

# A new way to perform tracheostomy: study comparing two techniques using ultrasound and a special airway mask

<b>Submission date</b> 29/06/2024	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 02/07/2024	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 28/04/2025	<b>Condition category</b> Respiratory	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

A percutaneous tracheostomy (PT) is a procedure often performed at the bedside in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU). This procedure involves creating an opening in the neck to insert a tube into the windpipe (trachea) to help a patient breathe. Studies have shown that PT is a safe and cost-effective alternative to the traditional open surgical method.

During PT, different guidance techniques can be used to enhance safety and accuracy. One common method is bronchoscopic guidance, which uses a bronchoscope (a thin tube with a camera) to guide the procedure. This technique helps avoid injuries to nearby structures and ensures the tube is placed correctly. However, it has its limitations; for instance, it cannot detect blood vessels or the thyroid gland, which can lead to complications like puncturing these areas. Additionally, in patients with brain injuries, it can increase brain pressure.

Ultrasound guidance has emerged as another effective technique. Preliminary reports suggest that using ultrasound, an imaging technique that uses sound waves, before and during PT can help prevent bleeding and ensure the tube is placed correctly. Real-time imaging with ultrasound allows for precise visualization of the needle's path, reducing the risks of puncture and injury. This method provides better visualization, especially in patients with complex anatomy or obesity, and helps avoid blood vessels, making it advantageous over bronchoscopy in certain scenarios.

The aim of this study is to compare ultrasound-guided PT using a laryngeal mask airway (a device that keeps the airway open) with bronchoscopy-guided PT. The study focuses on three main aspects: the time each procedure takes, the associated costs, and any complications that arise during or after the procedure.

Real-time ultrasound guidance has shown promise in improving the safety and effectiveness of PT. Studies indicate that it can help avoid placing the tube too high, which can cause long-term complications. Pre-procedure ultrasound can identify blood vessels beforehand, potentially reducing the risk of bleeding. Additionally, using a laryngeal mask airway offers better

visualization of the trachea, which is especially useful for less experienced doctors or patients with difficult anatomy.

In summary, the study aims to determine whether ultrasound guidance with a laryngeal mask airway is more efficient and safer compared to the standard bronchoscopy-guided PT. By investigating procedure time, cost, and complications, the study seeks to improve patient outcomes in the ICU.

**Who can participate?**

The study included adult patients in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) who were critically ill, intubated, and on mechanical ventilation. These patients needed an elective percutaneous tracheostomy (PT), a procedure to create an opening in the neck to help them breathe.

**What does the study involve?**

In a study conducted in the ICU, patients who needed a planned tracheostomy (a procedure to create an opening in the neck to place a tube into the windpipe) were divided into two groups to compare two different methods of performing the procedure.

One group had the procedure done using ultrasound and a device called a laryngeal mask airway (LMA), while the other group had it done using a bronchoscope (a thin, flexible tube with a camera to see inside the airways).

Patients were randomly assigned to each group in equal numbers (1:1 ratio) using a method called blocked randomization, which ensures that each group has a balanced number of participants. The randomization process was managed by a computer program and overseen by a biostatistician who did not take part in the rest of the study. This was done to keep the assignments hidden from the researchers to prevent any bias in selecting participants for either group.

**What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?**

For participants, there are no additional risks or benefits beyond those of conventional procedures

**Where is the study run from?**

Ain Shams University Hospital (Egypt)

**When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?**

May 2021 to December 2022

**Who is funding the study?**

Ain Shams University Hospital (Egypt)

**Who is the main contact?**

ahmed\_reda43@yahoo.com

mallatjihead@gmail.com

## Contact information

**Type(s)**

Principal Investigator

**Contact name**

Prof Ahmed Taha

**ORCID ID**

<http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7901-9553>

**Contact details**

Cleveland Clinic Abu Dhabi  
abu dhabi  
United Arab Emirates  
112412  
+971 504360138  
tahaa2@clevelandclinicabudhabi.ae

**Type(s)**

Public, Scientific, Principal Investigator

**Contact name**

Prof Jihad mallat

**Contact details**

Cleveland Clinic Abu Dhabi  
abu dhabi  
United Arab Emirates  
112412  
+971 551181831  
mallatjihad@gmail.com

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS number**

Nil known

**IRAS number****ClinicalTrials.gov number**

Nil known

**Secondary identifying numbers**

FMASU MD 164/2021

## Study information

**Scientific Title**

A novel technique for percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy: randomized controlled trial evaluating modified real-time ultrasound-guided bronchoscopy controlled tracheostomy using laryngeal mask airway

**Study objectives**

The utilization of ultrasound guidance during tracheostomy procedures has become increasingly prevalent across diverse clinical contexts owing to its capacity to provide dynamic imaging of anatomical structures in real-time, potentially minimizing procedural complications. Ultrasound guidance offers enhanced visualization of the trachea, adjacent vasculature, and pertinent anatomical landmarks, facilitating precise needle insertion and identification of potential impediments. Furthermore, it obviates the necessity for radiation exposure inherent in fluoroscopy and obviates the expenses linked to bronchoscopy equipment. Several studies have explored the efficacy and safety of ultrasound-guided percutaneous dilatational tracheostomy (PDT) in comparison to the standard technique using bronchoscopy guidance PDT with controversial results. Laryngeal mask airways (LMAs) have been successfully used instead of ETTs during PDT, with better visualization of relevant tracheal structures. No studies have explored the efficacy and safety of the ultrasound-guided PDT approach using an LMA compared to the bronchoscopy-guided PDT technique.

### **Ethics approval required**

Ethics approval required

### **Ethics approval(s)**

Approved 03/12/2021, Research Ethics Committee of Ain Shams University Faculty of Medicine (Ramsis Street Square, El Weili, Cairo, -, Egypt; +202 26857539 ; viced.research@med.asu.edu. eg), ref: FMASU MD 164/2021

### **Study design**

Open-label parallel randomized controlled study

### **Primary study design**

Interventional

### **Secondary study design**

Randomised parallel trial

### **Study setting(s)**

Hospital, University/medical school/dental school

### **Study type(s)**

Other, Safety, Efficacy

### **Participant information sheet**

No participant information sheet available

### **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Procedure for patients with prolonged respiratory failure

### **Interventions**

Bedside percutaneous dilatation tracheostomy using ultrasound-guided and LMA and compared with conventional technique using bronchoscopy.

Patients admitted to the ICU requiring elective PDT were randomized in a 1:1 ratio to either ultrasound-guided technique using LMA (US-guided LMA) or bronchoscopy-guided technique arm in random permuted blocks of 4 to ensure balanced allocation across intervention arms. Randomization sequences within each block were generated using SAS code to conduct blocked

randomization, and an independent biostatistician conducted the randomization. Allocation concealment was maintained to minimize selection bias.

**Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

**Primary outcome measure**

Procedure time, defined by the time (in minutes) between the trachea puncture and the patient's ventilation in US LMA and bronchoscopy-guided PDT measured using patient records

**Secondary outcome measures**

Measured using patient/hospital records at the end of the study:

1. Cost of the procedures (including the perioperative drugs used, the tracheostomy set, and fiberoptic bronchoscope sterilization)
2. Complications related to the procedures

**Overall study start date**

01/05/2021

**Completion date**

03/12/2022

## Eligibility

**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Age: >18 years
2. Sex: Male or Female.
3. All intubated patients indicated for tracheostomy for any etiology

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Adult

**Lower age limit**

18 Years

**Upper age limit**

70 Years

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

65

**Total final enrolment**

60

### **Key exclusion criteria**

1. Age: below 18 years or above 75 years.
2. The patient or the patient's guardian refuses to give written informed consent.
3. Patients who have a contraindication to the procedure (coagulopathy, high FIO2 requirement, high PEEP, etc.)
4. Patients with a history of COVID-19 will not be included.

### **Date of first enrolment**

04/12/2021

### **Date of final enrolment**

03/12/2022

## **Locations**

### **Countries of recruitment**

Egypt

### **Study participating centre**

**Faculty of medicine - Ain shams university hospitals**

Abbassya, Faculty of Medicine

Cairo

Egypt

11566

## **Sponsor information**

### **Organisation**

Ain Shams University Hospital

### **Sponsor details**

Abbassya, Faculty of Medicine

Cairo

Egypt

11566

+20 224346344

vised.research@med.asu.edu.eg

### **Sponsor type**

University/education

### **Website**

<https://med.asu.edu.eg/home/en/contact-us/>

ROR

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**  
Hospital/treatment centre

**Funder Name**  
Ain shams University hospital

## Results and Publications

**Publication and dissemination plan**  
Planned publication in a peer-reviewed journal

**Intention to publish date**  
01/08/2024

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**  
The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are available from the corresponding author on reasonable request  
ahmed\_reda43@yahoo.com

**IPD sharing plan summary**  
Available on request

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Protocol file</a>			02/07/2024	No	No
<a href="#">Results article</a>		25/04/2025	28/04/2025	Yes	No