

# The effectiveness of a novel osseous densification approach on implants' primary and secondary stability: a clinical trial

<b>Submission date</b> 24/03/2024	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 02/04/2024	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 19/06/2024	<b>Condition category</b> Oral Health	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Primary stability in dental implants is crucial for successful bone integration, and factors like the surgical procedure and bone density play key roles in achieving it. The size of the hole drilled, the design of the implant, and the amount of pressure on the bone all affect how stable the implant is.

Insertion torque and the patient's bone density also impact how well the implant stabilizes. Higher insertion torque leads to better stability compared to lower values. Where the jawbone is less dense there may be less contact between the bone and the implant, which can reduce stability. Ensuring there's enough bone during implant preparation is crucial for long-term stability. Secondary stability, which develops over time as the bone remodels around the implant, is also important. A new drilling method called osseodensification increases bone density around the implant, improves primary stability, reduces implant movement during integration and allows for immediate loading in some cases. The aim of this study is to evaluate the effectiveness of osseodensification in low-density bone.

### Who can participate?

Patients over the age of 18 years who require at least two dental implants in the upper jaw

### What does the study involve?

In order to compare osseodensification and conventional drilling, implants were placed side by side with both techniques and assessed at three different times: at implant placement, 6 months after implant placement, and 1-year follow-up.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Osseodensification helps increase bone density and boosts the initial stability of the implant. This is important for reducing movements of the implant during integration in low-density bone. Another benefit is that it reduces the size of the holes made during drilling when the drills are taken out.

Where is the study run from?

University Institute of Health Sciences - IUCS Portugal in CESPU - Famalicão clinical unit (Portugal)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

January 2019 to December 2023

Who is funding the study?

Infante da Camara Dental Institute (Portugal)

Who is the main contact?

Marco Infante da Câmara DDS, MsC, PhD

m\_infante2@hotmail.com

marco.camara@iucs.cespu.pt

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Public, Scientific

### Contact name

Prof Marco Camara

### ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0002-9551-5407>

### Contact details

Rua Correia de Sá

Porto

Portugal

4150-229

+351 (0)914112775

marco.camara@iucs.cespu.pt

### Type(s)

Principal investigator

### Contact name

Dr João Fontes Pereira

### ORCID ID

<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2449-6293>

### Contact details

Rua Central de Gandra

Gandra

Portugal

4585-116

+351 (0)967694939

joao.pereira@iucs.cespu.pt

# Additional identifiers

## Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

Nil known

## ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)

Nil known

## Protocol serial number

Nil known

# Study information

## Scientific Title

The effectiveness of osseodensification drilling versus conventional surgical technique on implant stability: a clinical trial

## Acronym

Osseodensification

## Study objectives

The aim of this study is to evaluate osseodensification effectiveness in low-density bone and assess insertion torque and resonance frequency analysis at three different times:

1. On the day of implant placement (T1)
2. 6 months after implant placement (T2)
3. 1-year follow-up (T3)

Osseodensification provides less invasive surgeries with a lower pain perception and less post-operative morbidity.

## Ethics approval required

Ethics approval required

## Ethics approval(s)

approved 05/02/2019, CESPU Ethics Committee (Rua Central de Gandra, Gandra, 4585-116, Portugal; +351 (0)224 157 100; sec.ce@cespu.pt), ref: 02/CE-IUCS/2019

## Study design

Clinical trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Study type(s)

Quality of life

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Dental implant stability in low density bone

## Interventions

Osseodensification and conventional drilling in dental implant surgery in type IV bone.

All patients underwent a preliminary assessment that included a careful analysis of their medical and dental histories and a detailed clinical examination. Patients were thoroughly informed, by means of oral and written explanations, about the purpose and procedures of the study, and informed consent was obtained from all participants.

In order to perform a comparison between osseodensification and subtractive conventional drilling, implants were placed side by side with both techniques to establish a comparison in resonance frequency analysis (RFA) and torque values matched for age, gender and smoking habit.

Insertion torque (IT) and resonance frequency analysis were carried out at three different times: i) surgical phase of implant placement (T1); ii) 6 months after implant placement (T2); iii) 1-year follow-up (T3).

### **Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

### **Primary outcome(s)**

Insertion torque was measured at T1 using a manual torque wrench (Straumann®) and the implant stability quotient (ISQ) was registered as the average of the buccal, lingual, mesial and distal readings using the Osstell® ISQ device (Osstell, W&H, Gothenburg, Sweden)

### **Key secondary outcome(s)**

ISQ measured using the Osstell® ISQ device at 6 months and 1 year after surgery

### **Completion date**

16/12/2023

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

1. At least 18 years old
2. Have healed edentulous sites on the posterior maxillae region with at least 3 months postextraction period
3. Need to receive at least two dental implants
4. Have sufficient residual bone volume for implant placement without the need for bone augmentation where the minimum ridge height and width should be  $\geq 8$  and  $\geq 6$  mm, respectively

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

### **Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

### **Age group**

Adult

### **Lower age limit**

18 years

**Upper age limit**

70 years

**Sex**

All

**Total final enrolment**

90

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Alcoholism
2. Smoking
3. Drug abuse
4. Diabetes
5. Heart disease
6. Bleeding disorders
7. Weakened immune systems
8. Radiation exposure
9. Past or ongoing use of steroids or bisphosphonates
10. Previous bone regenerative or augmentation procedures

**Date of first enrolment**

06/02/2019

**Date of final enrolment**

10/03/2019

**Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

Portugal

**Study participating centre**

**University Institute of Health Sciences - IUCS**

Rua Central de Gandra

Gandra

Portugal

4585-116

**Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

Infante da Camara Dental Institute

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Research organisation

## Funder Name

Infante da Camara Dental Institute

# Results and Publications

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during the current study are stored in a non-publicly available repository (<https://repositorio.cespu.pt/>)

## IPD sharing plan summary

Stored in non-publicly available repository

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>		15/05/2024	19/06/2024	Yes	No