

# Small volume resuscitation with albumin in intensive care

<b>Submission date</b> 06/11/2017	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 19/12/2017	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 11/04/2019	<b>Condition category</b> Circulatory System	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Administration of fluids directly into a vein is commonly used to treat low blood pressure in critically ill patients. The aim of such so-called fluid resuscitation is to increase the circulating blood volume to improve the blood flow to body organs. Prolonged fluid resuscitation may, however, lead to fluid accumulation in the tissues, which contributes to organ damage and even increased mortality. Albumin is a natural part of human blood with a high ability to bind water. In the United Kingdom, two different albumin-containing solutions are widely and routinely used for fluid resuscitation; a 5% solution (containing 50 mg albumin per ml) and a concentrated 20% solution (containing 200 mg albumin per ml). Theoretically, the concentrated 20% albumin solution can accomplish the same volume expansion effect as the 5% solution using only one fifth of the administered volume. A reduced volume of fluid administered may ultimately attenuate the severity of organ damage, expedite recovery from the critical illness and reduce mortality. The aim of this study is to test whether fluid resuscitation with 20% albumin solution reduces the accumulation of fluid and organ damage in critically ill patients as compared to fluid resuscitation with the 5% albumin solution.

### Who can participate?

Adults aged 18 and older who are in the critical care.

### What does the study involve?

Participants in intensive care who are in need of intravenous fluid resuscitation are randomly allocated to receiving either 20% or 5% human albumin solution as their resuscitation fluid for 48 hours from the time of randomisation. The volume of fluid and its rate of delivery will be at the discretion of the treating physician. All other care and interventions are provided according to local policy and the discretion of the treating physician. Participants are followed throughout their hospital stay.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Participants may benefit from improvements in their symptoms. There are no specific risks to taking part aside from patients providing additional blood samples (approx 12ml per fluid challenge delivered) and those incumbent with human albumin solution. Albumin solutions have been used for resuscitation since the 1940s. An investigation of the safety of albumin solutions

showed that between 1998 and 2000, approximately 107 units of such albumin solutions were administered worldwide. Adverse effects that were directly associated with albumin were an extremely rare event during this observation period. There are, however, more recent reports that the use of (20%) albumin is associated with increased mortality for patients with traumatic brain injury. Accordingly, patients with traumatic brain injury will be excluded from the study. Although albumin is prepared from pooled plasma, albumin preparations currently available are considered to be non-allergenic due to the manufacturing process.

Where is the study run from?

1. Manchester Royal Infirmary (UK)
2. Austin Hospital (Australia)
3. Flinders Medical Centre (Australia)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

September 2015 to December 2017

Who is funding the study?

CSL Behring UK Limited (UK)

Who is the main contact?

Dr Jonathan Bannard-Smith  
j.bannardsmith@cmft.nhs.uk

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Public

### Contact name

Dr Jonathan Bannard-Smith

### Contact details

Department of Critical Care  
2nd Floor Manchester Royal Infirmary  
Oxford Road  
Manchester  
United Kingdom  
M13 9WL  
+44 1612 764712  
j.bannardsmith@cmft.nhs.uk

## Additional identifiers

Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)

2016-001940-20

Protocol serial number

32455; ACTRN12615000349549

## Study information

**Scientific Title**

A pilot, randomised, unblinded, feasibility, safety and biochemical and physiological efficacy study of 20% versus 5% human albumin solution for fluid bolus therapy in critically ill adults

**Acronym**

SWIPE

**Study objectives**

The aim of this study is to test whether fluid resuscitation with 20% albumin solution reduces the accumulation of fluid and organ damage in critically ill patients as compared to fluid resuscitation with the 5% albumin solution.

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

Yorkshire & The Humber - Sheffield Research Ethics Committee, 11/10/2016, ref: 16/YH/0349

**Study design**

Randomised; Both; Design type: Treatment, Drug, Not Specified

**Primary study design**

Interventional

**Study type(s)**

Treatment

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Specialty: Critical care, Primary sub-specialty: Critical Care; UKCRC code/ Disease: Generic Health Relevance/ No specific disease

**Interventions**

In this prospective physiological feasibility study adult patients in intensive care who are in need of intravenous fluid resuscitation are randomly allocated to receiving either 20% or 5% human albumin solution as their resuscitation fluid for 48 hours from the time of randomisation. The volume of fluid and its rate of delivery will be at the discretion of the treating physician. All other care and interventions will be provided according to local policy and the discretion of the treating physician.

