# Impact of waiting time on the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of stepped care guided self-help followed by face-to-face cognitive behavioral therapy versus standalone face-to-face cognitive behavioral therapy for anxiety

Submission date	<b>Recruitment status</b> Recruiting	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li><li>Protocol</li></ul>		
27/09/2024				
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan		
08/10/2024	Ongoing	Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data		
07/07/2025	Mental and Behavioural Disorders	[X] Record updated in last year		

#### Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Anxiety is among the most prevalent mental health conditions, particularly in Western countries. Access to treatments such as cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT) in publicly funded primary care settings is frequently insufficient and delayed. This study aims to assess the impact of waiting times on the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of a stepped care approach, which begins with guided self-help (GSH) followed by face-to-face CBT, compared to directly referring patients to face-to-face CBT for anxiety.

#### Who can participate?

Adults (16+ years) experiencing anxiety symptoms who are suitable for step 1 or step 2 treatments (such as GSH or CBT) in the Finnish public healthcare system

#### What does the study involve?

First, the participants will be randomly assigned to one of two intervention groups: face-to-face CBT or GSH. Second, the participants will be randomly allocated into two waiting time groups, i. e. <4 weeks and >5 weeks. Those who do not respond adequately to GSH will be offered further treatment with face-to-face CBT. Participants will complete symptom measures at various stages over the course of the study to track changes in their mental health.

#### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The study aims to enhance access to effective, evidence-based, and timely treatments for anxiety within public healthcare systems. All participants will receive treatment that is at least equivalent to the standard care available outside the study. The potential risks are minimal and comparable to existing treatment options; however, if symptoms worsen, participants will be promptly referred to appropriate care.

Where is the study run from? Helsinki University Hospital (Finland)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for? June 2024 to December 2029

Who is funding the study?

The study is primarily funded by EU-Next Generation grants distributed from the Ministry of Social Affairs and Health in Finland.

Who is the main contact? Prof. Suoma Saarni, suoma.saarni@hus.fi

#### Contact information

#### Type(s)

Scientific, Principal investigator

#### Contact name

Prof Suoma Saarni

#### **ORCID ID**

https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3555-9958

#### **Contact details**

HUS Psychiatry, Välskärinkatu 12 Helsinki Finland 00029 +358 (0)94711 suoma.saarni@hus.fi

#### Type(s)

**Public** 

#### Contact name

Dr Katariina Mattila

#### Contact details

HUS Psychiatry, Välskärinkatu 12 Helsinki Finland 00029 +358 (0)94711 katariina.m.mattila@hus.fi

## Additional identifiers

#### Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

#### ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

Nil known

#### Protocol serial number

Nil known

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

Impact of waiting time on the effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of stepped care guided self-help followed by face-to-face cognitive behavioral therapy versus standalone face-to-face cognitive behavioral therapy for anxiety: a randomized controlled trial of the Finnish firstline therapies initiative

#### Acronym

FLT-Step

#### **Study objectives**

Current study objectives as of 07/07/2025:

#### Primary hypothesis:

- P1. Longer waiting times for the study interventions are associated with poorer treatment response in anxiety symptoms at the primary measurement point (6 months after enrollment) in both study interventions:
- 1. A stepped care model (sequential guided self-help followed by face-to-face cognitive behavioral therapy [CBT] for non-responders)
- 2. Direct admission to face-to-face CBT

#### Secondary hypotheses:

- S1. If non-inferiority is demonstrated, effectiveness of the stepped care model (sequential GSH followed by fCBT for non-responders) is superior compared to directly admitting patients to fCBT when treating clinical anxiety symptoms (baseline score of ≥10 p on GAD-7), assessed at six months after enrollment.
- S2. The cost-effectiveness of stepped care (sequential GSH followed by CBT for non-responders) is better than directing all patients with anxiety symptoms directly to CBT regardless of the waiting time.
- S3. The studied stepped care model (sequential GSH followed by CBT for non-responders) is cost-saving in the long term compared to matched population controls, when direct and indirect health care, social care, employment, and societal costs are controlled.
- S4. Data collected by the Finnish Therapy Navigator, a digital tool to help assess individual needs and symptom profiles for psychotherapy can be used to predict responses to treatment.
- S5. Primary care patients with anxiety symptoms benefit from psychotherapeutic interventions, in terms of reduced risk of developing clinical episodes and reduced total long-term societal costs.
- S6. Longer waiting times for the study treatments are associated with poorer overall long-term outcomes when direct and indirect health care, social care, employment, and societal costs are considered

#### Previous study objectives:

#### Primary hypothesis:

- P1. Longer waiting times for the study interventions are associated with poorer treatment response in anxiety symptoms at the primary measurement point (6 months after enrollment) in both study interventions:
- 1. A stepped care model (sequential guided self-help followed by face-to-face cognitive behavioral therapy [CBT] for non-responders)
- 2. Direct admission to face-to-face CBT

#### Secondary hypotheses:

- S1. The effectiveness of the stepped care model (sequential guided self-help [GSH] followed by face-to-face CBT for non-responders) is better compared to directly admitting patients to CBT regardless of the waiting time when treating clinical anxiety symptoms (baseline score of ≥10 GAD-7), assessed at 6 months after enrollment.
- S2. The cost-effectiveness of stepped care (sequential GSH followed by CBT for non-responders) is better than directing all patients with anxiety symptoms directly to CBT regardless of the waiting time.
- S3. The studied stepped care model (sequential GSH followed by CBT for non-responders) is cost-saving in the long term compared to matched population controls, when direct and indirect health care, social care, employment, and societal costs are controlled.
- S4. Data collected by the Finnish Therapy Navigator, a digital tool to help assess individual needs and symptom profiles for psychotherapy can be used to predict responses to treatment.
- S5. Primary care patients with anxiety symptoms benefit from psychotherapeutic interventions, in terms of reduced risk of developing clinical episodes and reduced total long-term societal costs.

#### Ethics approval required

Ethics approval required

#### Ethics approval(s)

approved 27/06/2024, Helsinki University Hospital (HUS) Regional Committee on Medical Research Ethics (PO BOX 705, 00029, Finland, Helsinki, 00029, Finland; +358 (0)9471 71607; eetinen.toimikunta@hus.fi), ref: HUS/6234/2023

#### Study design

Randomized controlled trial

#### Primary study design

Interventional

#### Study type(s)

Other, Prevention, Treatment, Efficacy

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Anxiety

#### **Interventions**

Participants will be randomized to the following treatment arms (1:1) (performed using the randomization feature of the REDCap application):

1. Stepped care model: guided self-help (GSH, average number of sessions 3) followed by face-to-

face cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT, average number of sessions 7) for non-responders 2. Face-to-face cognitive behavioral therapy (CBT average number of sessions 7)

Both arms will be further randomized to those who begin the intervention:

- 1. Less than 4 weeks after the randomization and
- 2. More than 5 weeks after the randomization

The duration of treatments in the study arms are as follows:

- 1. Sequential treatment of guided self-help and face-to-face CBT (stepped care): approximately 3 months for anxiety
- 2. Face-to-face CBT: approximately 2 months for anxiety

All study arms have a post-treatment measurement point (at the end of treatment). After that, the following follow-up points after randomization are the same for all study arms:

- 1. 4 months after randomization
- 2. 6 months after randomization (primary outcome measurement point)
- 3. 8 months after randomization
- 4. 12 months after randomization
- 5. Follows ups at 2 and 5 years

#### Intervention Type

Behavioural

#### Primary outcome(s)

Anxiety symptoms measured by the GAD-7. The GAD-7 is administered weekly from the beginning of the intervention for 16 weeks and at follow-up timepoints (e.g. 4, 6, 8, and 12 months as well as 2, 5, 10, 15 and 20 years) to enable ITT (intention to treat) -analysis and modeling the symptom change in time.

#### Key secondary outcome(s))

- 1. Psychotropic medication use is measured using patient self-reports at baseline (T0), 4-month follow-up (T3), 6-month follow-up, 8-month (T4), 12-month, 2-year follow-ups, and optional 5, 10, 15, and 20-year follow-ups (T5).
- 2. Employment status is measured using patient self-reports at baseline (T0), 4-month follow-up (T3), 6-month follow-up, 8-month (T4), 12-month, 2-year follow-ups, and optional 5, 10, 15, and 20-year follow-ups (T5).
- 3. Income in the previous year is measured using patient self-reports at baseline (T0) and at 12-month, 2-year follow-ups, and optional 5, 10, 15, and 20-year follow-ups (T5).
- 4. Alcohol use is measured using the Alcohol Use Disorders Identification Test-Concise (AUDIT-C /AUDIT) at baseline (T0), 4-month follow-up (T3), 6-month follow-up, 8-month (T4), 12-month, 2-year follow-ups, and optional 5, 10, 15, and 20-year follow-ups (T5).
- 5. Healthcare visits over the previous 12 months are measured using patient self-reports at baseline (T0) and at, 12-month, 2-year follow-ups, and optional 5, 10, 15, and 20-year follow-ups (T5).
- 6. Severity of depression symptoms is measured using the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9) weekly from the beginning of the intervention for 16 weeks, at post-treatment (T2), 4-month follow-up (T3), 6-month follow-up, 8-month (T4), 12-month, 2-year follow-ups, and optional 5, 10, 15, and 20-year follow-ups (T5).
- 7. Subjective work ability is assessed using patient self-reports at baseline (T0), post-treatment (T2), 4-month follow-up (T3), 6-month follow-up, 8-month (T4), 12-month, 2-year follow-ups, and optional 5, 10, 15, and 20-year follow-ups (T5).

- 8. Perceived social support is measured using the Perceived Social Support Scale-Revised (PSSSR) at baseline (T0), 4-month follow-up (T3), 6-month follow-up, 8-month (T4), 12-month, 2-year follow-ups, and optional 5, 10, 15, and 20-year follow-ups (T5).
- 9. Functional impairment is measured using the Work and Social Adjustment Scale (WSAS) at baseline (T0), 4-month follow-up (T3), 6-month follow-up, 8-month (T4), 12-month, 2-year follow-ups, and optional 5, 10, 15, and 20-year follow-ups (T5).
- 10. Quality of life is measured using the EQ-5D-5L and Euro Health Interview Survey (Euro-HIS) at baseline (T0), 4-month follow up (T3), 6-month follow-up, 8-month (T4), 12-month, 2-year follow-ups, and optional 5, 10, 15, and 20-year follow-ups (T5).
- 11. Number of intervention sessions attended is recorded at post-treatment (T2).
- 12. Patient's experience of the intervention is assessed using a qualitative measure (method not specified) at post-treatment (T2).
- 13. Direct and indirect healthcare, social care, employment, and societal costs are measured using Finnish national registries at T0, T3, T4, T5, and all optional follow-ups.

These outcome measures, combined with data from Finnish national registries, will provide a comprehensive overview of the effectiveness and cost-efficiency of the treatments being studied.

#### Completion date

31/12/2029

# **Eligibility**

#### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Minimum age of 16 years
- 2. Suitable for step 1-2 treatments (GSH and/or CBT intervention) for anxiety in the first assessment
- 3. GAD-7 ≥10 p

#### Participant type(s)

**Patient** 

#### Healthy volunteers allowed

No

#### Age group

Adult

#### Lower age limit

16 years

#### Sex

Αll

#### Key exclusion criteria

General exclusion criteria for step 1-2 treatments (i.e. recommended stepping up):

- 1. Serious suicidal thoughts, plans or any self-harming act or suicidal attempt within the past 2 months
- 2. Ongoing other psychological treatment for depression and/or anxiety

- 3. Cognitive impairment
- 4. Inability to speak, read and write Finnish
- 5. Currently symptomatic psychotic illness or bipolar disorder
- 6. Drug or alcohol dependence

#### Date of first enrolment

16/09/2024

#### Date of final enrolment

31/12/2026

#### Locations

#### Countries of recruitment

Finland

# Study participating centre Wellbeing service county of Pirkanmaa

Finland 33101 Tampere Tampere Finland 33101

# Sponsor information

#### Organisation

Helsinki University Hospital

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/02e8hzf44

# Funder(s)

#### Funder type

Government

#### **Funder Name**

Sosiaali- ja Terveysministeriö

#### Alternative Name(s)

Ministry of Social Affairs and Health, Social- och Hälsovårdsministeriet

#### **Funding Body Type**

Government organisation

#### Funding Body Subtype

National government

#### Location

Finland

### **Results and Publications**

#### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The data will be held by the Helsinki and Uusimaa Hospital District, and it will not be available because of limitations caused by the Finnish data protection legislation, content of consent requested, and research permit.

#### IPD sharing plan summary

Not expected to be made available

#### **Study outputs**

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Participant information sheet			07/10/2024	No	Yes
Participant information sheet	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes