

# Comparison of intensive and standard case management programmes for psychotic patients

<b>Submission date</b> 23/01/2004	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered
<b>Registration date</b> 23/01/2004	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Last Edited</b> 20/11/2009	<b>Condition category</b> Mental and Behavioural Disorders	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Results
		<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data

**Plain English summary of protocol**  
Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

**Type(s)**  
Scientific

**Contact name**  
Prof Francis Creed

**Contact details**  
Department of Psychiatry  
Manchester Royal Infirmary  
Oxford Road  
Manchester  
United Kingdom  
M13 9WL  
+44 (0)161 276 5331  
Francis.Creed@man.ac.uk

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS number**

**IRAS number**

**ClinicalTrials.gov number**

**Secondary identifying numbers**

# Study information

## Scientific Title

## Acronym

UK700 study

## Study objectives

Case management has increasingly been the recommended approach to care for the severely mentally ill as reliance on mental hospitals has diminished. An influential series of studies in the USA suggested that intensive case management, with case loads of 1:10-15, was particularly effective with the most severe patients, reducing hospitalisation and improving aspects of outcome. Despite equivocal results from replication studies in the UK and Europe this approach is becoming accepted policy. In this study we carried out a large multicentre investigation which was designed to test the impact of reduced case loads.

The aim of this study was to compare two levels of intensity of case management for patients with psychotic illnesses. Firstly to see if intensive case management reduces hospitalisation and costs. Secondly, to assess which levels of case management are appropriate for which levels of disability in terms of cost and outcome.

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Not provided at time of registration

## Study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Other

## Study type(s)

Other

## Participant information sheet

## Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Schizophrenia and other psychoses

## Interventions

Eligible patients were randomly allocated to standard case management (case loads 1:30-35) or intensive case management (case loads 1:10-15).  
4 mental health centres in England, 3 in Inner London and 1 in Manchester.

**Intervention Type**

Other

**Phase**

Not Applicable

**Primary outcome measure**

Clinical symptoms and social functioning were measured at baseline, one years and two years. Hospital use was assessed at two years with subgroup analyses for Afro-Caribbean and the most disabled patients. A range of secondary outcomes based on clinical and social functioning was also assessed.

**Secondary outcome measures**

Not provided at time of registration

**Overall study start date**

30/09/1994

**Completion date**

30/07/1998

**Eligibility****Key inclusion criteria**

708 psychotic patients with histories of repeated hospital admission.

**Participant type(s)**

Patient

**Age group**

Not Specified

**Sex**

Both

**Target number of participants**

708

**Key exclusion criteria**

Does not match inclusion criteria

**Date of first enrolment**

30/09/1994

**Date of final enrolment**

30/07/1998

# Locations

## Countries of recruitment

England

United Kingdom

## Study participating centre

Department of Psychiatry

Manchester

United Kingdom

M13 9WL

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

Record Provided by the NHS R&D 'Time-Limited' National Programme Register - Department of Health (UK)

## Sponsor details

The Department of Health

Richmond House

79 Whitehall

London

United Kingdom

SW1A 2NL

## Sponsor type

Government

## Website

<http://www.doh.gov.uk>

# Funder(s)

## Funder type

Government

## Funder Name

NHS Mental Health National Research and Development Programme (UK)

# Results and Publications

Publication and dissemination plan  
Not provided at time of registration

Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary  
Not provided at time of registration

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
<a href="#">Results article</a>	results	26/06/1999		Yes	No