Participants are followed through their stay in hospital measuring how much fluid they receive and other data concerning vital organ function including the results of additional blood tests and their eventual outcomes.

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Phase**

Phase IV

**Primary outcome(s)**

Volume of resuscitation fluid delivered is measured using standard nursing bedside assessment and documentation of all fluid administered at 48 hours.

### **Key secondary outcome(s)**

1. The cumulative fluid balance is measured using standard nursing bedside assessment and documentation after 48 hours in ICU
2. The amount of vasoactive medication given over the first 4 hours after a fluid bolus and over the first 48 hours in ICU is measured using standard nursing bedside assessment and documentation.
3. The total amount of fluids given over the first 4 hours after a fluid bolus, daily and over the first 48 hours in ICU is measured using standard nursing bedside assessment and documentation.
4. The relative change in haemodynamic variables and blood gas results over the first 4 hours after a fluid bolus is measured using standard data documented on the patients ICU chart just prior to randomisation and then at 1, 2 and 4 hours following.
5. The relative change between baseline and peak creatinine in the first 48 hours after randomization is measured using our institution's standard renal blood profiles of samples taken just prior to randomisation, and also at 24 and 48 hours later.

### **Completion date**

31/12/2017

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Admitted to the Department of Intensive Care, Austin Hospital for less than 24 hours or to the Intensive and Critical Care Department of the Flinders Medical Centre for less than 24 hours
2. Age 18 years or greater
3. Need for fluid bolus as determined by the treating clinician
4. Presence of one or more of the following physiological states: systolic BP <90 mmHg, or MAP <65 mmHg, or increasing need for vasopressor drug infusion or pulse pressure variation >12 % or stroke volume variation >12%, or Cardiac index <2.2 L/min/m<sup>2</sup> or heart rate >100 or urinary output <20 ml/hr or either rising lactate levels or lactate levels >2 mmol/L or capillary refill time >3 seconds or central venous pressure <8 mmHg

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

### **Healthy volunteers allowed**

No

### **Age group**

Adult

### **Lower age limit**

18 years

### **Sex**

All

### **Total final enrolment**

321

**Key exclusion criteria**

1. Confirmed or suspected pregnancy
2. Patients with traumatic brain injury
3. Active bleeding
4. Haemoglobin level <70 g/L
5. People who refuse blood products
6. Patients in whom death is considered imminent (within 24 hours)

**Date of first enrolment**

01/01/2017

**Date of final enrolment**

09/03/2017

**Locations****Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

Australia

**Study participating centre****Manchester Royal Infirmary**

Central Manchester University Hospitals  
Oxford Road  
Manchester  
United Kingdom  
M13 9WL

**Study participating centre****Austin Hospital**

145 Studley Road  
Heidelberg  
Australia  
VIC 3084

**Study participating centre****Flinders Medical Centre**

Flinders Drive  
Bedford Park  
Adelaide  
Australia  
SA 5042

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

Central Manchester University Hoapitals NHS Foundation Trust

## ROR

<https://ror.org/00he80998>

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Industry

## Funder Name

CSL Behring UK Limited

## Funder Name

Austin Medical Research Foundation

## Alternative Name(s)

AMRF

## Funding Body Type

Private sector organisation

## Funding Body Subtype

Trusts, charities, foundations (both public and private)

## Location

Australia

# Results and Publications

## Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

The datasets generated during and/or analysed during the current study are not expected to be made available due to

1. The physiological findings will be of a pilot investigation in nature.

2. The implications of the findings are uncertain beyond those of the main study and only aim to assist clinicians' in perhaps understating more clearly possible impact behind differences in fluid choice that might become apparent from the findings of the study.

### IPD sharing plan summary

Not expected to be made available

### Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	01/11/2018	11/04/2019	Yes	No
<a href="#">HRA research summary</a>			28/06/2023	No	No
<a href="#">Protocol file</a>	version V2.2	18/05/2016	19/12/2017	No	No