

# Minimally invasive step up approach versus maximal necrosectomy in patients with acute necrotising pancreatitis

<b>Submission date</b> 22/04/2005	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 26/04/2005	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 27/04/2010	<b>Condition category</b> Digestive System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

**Study website**  
<http://www.pancreatitis.nl/>

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Prof H G Gooszen

**Contact details**  
Heidelberglaan 100  
Utrecht  
Netherlands  
3584CX  
+31 (0)30 250 8074  
[h.gooszen@umcutrecht.nl](mailto:h.gooszen@umcutrecht.nl)

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS number**

**IRAS number**

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

## Secondary identifying numbers

04-289

# Study information

## Scientific Title

Minimally invasive step up approach versus maximal necrosectomy in patients with acute necrotising pancreatitis: a randomised controlled trial by the Dutch Acute Pancreatitis Study Group

## Acronym

PANTER

## Study objectives

Minimally invasive step up approach, as compared to laparotomy, is capable of reducing major morbidity in patients with infected necrotising pancreatitis.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

This study is conducted in accordance with the principles of the Declaration of Helsinki and 'good clinical practice' guidelines. The independent medical ethics committees of all 20 participating hospitals have approved the study protocol. Prior to randomisation, written informed consent will be obtained from all patients (alternatively consent by proxy will be obtained for patients who are unable to give consent, e.g., intubated patients).

## Study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Hospital

## Study type(s)

Treatment

## Participant information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Infected necrotising pancreatitis

## Interventions

Minimally invasive step up approach: percutaneous catheter drainage, when necessary followed by minimally invasive surgical necrosectomy versus laparotomy and continuous postoperative lavage.

## **Intervention Type**

Other

## **Phase**

Not Specified

## **Primary outcome measure**

Complications and mortality, expressed as the percentage of patients who died or had one or more of the complications listed in the protocol. Each complication will be assessed separately.

## **Secondary outcome measures**

1. Hospital and intensive care stay
2. Total indirect and direct costs
3. Hospital stay after first intervention
4. Duration of intubation after first intervention
5. Quality of life

## **Overall study start date**

01/05/2005

## **Completion date**

31/05/2008

# **Eligibility**

## **Key inclusion criteria**

Patients of all Dutch University Medical Centers and 12 teaching hospitals who are diagnosed with (suspected) infected necrotising pancreatitis:

1. Aged equal to or above 18 years
2. Pancreatic necrosis or peripancreatic necrosis detected on CECT
3. Patients in whom a decision for surgical intervention has been made because of (suspected) infected (peri-)pancreatic necrosis
4. Possibility of placing a drain (either percutaneous or endoscopic) in the collection(s)
5. Written informed consent

## **Participant type(s)**

Patient

## **Age group**

Adult

## **Lower age limit**

18 Years

## **Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

94

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Previous percutaneous drainage, endoscopic drainage or surgical necrosectomy for necrotising pancreatitis (endoscopic retrograde cholangio-pancreatography [ERCP] for biliary pancreatitis is allowed)
2. Acute attack in a patient with chronic pancreatitis
3. Participation in another intervention trial that would interfere with the intervention and outcome of this study
4. Acute primary intervention because of acute abdomen, bleeding or perforation of a visceral organ
5. Post-operative (i.e. abdominal surgery) necrotising pancreatitis

**Date of first enrolment**

01/05/2005

**Date of final enrolment**

31/05/2008

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

Netherlands

**Study participating centre**

Heidelberglaan 100

Utrecht

Netherlands

3584CX

**Sponsor information****Organisation**

University Medical Centre Utrecht (UMCU) (Netherlands)

**Sponsor details**

c/o Prof H.G. Gooszen

P.O. Box 85500

Utrecht

Netherlands

3508GA  
+31 (0)30 250 8074  
h.gooszen@umcutrecht.nl

**Sponsor type**

University/education

**Website**

<http://www.umcutrecht.nl/zorg/>

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/04pp8hn57>

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**

Research organisation

**Funder Name**

The Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMw) (Netherlands)  
(ref: 945-06-910)

## Results and Publications

**Publication and dissemination plan**

Not provided at time of registration

**Intention to publish date****Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan****IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration

**Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>	protocol	11/04/2006		Yes	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	22/04/2010		Yes	No