# Comparison of the complications between the papillary and infundibular access to the cacyceal system of the kidney during percutaneous nephtrolithotomy.

Submission date	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	Prospectively registered		
12/06/2016		☐ Protocol		
Registration date 23/07/2016	Overall study status Completed	<ul><li>Statistical analysis plan</li></ul>		
		[X] Results		
<b>Last Edited</b> 23/10/2018	Condition category Urological and Genital Diseases	[] Individual participant data		

#### Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Renal (or kidney) stones are stones that can develop in the kidneys. They are quite common. Many go undetected and are passed out painlessly in the urine. However, they can cause a blockage in the urinary system. Such blockages can cause severe pain. Large kidney stones can be removed by a surgical procedure called percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL). This involves using a thin telescopic instrument called a nephroscope which is passed through the kidney to either pull out the stone r break it into smaller pieces using a laser or pneumatic energy. The procedure requires precise puncture with a needle of the collecting system of the kidney in specific positions which are called as "papillae". These sites are considered to less prone to bleeding either during or after the surgery. However, there is evidence to suggest that there is no significant bleeding risk if the puncture is made in other positions of the collecting system such as the "infundibulum", a site that allows easier approach is easier movement of the instrument. This study is looking at whether this is the case.

Who can participate?

Patients with large renal stones.

What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated to one of two groups. Those in group 1 undergo percutaneous nephrolithotomy with access to the papilla. Those in group 2 undergo the surgery with

access to the infundibulum. All participants are monitored for complications after surgery and whether they need any blood transfusions. They are followed up for three months after surgery.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating? Not provided at time of registration Where is the study run from? University Hospital of Patras (Greece)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? June 2015 to June 2017

Who is funding the study? University of Patras (Greece)

Who is the main contact? Professor Evangelos Liatsikos

## Contact information

#### Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Prof Evangelos Liatsikos

#### Contact details

Department of Urology (4th floor) University Hospital of Patras Rion Patras Greece 26504

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

**IRAS** number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers 11393/16-6-2015

## Study information

#### Scientific Title

Randomized trial comparing the surgical outcome and morbidity of the accesses to fornix-papilla to the accesses to the infundibulum of the calyx of the kidney during percutaneous nephtrolithotomy.

#### **Study objectives**

The traditional practice of percutaneous nephtrolithotomy uses an access for the pelvicalyceal system through the papilla-fornix of the renal calyx. The access through the infundibulum of the renal calyx is considered as prone to bleeding is performed only in difficult cases in which the

papillary access is not possible. The clinical experience of our institution shows that there is no difference in complication rates and morbidity between the papillary and the infundibular access. The current randomized study aims to provide high quality data on the comparison of the above approaches.

#### Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

#### Ethics approval(s)

Scientific Board of the University Hospital of Patras, 6th Hygeonomic Region of Greece, 27/05/2015, ref: 11393/16-6-2015

#### Study design

Randomised controlled trial

#### Primary study design

Interventional

#### Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

#### Study setting(s)

Hospital

#### Study type(s)

Treatment

## Participant information sheet

Not available in web format, please use the contact details to request a patient information sheet. An informed consent form is available in Greek.

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Lithiasis of the urinary tract. Large stone in the renal pelvicalyceal system.

#### **Interventions**

Participants are randomly allocated to one of two groups:

Group 1: Undergo percutaneous nephtrolithotomy with access and tract dilation to the papilla of the desired calyx (control)

Group 2: Undergo percutaneous nephtrolithotomy with access and tract dilation to the infudibulum of the desired calyx (intervention)

The intervention performed in all patients is the percutaneous nephrolithotomy (PCNL) which is performed for the management of large renal stones. PCNL is performed with the patient in prone position after the patient is intubated and a ureteral catheter has been inserted to pelvicalcyceal system of the kindey that is going to be treated. Under fluoroscopic guidance the desired renal calyx puncture is made with the use of a 18G needle. The common practice is to puncture the fornix-papilla of the desired calyx (control arm). The procedure can also be performed by puncturing the infundibulum of the desired renal calyx (intervention). The rest of the procedure is performed by inserting appropriate guidewires and dilating the tract to the pelvicalyceal system at a diameter of 30Fr with the use of Amplatz dilators or Balloon dilator. A

nephroscope is inserted and the stones to be treated and are detected and removed with the use of clamp or ultrasound lithotripter. At the end of the procedure a Malecot nephrostomy tube is left in place.

The patients are discharged on the 2-3 postoperative day based on the presence of clear urine in the Malecot tube. An KUB x-ray or KUB CT scan are performed for the confirmation of the stone free status on the discharge day after the removal of the Malecot catheter. Blood and serum examinations take place during the hospitalization of the patients.

#### Intervention Type

Procedure/Surgery

#### Primary outcome measure

- 1. The hemoglobin drop on the first postoperative day and discharge day
- 2. Need for transfusion during the first postoperative month

#### Secondary outcome measures

- 1. Operative time
- 2. Number of accesses performed
- 3. Stone free rate (at discharge and 3 months)
- 4. Complications rate (during the 4 weeks and 3 months postoperatively)
- 5. Hospitalization

#### Overall study start date

17/06/2015

## Completion date

16/06/2017

# **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

Renal stones >2cm in maximal diameter with indication for percutaneous nephrolithotomy.

## Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

#### Age group

All

#### Sex

Both

## Target number of participants

60

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Single kidney
- 2. Ureteral stone in the same system
- 3. Stone in diverticulum

- 4. Concomitant urinary infection
- 5. Congenital anomalies of the urinary tract
- 6. Skeletal anomalies

## Date of first enrolment

17/06/2015

#### Date of final enrolment

16/06/2017

## Locations

## Countries of recruitment

Greece

## Study participating centre University Hospital of Patras

Department of Urology (4th floor)

Rion

**Patras** 

Greece

26504

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

**Evangelos Liatsikos** 

#### Sponsor details

Department of Urology (4th floor)

University Hospital of Patras

Rion

**Patras** 

Greece

26504

## Sponsor type

Other

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/03c3d1v10

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

University/education

#### **Funder Name**

University of Patras

## Alternative Name(s)

#### **Funding Body Type**

Private sector organisation

#### **Funding Body Subtype**

Universities (academic only)

#### Location

Greece

## **Results and Publications**

## Publication and dissemination plan

The results of the study will be published in an international journal as soon as they are available.

## Intention to publish date

31/10/2016

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

## IPD sharing plan summary

Not expected to be made available

## **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/04/2017		Yes	No
Basic results		23/10/2018	23/10/2018	No	No