# The Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation model, combined with assessment on the clinical communication, clinical thinking and comprehensive clinical abilities of nursing interns

Submission date	Recruitment status	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>
25/08/2025	No longer recruiting	Protocol
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan
27/08/2025	Completed	Results
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data
27/08/2025	Other	[X] Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

At present, nursing interns are facing three core competency challenges: first, the fragmentation of clinical communication leads to low efficiency of information transmission and potential nursing risks; second, the traditional teaching model suppresses active thinking, and the clinical decision-making ability is weak; third, summative evaluation ignores soft skills, such as humanistic care and health education. The Situation–Background–Assessment–Recommendation (SBAR) model, with its standardised framework, can help enforce a logical communication approach, reducing information omission. The mini-clinical evaluation exercise (mini-CEX) dynamically corrects capability deviations through multidimensional, real-time evaluation and feedback. This study aims to explore the short-term effects of the SBAR model combined with the mini-CEX on the clinical communication ability, clinical thinking ability and comprehensive clinical ability of nursing interns during 3 weeks of internship.

Who can participate?

Trainee nurses over 18 years old

What does the study involve?

The enrolled interns were randomly divided into a control group (traditional clinical nursing teaching) and an observation group (SBAR model + mini-CEX (with emphasis on basic knowledge of common clinical diseases and specialised nursing operations, language communication skills and key requirements for case nursing)) according to the random number table method.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The possible benefits are that better nursing interns' clinical communication, clinical thinking, and comprehensive practical skills have improved.

There are no possible risks.

Where is the study run from? The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui University of Science and Technology, China

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? September 2023 to October 2024

Who is funding the study?

Anhui Provincial Department of Education 2023 Higher Education Provincial Quality Engineering Project

Who is the main contact? Xiaodan Xia, xiaxiaodan\_xd@126.com

# Contact information

#### Type(s)

Public, Scientific, Principal Investigator

#### Contact name

Dr Xiaodan Xia

#### **ORCID ID**

https://orcid.org/0009-0006-7571-6072

#### Contact details

No. 15, Fengxia Road, Changfeng County Hefei China 231131 +86 15395546467 xiaxiaodan\_xd@126.com

# Additional identifiers

# EudraCT/CTIS number

Nil known

#### IRAS number

# ClinicalTrials.gov number

Nil known

## Secondary identifying numbers

Anhui Provincial Department of Education 2023 Higher Education Provincial Quality Engineering Project No. 2023jyxm1072

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

Effect of the Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation model combined with the mini-CEX assessment on the clinical communication, clinical thinking and comprehensive clinical abilities of nursing interns

#### Study objectives

The combined SBAR model and mini-CEX assessment may enhance nursing interns' clinical communication, clinical thinking and comprehensive practice abilities.

#### Ethics approval required

Ethics approval required

#### Ethics approval(s)

Approved 21/10/2023, Ethics Committee of The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui University of Science and Technology (No. 203, Huaibin Road, Tianjia'an District, Huainan, 231131, China; +86 0554-3320335; hnsyykyc@163.com), ref: 2023-KY-HL001-001

#### Study design

Single-center single-blind cluster randomized controlled trial

#### Primary study design

Interventional

#### Secondary study design

Cluster randomised trial

# Study setting(s)

Hospital

# Study type(s)

Other, Prevention

#### Participant information sheet

Not applicable

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Training and competency assessment of nursing interns

#### **Interventions**

Control group – the interns in the control group received one-on-one teaching using the traditional clinical nursing teaching programme. Interns received introductory education after entrance, familiarising themselves with the ward environment, nursing routines for common clinical diseases, responsibilities of each shift and an understanding of nursing rules and regulations. Under the guidance of the supervising teacher, interns jointly completed the overall primary nursing work (e.g. basic nursing, specialised nursing, psychological nursing, health education) and wrote nursing cases.

Observation group – the observation group adopted the teaching method of the Situation-Background-Assessment-Recommendation(SBAR) model combined with mini-CEX assessment, with emphasis on basic knowledge of common clinical diseases and specialised nursing operations, language communication skills and key requirements for case nursing.

The stages were as follows:

- (1)The SBAR model combined with mini-CEX assessment teaching group was established: the head nurse was the group leader, the department teaching secretary was the vice-chairman and the members were composed of 3–5 nurses with a bachelor's degree or above, 'competent nurse' or above and >3 years of nursing teaching experience.
- (2) Teacher training was undertaken: the project leader conducted unified training for the members of the teaching team. The training content mainly included the concept, characteristics and application of the SBAR model. The team leader combined the typical cases of the department to analyse the specific application of the SBAR communication model and the mini-CEX assessment precautions. Teachers conducted SBAR scene simulation exercises in groups. (3) The SBAR model combined with mini-CEX assessment teaching:
- (i) theoretical explanation the internship time of each group of nursing interns was 3 weeks. The observation group undertook SBAR communication model learning on the first day of admission. The vice-chairman conducted centralised theoretical teaching for new interns, issued the 'SBAR assessment form'and familiarised the interns with the contents of the table, including 'S' (current situation general information, such as patient name, age, gender and main diagnosis), 'B' (background current main symptoms and signs, treatment and nursing measures, past history and personal history), 'A' (assessment main causes and potential causes of symptoms and signs) and 'R' (recommendation recommended care measures). Each intern arranged a fixed teacher to implement one-to-one teaching, focusing on the application status of the SBAR model in key nursing links in clinical practice, such as operation/ward transfer handover and disease reporting, and organised discussions among nursing students. The mini-CEX assessment teaching model was introduced, and the scoring items, specific standards and implementation methods of the scale were explained in detail.
- (ii) case teaching (the second week) for the implementation of case teaching, the 'one-on-one teacher' selected a specialist case every day, guiding the intern to the bedside to observe the patient's condition, understand the patient's physical and psychological status and evaluate the patient's current nursing risks. Under the guidance of the teacher, the intern used the SBAR assessment form to communicate with the patient, collect and evaluate the medical history and make a brief record. Every Friday afternoon, the departmental teaching secretary conducts a 1–2-hour session of focused guidance for interns, centered on 2–3 specialized knowledge points. The core objective is to promptly address the problems interns encounter in applying the SBAR model, thereby facilitating their rapid improvement.
- (iii) teaching assessment (third weekend) the teacher assigned one patient to each intern. The intern was asked to apply the SBAR communication model to care for the patient independently. The teacher first used the mini-CEX assessment scale to evaluate the intern, and the teacher evaluated the intern from the aspects of nursing assessment, nursing examination, humanistic care, clinical communication, nursing problems and health education and instructed the intern to optimise the comments. At the end of the third week, the clinical teaching assessment was performed on the intern. The theoretical knowledge, operational skills assessment, clinical communication ability and clinical thinking ability of the two groups of nursing interns after the intervention were compared. The clinical comprehensive ability and teaching satisfaction were assessed to evaluate the teaching effect.
- (4)Teaching summary: the teachers reported the problems existing in the teaching of the SBAR model combined with mini-CEX assessment, and the vice group leader and the group leader summarised them to facilitate continuous improvement of the teaching work.

The specific teaching plan for the SBAR model combined with mini-CEX assessment was as follows:

- (I) Implementation of the teaching plan:
- (i) S (situation) understand the current status of interns and grasp the key teaching points
- (ii) B (background) analyse the relevant factors in the key teaching points

- (iii) A (assessment) sort out the key and difficult content in teaching, and evaluate using the mini-CEX assessment scale
- (iv) R (recommendation) identify, analyse and solve related problems based on the results of the assessment.
- (II) Implementation of the individual nursing plan:
- (i) S (situation) understand the current condition of the patient and identify the main existing problems
- (ii) B (background) analyse physical, psychological and other factors based on the problems
- (iii) A (assessment) clarify the key points of the observations based on the current condition and existing problems of the patient
- (iv) R (recommendation) develop appropriate nursing measures and provide nursing interventions.

The 3-week assessment endpoint was selected to capture the immediate effects of the structured SBAR-mini-CEX intervention cycle. This timeframe allows for two complete iterations of the SBAR training loop, minimising confounding from long-term rotations. The mini-CEX evaluations during weeks 1–3 served formative feedback purposes, while the week-3 scores quantified short-term competency progression.

# Intervention Type

Behavioural

#### Primary outcome measure

The following primary outcome measures are assessed on the first day and third weekend of enrolment:

- 1. Assessment of theoretical knowledge and operational skills was measured using a standardised written assessment (maximum: 100 points) and an operational assessment. Each skill was scored according to the Standard Rating Form (100 points), with higher scores indicating better teaching effectiveness
- 2. The clinical communication ability of interns was measured using the Nurses' Clinical Communication Ability Scale
- 3. The clinical thinking ability of interns was measured using the Clinical Thinking Ability Teaching Assessment Scale
- 4. The comprehensive clinical ability of interns was measured using the mini-CEX assessment scale

# Secondary outcome measures

There are no secondary outcome measures

# Overall study start date

25/09/2023

#### Completion date

31/10/2024

# **Eligibility**

## Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Aged ≥18 years
- 2. Junior college or undergraduate degree

- 3. Completed basic theory and skills courses
- 4. Internship period of ≥8 months
- 5. Provided informed consent and voluntarily participated in this study
- 6. Normal cognitive function

# Participant type(s)

Learner/student

#### Age group

Adult

#### Lower age limit

18 Years

# Upper age limit

60 Years

#### Sex

Both

#### Target number of participants

400

#### Total final enrolment

405

#### Key exclusion criteria

- 1. Those who repeated a course
- 2. Those with mental disorders or cognitive impairments

#### Date of first enrolment

30/09/2023

#### Date of final enrolment

01/10/2024

# Locations

# Countries of recruitment

China

#### Study participating centre

The First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui University of Science and Technology

No. 1 Renmin North Road Huainan China 232001

# Sponsor information

#### Organisation

Anhui University of Science and Technology

#### Sponsor details

First Affiliated Hospital of Anhui University of Science and Technology No. 203, Huaibin Road, Tianjia'an District Huainan China 230022 +86 0554-3320729 hnsyygcp@163.com

#### Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

#### Website

https://www.hnfph.com.cn/

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/00q9atg80

# Funder(s)

#### Funder type

Government

#### **Funder Name**

Anhui Provincial Department of Education

#### Alternative Name(s)

Anhui Department of Education, , Department of Education, Anhui Province, Department of Education of Anhui Province, Educational Commission of Anhui Province

## **Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

# **Funding Body Subtype**

Local government

#### Location

China

# **Results and Publications**

# Publication and dissemination plan

Planned publication in a peer-reviewed journal

# Intention to publish date

31/10/2025

# Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

Due to privacy concerns, it is anticipated that the datasets generated and/or analyzed during the current research will not be available.

# IPD sharing plan summary

Not expected to be made available