

Impact evaluation of rural village doctor training in Yunnan Province, China

Submission date 18/07/2017	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
Registration date 21/07/2017	Overall study status Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Last Edited 06/09/2023	Condition category Mental and Behavioural Disorders	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Previous studies have uncovered significant deficits in the quality of primary care provided by village clinicians in rural China. A training programme for rural village doctors in Yunnan Province, China sponsored by the Red Cross Society of China and implemented by the Yunnan University of Traditional Chinese Medicine has been proposed to address these issues. This training programme provides broad-based training to rural village doctors in the province covering diagnoses and management of multiple high-priority diseases through a two-week programme involving classroom instruction and hands-on training. The aim of this study is to evaluate the impact of the training programme on the clinical practice of participating doctors through a randomized controlled trial.

Who can participate?

Participants in the study will be 330 randomly-chosen village doctors in the study area.

What does the study involve?

Following a baseline survey, participating doctors are randomly allocated to either a control group or to one of two treatment groups. The first treatment group are offered training from Yunnan starting in September 2017. The training is given free of charge and clinicians receive a subsidy for travel and accommodation during the training period. In May 2018, a second treatment group are offered participation in a modified version of the training adapted based on the results of the first phase. Participants are followed up approximately two months after the end of training to assess their knowledge and clinical management. In the control group, only data is collected during the study period (but they will receive training after completion of the trial).

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Potential benefits to village clinicians participating in the programme include improved medical knowledge and increased income, though clinicians choosing to participate will forgo the income they would have earned had they not participated. Patients served by participating clinicians may benefit from improved care. There are no risks with participating.

Where is the study run from?

The study is being run by Peking University, Shaanxi Normal University, and the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and is taking place in Yunnan Province, China.

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

April 2017 to December 2018

Who is funding the study?

UCB (Belgium)

Who is the main contact?

1. Hongmei Yi

hmyi.ccap@pku.edu.cn

2. Sean Sylvia

sean.sylvia@gmail.com

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof Hongmei Yi

Contact details

Peking University

School of Advanced Agriculture Sciences

No.5, Yiheyuan Road

Haidian District

Beijing

China

100871

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Prof Sean Sylvia

ORCID ID

<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-9508-247X>

Contact details

University of North Carolina

Chapel Hill

135 Dauer Drive

Chapel Hill NC

United States of America

27599

Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

21100-4432-397-008

Study information

Scientific Title

Village doctor training and the quality of primary care in Yunnan province: A randomized controlled trial

Study objectives

Village clinician knowledge of appropriate clinical practice and the quality of primary care they provide will be higher with clinician participation in a training program than without. The null hypothesis is that there will be no difference in clinical practice or knowledge outcomes between treatment groups receiving training and the control group.

Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

Ethics approval(s)

Peking University Institutional Review Board, 04/26/2017, ref: IRB00001052-1703

Study design

Multi-site randomized controlled trial

Primary study design

Interventional

Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

Study setting(s)

GP practice

Study type(s)

Other

Participant information sheet

No participant information sheet is available.

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Primary Care Quality

Interventions

The study involves 330 rural village clinicians in Yunnan Province, China. Following an initial baseline survey (conducted in July 2017), participating village clinicians are randomly allocated to a control group (in which only data collection will take place until completion of the trial – 110 clinicians) or one of two treatment groups:

Treatment Group 1 (110 clinicians): At the beginning of phase I (September 2017), village clinicians are offered an opportunity to participate in a training program sponsored by the Business Development Center of the Red Cross Society of China and implemented by Yunnan University of Traditional Chinese Medicine. The training covers diagnoses and management of multiple high-priority communicable and non-communicable diseases through a two-week programme involving classroom instruction and hands-on training.

Treatment Group 2 (110 clinicians): At the beginning of phase II (May 2018), village clinicians are offered an opportunity to participate in an “adapted version” of the training program. This adapted training is a revised version of the training program (with revisions to content, scope, and structure) based on trial results from phase I.

Clinicians are randomly allocated to groups using a random number generator after baseline data collection (at the start of phase I). Participating village clinicians are unaware of random assignment and clinicians allocated to treatment groups only receive notification/offer of training. The control group receive training after trial completion.

Intervention Type

Behavioural

Primary outcome measure

1. Clinician knowledge is measured using clinical vignettes presenting specific disease cases to providers at two months after the conclusion of each training programme
2. Practice of correct clinical management is measured using identical disease cases presented by unannounced standardized patients at two months after the conclusion of each training programme

Performance in vignettes and interactions with standardized patients are assessed in comparison to established national and international standards of care. Specific measures include adherence to pre-determined checklists of recommended questions and exams given each disease case, whether provided diagnoses are correct, partially correct, or incorrect and whether treatments are correct, partially correct, or incorrect (including drug prescriptions, referral, and patient instructions).

Secondary outcome measures

1. Clinician acceptance of offer to participate in training is measured using their response to the phone call of inviting them to attend the training at four weeks after baseline training
2. Clinician attendance at training sessions is measured using two outcomes during the training period. One is that whether clinician attends the training or not using clinician's registration into the training on the beginning day of the training. The other is share of sessions that clinician attends, which is measured using time sheets through the whole training.
3. Clinician scores on verbally-administered exams testing subject knowledge is measured using the subject matter test in the survey at baseline, midpoint and endpoint
4. Clinician patient load is measured using a questionnaire survey (specifically asking each clinician how many patients visited them in the past month at baseline, midpoint and endpoint

5. patient perceptions of clinician quality is measured using household survey at midpoint survey (depending on funding to support a household survey)
6. Clinician income from medical services provided is measured using questionnaire (specifically asking about net revenue in the past year and the share of net revenue they take) at baseline, midpoint and endpoint

Overall study start date

01/04/2017

Completion date

30/12/2018

Eligibility

Key inclusion criteria

1. Aged 18 or older
2. Male or female
3. Employed as a village clinician in study area

Participant type(s)

Health professional

Age group

Adult

Lower age limit

18 Years

Sex

Both

Target number of participants

330

Total final enrolment

370

Key exclusion criteria

No exclusion criteria.

Date of first enrolment

20/07/2017

Date of final enrolment

15/08/2017

Locations

Countries of recruitment

China

Study participating centre**Peking University**

School of Advanced Agriculture Sciences

No.5, Yiheyuan Road

Haidian District

Beijing

China

100871

Sponsor information**Organisation**

Peking University

Sponsor details

School of Advanced Agriculture Sciences

No.5, Yiheyuan Road

Haidian District

Beijing

China

100871

Sponsor type

University/education

Website

<http://www.saas.pku.edu.cn/index.aspx>

ROR

<https://ror.org/02v51f717>

Funder(s)**Funder type**

Not defined

Funder Name

UCB (Belgium)

Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan

Planned publication in a high-impact peer reviewed journal one year after the end of the trial.

Intention to publish date

30/12/2023

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The current data sharing plans for the current study are unknown and will be made available at a later date.

IPD sharing plan summary

Data sharing statement to be made available at a later date

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article		23/09/2020	06/09/2023	Yes	No