cerclage in pregnancy for the prevention of preterm delivery in women with cervical insufficiency: a randomized controlled study

Study objectives

Cervical insufficiency is a predominant factor implicated in the etiology of preterm birth or second-trimester fetal loss, and the transvaginal cervicoisthmic cerclage is an effective and minimal-invasive technique to prolong the gestational age for the cervical insufficiency patients. The aim of this study is to compare the efficacy of preterm delivery prevention between preconceptional cerclage and cerclage in pregnancy. The principal questions of the research are the delivery gestational age and the perinatal infant survival rate after transvaginal cervicoisthmic cerclage. We hypothesize the delivery gestational age in the pregnancy cerclage subgroup is longer than that in the perconceptional cerclage subgroup, but the perinatal infant survival rates are similar in two groups.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Medical ethics committee, Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University, 16 /03/2015

Study design

Single-centre prospective randomized controlled study

Primary study design

Interventional

Study type(s)

Prevention

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Cervical insufficiency

Interventions

The modified transvaginal cervicoisthmic cerclage was performed by the same surgeon, assisted with one or two physicians. The preconceptional cerclage was performed with general anesthesia, and the cerclage in pregnancy was performed with epidural anesthesia. The prophylactic antibiotics were transfused to all patients, and magnesium sulfate was used during the cerclage for the pregnant patients.

Group 1: perform the modified transvaginal cervicoisthmic cerclage before pregnancy Group 2: perform the modified transvaginal cervicoisthmic cerclage during pregnancy between 12 and 14 weeks of gestational age.

For the analyses of pregnancy outcome, only used the results of the first pregnancy after preconceptional cerclage and the recent pregnancy outcome for the cerclage in pregnancy. The information about the subsequent obstetric outcomes was collected by the telephone interviews.

Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

Primary outcome(s)

- 1. The perinatal survival rate
- 2. The delivery gestational age

Key secondary outcome(s))

- 1. Complications during pregnancy
- 2. The fetal weight

Completion date

31/12/2020

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

Current inclusion criteria as of 04/03/2019:

- 1. A history of at least 1 previous late inevitable abortion or spontaneous preterm delivery
- 2. Previous conization of the cervix or cervical laceration by assisted vaginal delivery, and the residual cervix is less than 25mm
- 3. All patients should be >=18 years and younger than 35 years old
- 4. All patients are able to conceive

Previous inclusion criteria:

- 1. A history of at least 2 previous late inevitable abortion or spontaneous preterm delivery
- 2. Previous conization of the cervix or cervical laceration by assisted vaginal delivery, and the residual cervix is less than 25mm
- 3. All patients should be >=18 years and younger than 35 years old
- 4. All patients are able to conceive

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 years

Upper age limit

35 years

Sex

Female

Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Older than 35 years old
- 2. Patients with a successful or failed cerclage applied in a previous pregnancy
- 3. Patients with congenital uterine dysplasia: Mediastinum uterus, bicornuate uterus,

rudimentary horn of uterus, et al.

- 4. Patients with protein C, protein S, or antithrombin III deficiency
- 5. Patients who are pregnant

Date of first enrolment

01/01/2015

Date of final enrolment

31/12/2019

Locations

Countries of recruitment

China

Study participating centre Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology

Sir Run Run Shaw Hospital
College of Medicine
Zhejiang University
3# Qing Chun East Road
Hangzhou, Zhejiang
China
310016

Sponsor information

Organisation

Health Bureau of Zhejiang Province

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Zhejiang Province (Health high-level innovative talents training) (China)

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

Study outputs

Output type Details Date created Date added Peer reviewed? Patient-facing?

Participant information sheet
Participant information sheet
11/11/2025 No Yes