

# A retrospective multi-centre clinical study of a deep learning system in identifying breast cancer through the assessment of mammograms

<b>Submission date</b> 10/01/2022	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 03/02/2022	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 11/02/2025	<b>Condition category</b> Cancer	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Breast cancer is a leading cause of cancer-related mortality among women worldwide, accounting for approximately 600,000 deaths annually.

There is a need for rigorous large-scale studies to assess the performance of artificial intelligence (AI) for the diagnosis of breast cancer from breast scans (mammography). This should be done on diverse cohorts of women across multiple screening sites and on unenriched data representative of a true screening population.

The aim of this study is to evaluate the performance of a novel AI system in detecting breast cancer on diverse cohorts and unenriched data representative of a true screening population.

### Who can participate?

Being a retrospective study, no participants are directly involved in the study, and there will be no effect or change to any participant's care. The study will evaluate the AI system based on its analysis of historical, de-identified cases from study sites where outcomes data (e.g. biopsy, histopathology results, follow-up information) is also collected.

### What does the study involve?

Eligible cases will be presented to the AI system for analysis.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

No benefits or risks of participating are anticipated.

### Where is the study run from?

Kheiron Medical Technologies (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?  
March 2021 to December 2023

Who is funding the study?

The study is funded by an AI Award, awarded to Kheiron Medical Technologies, by the Accelerated Access Collaborative (AAC) in partnership with NHSX and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) (UK)

Who is the main contact?

Dr. Annie Ng  
annie@kheironmed.com

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr Annie Ng

### Contact details

Kheiron Medical Technologies  
2nd Floor, Stylus Building  
112-116 Old Street  
London  
United Kingdom  
EC1V 9BG  
+44 (0)7379467701  
annie@kheironmed.com

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr Nisha Sharma

### Contact details

St James's University Hospital  
Beckett Street  
Leeds  
United Kingdom  
LS9 7TF  
+44 (0)1132433144  
nisha.sharma2@nhs.net

## Additional identifiers

Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

## **Integrated Research Application System (IRAS)**

304086

## **ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)**

Nil known

## **Protocol serial number**

KMT004, IRAS 304086, CPMS 50959

# **Study information**

## **Scientific Title**

A retrospective multi-centre clinical study of a novel medical technology solution in the assessment of mammography images

## **Acronym**

ARIES

## **Study objectives**

The primary aim of this study is to evaluate the performance of Kheiron's software, Mia, in detecting malignancy to determine its effectiveness to serve as decision support in breast screening in a multi-centre setting.

Assessing the standalone behaviour of Mia characterises the contribution it could have as an independent reader in the overall double reading workflow. Assessing simulated double reading performance with Mia in various workflows enables the evaluation of Mia as an independent reader within various double reading configurations and workflows in breast screening.

## **Ethics approval required**

Ethics approval not required

## **Ethics approval(s)**

Ethics approval not required as the research is limited to the use of previously collected, pseudonymised data.

## **Study design**

Retrospective multi-centre clinical study of a CE marked medical device

## **Primary study design**

Other

## **Study type(s)**

Screening

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Decision support in breast cancer screening

## **Interventions**

The intervention is the sponsor's deep learning software (Mia), assessed on de-identified randomised retrospective breast screening cases and outcomes. Comparison is made against the control arm of existing reference outcomes within the retrospective dataset where the deep learning software was not in use.

### **Intervention Type**

Device

### **Phase**

Not Applicable

### **Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)**

Mia

### **Primary outcome(s)**

Sensitivity of the standalone case-wise malignancy detection performance of Mia, measured as the number of positive cases recalled divided by the total number of positive cases, over the full study dataset time period. Specificity of the standalone case-wise malignancy detection performance of Mia, measured as the number of confirmed negative cases not recalled divided by the total number of confirmed negative cases, over the full dataset time period.

### **Key secondary outcome(s)**

Current secondary outcome measures as if 17/07/2023:

1. Measurement of relevant clinical metrics for Mia standalone and descriptives of cancer subtypes that Mia picks up to understand Mia's contribution to double reading.
2. Comparison of Mia standalone and the historical first reader to understand differences in how their performance contributes to double reading.
3. Measurement of relevant clinical metrics, including resource/workload savings, and descriptives of cancer subtypes picked up in double reading workflows that incorporate Mia as an independent reader, to understand the performance of each workflow and to inform future health economic assessments.
4. Comparison of double reading workflows with and without Mia on clinically relevant metrics to understand differences in performance.
5. Comparison of double reading workflows with Mia against UK national guidelines to assess if guidelines thresholds would be met if Mia was used in double reading.
6. Secondary outcomes will also include performance stratified by region/site and ethnicity to confirm generalisability.

---

Previous secondary outcome measures:

1. Recall rate, negative flag rate, cancer detection rate, sensitivity, specificity, interval cancer rate, positive predictive value, percentage of interval cancers recalled, area under the receiver operating characteristic curve will be measured for Mia's standalone performance over the study dataset time period and a selected one year period with the most complete data
2. Recall rate, cancer detection rate, sensitivity, specificity, interval cancer rate, positive predictive value, arbitration rate will be measured for various simulated double reading workflows with Mia over the study dataset time period and a selected one year period with the

most complete data

3. Non-inferiority and superiority and associated absolute and relative differences between Mia standalone against the historical first reader will be measured for cancer detection rate, sensitivity, specificity, for either the study dataset time period or a selected one year period with the most complete data

4. Non-inferiority and superiority and associated absolute and relative differences between simulated double reading with Mia against historical double reading will be measured for cancer detection rate, sensitivity, specificity, recall rate, for either the study dataset time period or a selected one year period with the most complete data

### **Completion date**

31/12/2023

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Female participants

2. Participants attending for breast screening purposes (normal and opportunistic screening)\*

3. Participants for whom a 2D FFDM standard four-view mammography examination was acquired (MLO-R, CC-R, MLO-L, CC-L)

\* Includes: 1) early recall cases (e.g. participants brought back for screening earlier than the established screening interval); and 2) participants of UK age extension trial (AgeX).

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

### **Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

### **Age group**

Adult

### **Sex**

Female

### **Key exclusion criteria**

Does not meet inclusion criteria

### **Date of first enrolment**

31/01/2022

### **Date of final enrolment**

30/06/2023

## **Locations**

### **Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

**Study participating centre****North London Breast Screening Programme (Royal Free London NHS Foundation Trust)**

Pond Street  
Hampstead  
London  
United Kingdom  
NW3 2QG

**Study participating centre****Gateshead Breast Screening Unit**

Queen Elizabeth Hospital  
Shrieff Hill  
Gateshead  
United Kingdom  
NE9 6SX

**Study participating centre****North East Devon Breast Screening Programme**

Royal Devon and Exeter NHS Hospital Foundation Trust  
Barrack Road  
Exeter  
United Kingdom  
EX2 5DW

## Sponsor information

**Organisation**

Kheiron Medical Technologies (United Kingdom)

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/01r3ct535>

## Funder(s)

**Funder type**

Government

**Funder Name**

Accelerated Access Collaborative (AAC) in partnership with NHSx and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)

### Funder Name

Kheiron Medical Technologies

## Results and Publications

### Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated and analysed during the current study will be available upon request from [science@kheironmed.com](mailto:science@kheironmed.com). Data will be shared according to a data-sharing plan.

### IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Participant information sheet</a>	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes