

# Clinical comparison between a manual suture and a sutureless coupling device (corVCD) connecting the subclavian artery with a 4-finger graft in a complete aortic arch replacement

|  |   |  |
|--|---|--|
| <b>Submission date</b><br>12/09/2013   | <b>Recruitment status</b><br>No longer recruiting | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered<br><input type="checkbox"/> Protocol            |
| <b>Registration date</b><br>23/09/2013 | <b>Overall study status</b><br>Completed          | <input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan<br><input type="checkbox"/> Results                       |
| <b>Last Edited</b><br>20/08/2018       | <b>Condition category</b><br>Surgery              | <input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data<br><input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year |

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

The vascular coupling device (corVCD) is a non-active long-term implant made of nitinol. It can be used for the fast and effective anastomosis (surgical connection) of an artery to a vascular prosthesis and replaces the conventional technique, a circumferential suture.

In this study corVCD will connect one branch of an aortic arch prosthesis with the left subclavian artery, which is used in patients with the need for an artificial aortic arch replacement. The aim is to reduce the circulatory arrest time (a surgical technique involving cooling the body of the patient and stopping blood circulation) for the patients and minimize the occurrence of adverse events.

### Who can participate?

Elective patients, men and women between 18 and 80 years, suffering from chronic aortic dissections or aneurysms with the indication for an aortic arch replacement.

### What does the study involve?

For this study the patient population will be randomly allocated to one of two groups:

Group 1: the surgery will be performed using the conventional technique, a circumferential suture.

Group 2: the surgery will be performed with the corVCD.

Follow-up care is carried out at discharge from hospital and after 3 and 6 months. The final investigation takes place after 12 months.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The use of corVCD could shorten the time for the anastomosis of the left subclavian artery and therefore the overall circulatory arrest time. The circulatory arrest is high-risk but necessary in the replacement of the aortic arch. This procedure may reduce side effects like brain disorders. The application of corVCD can lead to undesired effects or discomfort like:

-Dissections due to the introduction of corVCD in the artery

- Bleedings caused by migration of the corVCD
- Thrombosis/embolism caused by blood clots
- Gradual narrowing of arm supplying vessel
- Fever and pain because of local inflammation

All of these complications could extend the treatment or make a new surgery necessary.

Where is the study run from?  
Hannover Medical School in Germany.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?  
It is anticipated that recruitment will start in October 2013. Participants will be enrolled on the study for a period of 2 years. The follow-up examinations extend over 1 year, so the approximate duration of the trial is 3 years.

Who is funding the study?  
Funding has been provided by the Corlife oHG, Germany.

Who is the main contact?  
Nicolin Heister, study coordinator, nicolin.heister@corlife.eu  
Carmen Puschmann, carmen.puschmann@corlife.eu

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Prof Malakh Lal Shrestha

**Contact details**  
Medizinische Hochschule Hannover  
Herz- Thorax- Transplantations- Gefaesschirurgie  
Carl-Neuberg-Str. 1  
Hannover  
Germany  
30625

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS number**

**IRAS number**

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

**Secondary identifying numbers**  
N/A

## Study information

**Scientific Title**

CLinical comparison bEtween a manual suture and a sutureless coupling device (cOrVCD) coNnecting the subclavian Artery with a 4-finger graft in a complete aortic arch replacement: a RanDOMized controlled study

**Acronym**

LEONARDO

**Study objectives**

The primary goal of this study is to lower the circulatory arrest time required for the implantation of an aortic arch prosthesis.

Secondary goals are to shorten the time for the anastomosis of the left subclavian artery to an aortic arch prosthesis and to reduce adverse events (AE) and serious adverse events (SAE) until 12 month after implantation.

Null hypothesis: The circulatory arrest time required for the implantation of an aortic arch prosthesis is equal for the use of conventional suture and the use of corVCD.

Alternative hypothesis: The corVCD vascular coupling allows a significant reduction of the circulatory arrest time.

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

Ethics Committee of Hannover Medical School, 21/08/2013, ref #: 6430 MPG-LKP mono

**Study design**

Interventional prospective randomized open single center trial

**Primary study design**

Interventional

**Secondary study design**

Randomised controlled trial

**Study setting(s)**

Hospital

**Study type(s)**

Treatment

**Participant information sheet**

Not available in web format, please use the contact details below to request a patient information sheet

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Vascular diseases like chronic dissections or aneurysms in the area of the aortic arch and the supra-aortic vessels

## **Interventions**

The aortic arch prosthesis is surgically implanted via a sternotomy under general anesthesia. Initially the aortic arch with its supra-aortic vessels are prepared.

The aortic arch prosthesis is made of dacron and has three branches for the connection of the three diverging arteries (Truncus brachiocephalicus, Arteria carotis communis sinistra and Arteria subclavia sinistra).

The prosthesis is implanted conventionally. The first two supra-aortic arteries, Truncus brachiocephalicus and Arteria carotis communis sinistra, are sutured circumferentially in a conventional handmade end-to-end technique with the prosthesis.

Only the connection of the left subclavian artery to the aortic arch prosthesis is performed differently in the two trial arms:

**Trial arm 1: Conventional anastomosis:** In these cases the connection of the prosthesis to the left subclavian artery is carried out by conventional end-to-end suture anastomosis.

**Trial arm 2: Vascular coupling corVCD:** The vascular coupling device is inserted in the prosthesis with the applicator corCAP and fixed with one or two security stitches. It should be noted that the weld is carried out in a longitudinal direction to fix one of the outer rings of corVCD to the prosthesis. Afterwards the left subclavian artery is pulled over the prosthesis, while the coupling is held in position by the applicator. In the middle of the sandwich (corVCD, prosthesis, vessel) the ligation is carried out with at least two overhand knots by using non-absorbable suture. Then the applicator is retracted out of the prosthesis.

The connection of the hybrid graft to the descending and ascending aorta by conventional suture technique is common in two groups. Subsequently, the blood flow and therefore the perfusion of the supra-aortic vessels can be released. The vessels are checked for leaks. For the final control of adequate positioning pulse checks are carried out in both arms.

Follow-up care is carried out at discharge from hospital and after 3 and 6 months. The final investigation takes place after 12 months. Following parameters are examined:

1. Query to newly emerging and existing diseases as well as new or modified concurrent medication
2. Clinical examination
3. Haemogram
4. Vital signs (blood pressure, pulse measuring)
5. Computerised tomography (not at follow-up after 3 and 6 month)

Further observation of patients after study closure is carried out based on the ACCF/AHA guideline for the diagnosis and management of patients with thoracic aortic disease.

## **Intervention Type**

Device

## **Phase**

Not Applicable

## **Primary outcome measure**

The circulatory arrest time of  $30 \pm 10$  min should be reduced to  $20 \pm 10$  min by the use of corVCD. The verification takes place by time recording.

## **Secondary outcome measures**

1. With the use of corVCD the time needed to reach a blood-dry anastomosis of the left subclavian artery should be reduced contrary to the application of a conventional suture. The verification takes place by time recording.

2. Potential occurring adverse events (AE) and serious adverse events (SAE) should be less by the use of corVCD in a period of 12 months after surgery.

**Overall study start date**

01/10/2013

**Completion date**

30/09/2016

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Patients with aneurysms (aortic caliber  $\geq 5.5$  cm) or chronic dissections in the area of the aortic arch with indication of an open surgery with an aortic arch prosthesis regarding ACCF/AHA guideline.
2. Patient suitable for operation
3. The patient has opted for an open surgery after deliberating about different available procedures
4. An inner diameter of the Arteria subclavia sinistra of 6.1 to 12.5 mm
5. Serum creatinine  $\leq 1.8$  mg/dl
6. Estimated life expectancy  $> 5$  years
7. Patient aged 18 to 80 years
8. Written consent form for study participation

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 Years

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

40

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Patient cannot undergo an open surgery with circulatory arrest
2. Acute aortic dissection
3. Previous radiation treatment of the target region
4. Local or systemic infections
5. Pre-terminal renal insufficiency (serum creatinine  $> 1.8$  mg/dl) or contrast agent intolerance
6. Conditions in the target region which inhibit an application of prosthetic material
7. Any other life-limiting disease (with an estimated life expectancy  $< 5$  years)
8. Patient age  $\leq 18$  or  $\geq 80$  years
9. Pregnancy or lactation
10. Any other disease or medical treatment which interferes with safety or efficacy after

assessment of the investigator

11. Patients who are in a dependency relationship or employment with the sponsor or investigator

12. Patients who are unable to give written consent for study participation

13. Concurrent participation in another clinical study

14. Hypersensitivity or allergy against nickel or titanium

15. Hypersensitivity against suture material

16. Absence of a written consent form for study participation

**Date of first enrolment**

01/10/2013

**Date of final enrolment**

30/09/2016

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

Germany

**Study participating centre**

**Medizinische Hochschule Hannover**

Hannover

Germany

30625

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

Corlife OHG (Germany)

**Sponsor details**

Feodor-Lynen-Str. 23

Hannover

Germany

30625

**Sponsor type**

Industry

**Website**

<http://www.corlife.eu>

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/03vsz6k78>

# **Funder(s)**

## **Funder type**

Industry

## **Funder Name**

Corlife OHG (Germany)

# **Results and Publications**

## **Publication and dissemination plan**

Not provided at time of registration

## **Intention to publish date**

## **Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

## **IPD sharing plan summary**

Not provided at time of registration