

# Cathasept for prevention of catheter colonisation

<b>Submission date</b> 23/03/2015	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 02/04/2015	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 15/03/2016	<b>Condition category</b> Infections and Infestations	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

People whose kidneys fail rely on dialysis machines (usually three times weekly) to clean their blood from waste products. Dialysis treatment requires access to the patient's circulation, which is sometimes achieved by inserting a plastic tube (catheter) into a major vein in the body. Two major complications are associated with the use of catheters: catheter occlusion due to clot formation inside or outside the catheter lumen, and infection that can lead to serious blood poisoning (bloodstream infection), which is a major cause of death in this group of patients. Usually an anticoagulant solution called Heparin is instilled and locked inside the catheter between dialysis sessions to prevent catheter occlusion. Research has shown that Heparin encourages bacterial growth on the catheter's surface and may contribute to infection. A lock solution that prevents catheter occlusion and infection would be ideal to address the two major complications associated with catheters use. Cathasept lock solution has anticoagulant and anti-microbial effects. The aim of this study is to assess the effectiveness of Cathasept line lock to reduce microbial colonization of hemodialysis catheters.

### Who can participate?

People receiving dialysis treatment at four dialysis centers in the Yorkshire region in the UK.

### What does the study involve?

Patients who were deemed eligible and provided informed consent, were randomly assigned to either continue Heparin locks or to receive Cathasept locks. Participants were regularly checked for signs of infection and were tested weekly for catheter infection by obtaining 1 ml of blood through the catheter lumens and sent for microbial culture.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Benefits include reduction in catheter-related infections with lower hospital admissions, improved general wellbeing, and reduced cost. However, Cathasept may not be as effective an anticoagulant as Heparin, which may result in higher incidence of catheter occlusion.

### Where is the study run from?

Four dialysis centers in the Yorkshire region in the UK

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?  
August 2006 to October 2008

Who is funding the study?  
Yorkshire Kidney Research Fund (UK)

Who is the main contact?  
Dr Muhammad Kanaa  
muhammad.kanaa@dbh.nhs.uk

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Dr Muhammad Kanaa

**Contact details**  
Department of Renal Medicine  
Doncaster Royal Infirmary  
Armthorpe Road  
Doncaster  
United Kingdom  
DN2 5LT  
+44 (0)1302 366666 ext 4750  
muhammad.kanaa@dbh.nhs.uk

## Additional identifiers

**Protocol serial number**  
337.23

## Study information

**Scientific Title**  
Multi-center, prospective, randomised, controlled study to assess the efficacy of Cathasept line lock to reduce microbial colonization of tunneled hemodialysis catheters

**Study objectives**  
Null hypothesis: There is no statistically significant difference in the percentage of cases with colonised catheters between the Cathasept group and the heparin group.

**Ethics approval required**  
Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**  
Leeds (West) Medical Research Ethics Committee, 07/03/2006, ref: 05/Q1205/241

**Study design**

Multi-center prospective randomised controlled study

## **Primary study design**

Interventional

## **Study type(s)**

Prevention

## **Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Microbial colonisation of tunnelled haemodialysis catheters

## **Interventions**

Eligible participants were randomly assigned to have their catheter locked with standard Heparin 5000 units/ml (control group) or with Cathasept (intervention group). Participants underwent weekly culture of 1 ml of blood aspirated from their catheters to check for microbial colonisation and were monitored for signs of infection and catheter dysfunction. Participants were followed up until their catheter was removed or for 8 months if the catheter was not removed.

## **Intervention Type**

Device

## **Primary outcome(s)**

Incidence rate of clinically significant microbial colonization of t-HDC per 1000 catheter-days, defined as TCQBC yielding  $\geq 1000$  CFU/ml of bacteria or yeast.

## **Key secondary outcome(s)**

1. Incidence rate of CRBSI
2. Number of dialysis sessions where the prescribed blood flow was achieved
3. Incidence rate of interventions to improve catheter patency including intra-catheter lock or infusion of thrombolytic agents
4. Difference between both groups for hemoglobin, hs-CRP, serum ferritin, Kt/V, and iron and erythropoietin-stimulating requirements. Kt/V is a measurement used to quantify dialysis treatment adequacy (K is the dialyzer clearance of urea, t is the time spent on dialysis that day and not the prescribed time on dialysis, and V is the volume of distribution of urea which is approximately equal to total body water).

## **Completion date**

31/10/2008

# **Eligibility**

## **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Able to provide informed consent
2. History of established renal failure (ERF)
3. Starting or undergoing hemodialysis using a t-HDC in an internal jugular or subclavian vein

## **Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

**Age group**

Adult

**Sex**

All

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Any medical, social or psychological condition that would compromise participation and follow-up in the study
2. Females who were pregnant or lactating
3. Patients who had a tunneled catheter with an expected duration of placement or use of less than 60 days
4. Patients enrolled in another clinical study, or had participated in the study
5. Life expectancy of less than 3 months
6. Patients with existing tunneled central venous catheters who had positive blood cultures or received antimicrobial therapy, including antibiotic lock solution and/or antimicrobial catheters, for documented or suspected CRBSI within 14 days prior to enrolment
7. Evidence of systemic infection or catheter exit site infection at the time of enrolment
8. Patients with colonized catheters (screening quantitative through catheter blood cultures (QTCBC) yielding >20 cfu/ml bacteria or yeasts)
9. Patients whose catheters demonstrated signs of dysfunction in two or more dialysis sessions during the last two weeks prior to enrolment. These signs were defined as:
  - 9.1. Blood flow rate < 200 ml/min, or prescribed blood flow rate was not achieved, OR
  - 9.2. Elevated venous pressure (>250 mmHg), or negative arterial pressure of greater than (-250 mmHg), OR
  - 9.3. Line reversal (using the arterial port to aspirate and the venous port to return blood)
10. A known sensitivity to heparin, disodium EDTA, or natural rubber latex

**Date of first enrolment**

01/08/2006

**Date of final enrolment**

31/08/2008

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

**Study participating centre**

**Leeds Teaching Hospitals NHS Trust**

United Kingdom

LS9 7TF

**Study participating centre**  
**Bradford Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**  
United Kingdom  
BD5 0NA

**Study participating centre**  
**York Teaching Hospital NHS Foundation Trust**  
United Kingdom  
YO31 8HE

**Study participating centre**  
**Hull and East Yorkshire Hospitals NHS Trust and Hull York Medical School**  
United Kingdom  
HU3 2JZ

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**  
Tyco Healthcare Group LP d/b/a Covidien (USA)

**ROR**  
<https://ror.org/00grd1h17>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**  
Charity

**Funder Name**  
Yorkshire Kidney Research Fund

## **Results and Publications**

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

## IPD sharing plan summary

Available on request

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/12/2015		Yes	No
<a href="#">Participant information sheet</a>	Participant information sheet	11/11/2025	11/11/2025	No	Yes