

# Recovery following intensive care treatment

<b>Submission date</b> 20/06/2017	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 22/06/2017	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 02/02/2026	<b>Condition category</b> Other	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Most patients discharged from an intensive care unit (ICU) are expected to go home. However, about 1 in 12 die unexpectedly on general wards before leaving hospital. The high death rate occurs despite hospitals using 'early warning' scoring systems and visits from ICU teams. A plan which reduces this death rate is urgently needed. This study uses several approaches to get a clear picture of how to improve care.

### Who can participate?

Patients, relatives and staff aged 18 or over with experience of ward care after ICU

### What does the study involve?

The researchers talk to patients, relatives and staff with experience of ward care after ICU, look at the notes of patients cared for in wards after discharge from ICU, and look at published research to find ways of improving care in this patient group. Patient representatives help the researchers to use this information to design a plan to deliver better care to patients who have been in ICU, whilst they remain in hospital. Information is combined from several sources with experts skilled at making plans work in order to make the best possible plan. After this study, the plan is tested to see whether it could be put in place in local hospitals and tested in a large study.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

There would be no benefits to participants from taking part in this study. Sharing their experiences may help to raise awareness of common issues. It is hoped in the longer term that this study will contribute to improved standards of care in the future, and better working practices, based on the information collected. Over 2300 lives would be saved in the UK each year if this plan worked and stopped only a quarter of the unexpected deaths in patients discharged from ICU. There are no known risks to this study. However, discussing such a sensitive topic may be distressing to participants. The ICU follow-up team are available initially to answer any questions or concerns and signpost participants to other support if required. All information gathered within the interviews is anonymised at the point of collection, so no individuals are identifiable.

### Where is the study run from?

Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?  
September 2015 to January 2019 (as of 22/10/2018)

Who is funding the study?  
National Institute for Health Research - Research for Patient Benefit (UK)

Who is the main contact?  
Mrs Sarah Vollam  
sarah.vollam@ndcn.ox.ac.uk

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Public

### Contact name

Mrs Sarah Vollam

### ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2835-6271>

### Contact details

Kadoorie Centre  
Level 3  
John Radcliffe Hospital  
Oxford  
United Kingdom  
OX3 9DU  
+44 (0)1865 231 440  
sarah.vollam@ndcn.ox.ac.uk

## Additional identifiers

### Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

### ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

Nil known

### Protocol serial number

PID12321

## Study information

### Scientific Title

Designing an intervention to improve in-hospital outcome for patients who have been discharged from intensive care

### Acronym

## REFLECT

### Study objectives

To develop a multifaceted human factors-based intervention to reduce in-hospital mortality rates in patients who have been discharged from intensive care.

### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

### Ethics approval(s)

Wales REC 4, 03/05/2017, ref: 17/WA/0139

### Study design

Multisite multiphase convergent parallel mixed methods study

### Primary study design

Observational

### Study type(s)

Treatment

### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Post-intensive care unit in-hospital ward stay

### Interventions

Mixed methods exploratory study comprising four sub-studies:

A. Retrospective Case Record Review (deceased patients)

Human factors-focused review of medical notes of patients who were discharged from intensive care and did not survive to hospital discharge.

B. Patient and relative interviews

Interviews with patients and relatives of deceased patients about the delivery of care following intensive care discharge.

C. Staff focus groups/interviews

Interviews with staff involved in the delivery of ward care to patients following intensive care discharge.

D. Retrospective Case Record Review (survivors)

Human factors-focused review of medical notes of patients who were discharged from intensive care and survived to hospital discharge.

### Intervention Type

Other

### Primary outcome(s)

As this is a mixed methods exploratory study, the outcomes are not as clearly defined as in an interventional study. The primary objective is to develop a multifaceted human factors based intervention to reduce in-hospital mortality rates in patients who have been discharged from intensive care. The primary outcome measure is the intervention plan which will be delivered at the end of the study.

### Key secondary outcome(s))

- A. Retrospective case record review (RCRR) (deceased patients): identify examples of high quality care and areas for improvement from medical records of deceased patients  
Outcome measure: Aspects of care identified and the human factors-based factors which contributed
- B. Interview study (patients and relatives): identify examples of high quality care and areas for improvement from patients' and relatives' perspective  
Outcome measure: Aspects of care identified
- C. Interview/focus groups study (staff): identify examples of high quality care and areas for improvement from staff perspective  
Outcome measure: Aspects of care identified and potential approaches identified by patients
- D. Retrospective case record review (survivors): identify examples of high quality care and areas for improvement from medical records of survivors  
Outcome measure: Aspects of care identified and the human factors-based factors which contributed

All secondary outcome measures will be assessed at the end of primary data collection period, prior to intervention design (12 months into the study).

### **Completion date**

31/01/2019

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

Participant group by sub-study:

A. RCRR (deceased patients):

Patients discharged from ICU to wards who subsequently died before hospital discharge.

B. Patient and relative interviews and focus groups:

1. Patients discharged from ICU to a ward and subsequently discharged out of hospital
  2. Relatives of patients who survived their post-ICU ward stay
  3. Relatives of patients who died following ICU discharge before hospital discharge
- Participants will be sought with varying experiences, to facilitate maximum variation in the sample (76)

C. Staff interviews/focus groups:

Staff involved in the care of patients discharge from intensive care to wards (including nurses, doctors, physiotherapists, dieticians and other allied health professionals). As above, purposive sampling will be utilised to ensure a diverse range of exposure, experience and background training.

D. RCRR (survivors):

Patients discharged from ICU to a ward and subsequently discharged out of hospital. Ideally including some of those interviewed as above

Inclusion criteria by sub-study:

A. RCRR (deceased):

1. Male or female, aged 18 years or over
2. Patient discharged from ICU to a ward and died prior to hospital discharge

**B. Patient/relative interviews:**

1. Participant is willing and able to give informed consent for participation in the study
2. Male or female, aged 18 years or over
3. Patient or relative of patient who was discharged from ICU to a ward and survived to hospital discharge, or relative of patient who was discharged from ICU and did not survive to hospital discharge

**C. Staff interviews/focus groups:**

1. Participant is willing and able to give informed consent for participation in the study
2. Male or female, aged 18 years or over
3. A member of NHS staff involved in the care of patients discharged from ICU to wards

**D. RCRR (survivors):**

1. Participant is willing and able to give informed consent for participation in the study
2. Male or female, aged 18 years or over
3. Discharged from ICU to a ward and subsequently discharged from hospital

**Participant type(s)**

Mixed

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Mixed

**Lower age limit**

18 years

**Upper age limit**

99 years

**Sex**

All

**Total final enrolment**

355

**Key exclusion criteria**

The participant may not enter the study if ANY of the following apply:

**A. RCRR (deceased):**

1. Inaccessible medical notes (all efforts will be made to obtain access to medical notes, but these are occasionally misplaced within the hospital system, or are unavailable due to investigation of an ongoing complaint)

**B. Patient/relatives interviews/focus groups:**

1. Lack of capacity to consent
2. Poor spoken English (it will not be possible to conduct the interviews through an interpreter)

**C. Staff focus groups:**

1. Does not meet inclusion criteria

D. RCRR (survivors):

1. Does not meet inclusion criteria

**Date of first enrolment**

01/07/2017

**Date of final enrolment**

31/12/2018

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

**Study participating centre**

**Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**

John Radcliffe Hospital

Headley Way

Oxford

England

OX3 9DU

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

University of Oxford

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/052gg0110>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

Government

**Funder Name**

National Institute for Health Research - Research for Patient Benefit

**Alternative Name(s)**

National Institute for Health Research, NIHR Research, NIHRresearch, NIHR - National Institute for Health Research, NIHR (The National Institute for Health and Care Research), NIHR

**Funding Body Type**  
Government organisation

**Funding Body Subtype**  
National government

**Location**  
United Kingdom

## Results and Publications

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**  
The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are/will be available upon request from Mrs Sarah Vollam (sarah.vollam@ndcn.ox.ac.uk).

**IPD sharing plan summary**  
Available on request

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Protocol article</a>	protocol	25/01/2019		Yes	No
<a href="#">Abstract results</a>		26/07/2019	04/07/2024	No	No
<a href="#">HRA research summary</a>			28/06/2023	No	No
<a href="#">Interim results article</a>	case record review	06/01/2021	12/01/2021	Yes	No
<a href="#">Interim results article</a>	Human factors analysis	24/03/2021	28/09/2021	Yes	No
<a href="#">Interim results article</a>	Patient Harm and Institutional Avoidability of Out-of-Hours Discharge From Intensive Care: An Analysis Using Mixed Methods	01/07/2022	25/10/2022	Yes	No
<a href="#">Other publications</a>	Opportunities to Improve Nutrition for Patients in Hospital After Discharge From an Intensive Care Unit: A Human Factors Analysis	01/03/2026	02/02/2026	Yes	No
<a href="#">Participant information sheet</a>			22/06/2017	No	Yes
<a href="#">Participant information sheet</a>			22/06/2017	No	Yes
<a href="#">Participant information sheet</a>			22/06/2017	No	Yes
<a href="#">Study website</a>	Study website	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes