

# Evaluation of autoSCORE: an artificial intelligence based algorithm for EEG classification versus human experts

<b>Submission date</b> 18/03/2022	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 25/03/2022	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 05/09/2023	<b>Condition category</b> Nervous System Diseases	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Electroencephalography (EEG) measures electric brain activity using electrodes attached to the scalp. This is used to investigate brain disease, most commonly epilepsy, coma, and dementia. The clinical interpretation of EEGs is until now mainly based on expert visual analysis, and there are indications that EEG reviewers are under increasing time pressure. A large, anonymized dataset consisting of EEGs evaluated and annotated by experts with a dedicated software package (SCORE EEG) is used to train an algorithm (autoSCORE) to automatically assess EEGs. autoSCORE is trained to separate normal from abnormal EEGs. When autoSCORE assesses the EEG as abnormal it will further sub-classify abnormalities into four sub-groups, which provide important information for medical decisions on patient management. This is a human expert validation study to validate the algorithm.

### Who can participate?

This study involves analysis of recorded electroencephalography data. Direct participation of new patients is not required.

### What does the study involve?

Doctors will assess 100 EEGs and the algorithm will assess the same EEGs. A large independent EEG dataset from Oslo University Hospital will be used to compare autoSCORE with the clinical scorings of the experts who evaluated the EEGs. The goal is to prove that the autoSCORE algorithm is non-inferior to human experts. The researchers will compare autoSCORE with a commercially available EEG analysis software package (ENCEVIS).

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

As the study involves analysis of the EEG data of patients who were referred to the investigation as part of their diagnostic work-up, there are no additional risks for the patients. EEG is a non-invasive procedure without any risks. The benefits are EEG diagnostics will become available also in underserved areas where EEG experts are not available, and it will assist physicians in reducing their workload in places where the expertise is available.

Where is the study run from?

1. Danish Epilepsy Centre Filadelfia (Denmark)
2. Haukeland University Hospital (Norway)
3. Oslo University Hospital (Norway)
4. Mayo Clinic (USA)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

June 2020 to March 2022

Who is funding the study?

Holberg EEG (Norway)

Who is the main contact?

Prof. Sandor Beniczky

sbz@filadelfia.dk

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Principal investigator

### Contact name

Prof Sandor Beniczky

### ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6035-6581>

### Contact details

Visby Allé 5

Dianalund

Denmark

4293

+45 (0)26981536

sbz@filadelfia.dk

## Additional identifiers

### Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

### ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

Nil known

### Protocol serial number

24084-01

## Study information

### Scientific Title

Accuracy of EEG classification by autoSCORE algorithm compared with human experts

**Acronym**

autoSCORE

**Study objectives**

The autoSCORE algorithm has an accuracy similar to human experts in distinguishing abnormal from normal electroencephalography (EEG) recordings and classifying the abnormal recordings: focal-epileptiform, generalized-epileptiform, focal-slowing, diffuse-slowing.

AutoSCORE is an algorithm developed using artificial intelligence, based on a large SCORE dataset. The validation process is prospective, i.e. after the development of the algorithm, using a fixed algorithm and threshold (cut-off) value.

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

This study uses anonymized EEG datasets and does not require ethics approval. The study has been reviewed on 07/07/2020 by the institutional review board and the data safety officer at the institution of the Principal Investigator, the Danish Epilepsy Centre, Filadelfia (Kolonivej 1, 4293, Dianalund, Denmark; +45 (0)58264200; pwo@filadelfia.dk), ref: Sagsnr. 0100256

**Study design**

Cross-sectional validation study

**Primary study design**

Observational

**Study type(s)**

Diagnostic

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Patients suspected of epilepsy or other conditions with impaired consciousness or cognition

**Interventions**

EEGs will be automatically assessed by the previously developed autoSCORE algorithm using pre-defined detection thresholds. The algorithm first distinguishes between normal and abnormal recordings. Then, it classifies the abnormal EEGs into four categories: focal-epileptiform, generalized-epileptiform, focal-slowing, diffuse-slowing.

The performance of autoSCORE will be compared with the evaluation of the EEGs by a panel of experts on an independent dataset of a balanced sample of 100 randomly selected EEGs and form a large independent dataset from a hospital that did not participate in the development of the algorithm.

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Primary outcome(s)**

Sensitivity, specificity, accuracy, positive predictive value, negative predictive value of autoSCORE compared with the majority-consensus scoring of the human experts, calculated using a balanced sample of 100 randomly selected EEGs at a single timepoint

### **Key secondary outcome(s)**

Calculated using a balanced sample of 100 randomly selected EEGs at a single timepoint:

1. Inter-test agreement (autoSCORE vs human experts) in the large, independent dataset
2. Performance of autoSCORE at identifying recordings with epileptiform abnormalities (both focal and generalized) compared with the commercially available spike-detector software packages

### **Completion date**

18/03/2022

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

The EEGs to be selected for this study have not been part of the training dataset to develop the autoSCORE. The datasets are distributed between the EEGs arriving from Haukeland University Hospital, Danish Epilepsy Centre Filadelfia and Mayo Clinic. Although there is no scientific reason to consider that ethnicities or geographical origin of the EEG, nor the software used for acquisition influences the results, in order to avoid any such potential bias, the study design has addressed this by using EEGs from different geographies. Age range: 35% under 16 years (pediatric population), 65% over 16 years (adult population).

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

### **Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

### **Age group**

Mixed

### **Sex**

All

### **Total final enrolment**

100

### **Key exclusion criteria**

1. Neonatal
2. EEGs reported with rhythmic and periodic patterns in critically ill patients

### **Date of first enrolment**

01/06/2021

### **Date of final enrolment**

18/03/2022

# Locations

## Countries of recruitment

Denmark

Norway

United States of America

## Study participating centre

**Danish Epilepsy Centre Filadelfia**

Kolonivej 1

Dianalund

Denmark

4293

## Study participating centre

**Haukeland University Hospital**

Haukelandsveien 22

Bergen

Norway

5009

## Study participating centre

**Mayo Clinic, Florida**

4500 San Pablo Rd S

Jacksonville

United States of America

FL 32224

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

Holberg EEG AS

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Industry

**Funder Name**  
Holberg EEG AS

## Results and Publications

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Additional information, including raw data, is available on request, pending IRB approval for the intended use. Please contact Prof. Sandor Beniczky (sbz@filadelfia.dk). Type of data: anonymised EEG, diagnostic gold standard; demographics (age, gender), output of the algorithm. Data will be available upon request for 10 years from the publication for scientific non-commercial use. As the dataset is de-identified, there is no need for consent from the participants.

### IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>		01/08/2023	05/09/2023	Yes	No
<a href="#">Participant information sheet</a>	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes
<a href="#">Protocol file</a>	version 4	28/02/2022	24/03/2022	No	No