

Sugar or Salt (SOS) Trial: comparing two current treatments for patients with a brain injury

Submission date 09/04/2019	Recruitment status No longer recruiting	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Protocol
Registration date 16/04/2019	Overall study status Ongoing	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
Last Edited 08/01/2026	Condition category Injury, Occupational Diseases, Poisoning	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

Plain English summary of protocol

Background and study aims

Over one million people a year suffer injuries to their heads which require them to go to hospital. The most severe injuries often result in significant brain swelling. If left untreated, this swelling causes the pressure inside the head to increase, compressing the brain and causing further brain damage. The main treatments used for severe brain swelling involve placing the patient into an artificial coma (to rest the brain), giving drugs (to reduce brain swelling) or brain surgery (to release the pressure). Even with current treatments delivered in intensive care, over half of people with severe brain injury die or are left with severe brain damage. To improve outcomes for patients, doctors need to know the best treatments for severe brain swelling after head injuries. The two main drugs that are currently used to treat brain swelling are hypertonic saline (a strong salt solution) and mannitol (a sugary solution). Both of these drugs work by reducing brain swelling which helps to reduce pressure on the brain. Currently, it is not known which drug is the most effective treatment. Both drugs have undesirable side effects (hypertonic saline causes an imbalance of salts in the blood and mannitol can cause kidney failure). To deliver the best treatment doctors need to know which is most the safest and most effective. This study aims to work out which is the safest and most effective drug to treat the swelling of the brain that occurs after severe trauma to the head.

Who can participate?

Patients aged 16 or over admitted to an intensive care unit with a traumatic brain injury (an injury to the brain which occurs after trauma to the head)

What does the study involve?

Participants are randomly allocated to receive either the salty solution (hypertonic saline) or the sugary solution (mannitol). The study compares how effective the different drugs are at reducing the pressure on the brain. It also assesses which was better at helping the patient to recovery and what the side effects of treatment were. The study team keeps in contact with patients for 12 months after the study to check on how well they have recovered over time. Researchers also calculate how much each treatment costs and compare this to how beneficial they were.

What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

Doctors do not know which of the two treatments is best, and that is why we are conducting this research. The researchers therefore cannot promise any direct benefits as a result of taking part in this study. However, it is hoped that the research will provide benefit to future patients who have a severe brain injury, as it will help doctors to know which is the best treatment to give. The risk of physical harm from taking part in the study is not considered to be any higher than the risks of standard clinical care, because the study is testing two existing treatments rather than a new treatment. Because the study involves completing questionnaires, there is a risk that participants may find it upsetting to answer some questions about their recovery. Trained research staff are available to talk to participants about any such feelings and can offer to put them in contact with professional services if this would be helpful.

Where is the study being run from?

Queen Elizabeth Hospital - University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust (UK)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

June 2019 to November 2026

Who is funding the study?

National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Health Technology Assessment (HTA) Programme (UK)

Who is the main contact?

University of Warwick study team
sostrial@warwick.ac.uk

Contact information

Type(s)

Scientific

Contact name

Mr Angelos Kolias

Contact details

Addenbrooke's Hospital & University of Cambridge, Hills Road
Cambridge
United Kingdom
CB2 0QQ
+44 (0)1223 245151
ak721@cam.ac.uk

Type(s)

Public

Contact name

Dr Hannah Noordali

Contact details

Warwick Clinical Trials Unit
University of Warwick

Gibbet Hill Road
Coventry
United Kingdom
CV4 7AL
+44 (0)2476150478
sostrial@warwick.ac.uk

Additional identifiers

Clinical Trials Information System (CTIS)
2019-001688-66

Integrated Research Application System (IRAS)
260350

ClinicalTrials.gov (NCT)
Nil known

Protocol serial number
17/120/01

Study information

Scientific Title
Sugar or Salt (SOS) Trial: hyperosmolar therapy in traumatic brain injury

Acronym
SOS

Study objectives
The primary hypothesis is that hypertonic saline is more effective than mannitol in the management of raised ICP after severe TBI through improving clinical outcomes and cost-effectiveness.

Ethics approval required
Ethics approval required

Ethics approval(s)
approved 09/09/2019, East of England – Essex Research Ethics Committee (The Old Chapel, Royal Standard Place, Nottingham, NG1 6FS, United Kingdom; +44 (0)207 104 8115; essex.rec@hra.nhs.uk), ref: 19/EE/0228

Study design
Multicentre open-label randomized controlled clinical and cost-effectiveness trial with an internal pilot

Primary study design
Interventional

Study type(s)

Treatment

Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Traumatic brain injury

Interventions

Current interventions as of 10/06/2019:

A simple and secure, web-based and allocation concealed randomisation system will be used. Randomisation will be stratified by site and predicted probability of 6-month unfavourable outcome. This predicted probability will be calculated using age, pupillary response and documented Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) motor score at intubation using the IMPACT calculator (Steyerberg et al, 2008).

Patients will be randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive intravenous boluses of either 2 ml/kg 20% mannitol or 2 ml/kg hypertonic saline (or equivalent osmolar dose using concentration used locally by participating study centres).

If intracranial pressure (ICP) remains higher than 20mmHg, boluses of each treatment can be repeated until serum sodium is >155 mmol/L. If there is a second spike in ICP over 20 mmHg then the allocated IMP should continue to be used.

Trial treatment will continue until therapeutic targets have been met. The total duration of follow-up for both treatment arms will be 12 months.

Previous interventions:

A simple and secure, web-based and allocation concealed randomisation system will be used. Randomisation will be stratified by site and predicted probability of 6-month unfavourable outcome. This predicted probability will be calculated using age, pupillary response and documented Glasgow Coma Scale (GCS) motor score at intubation using the IMPACT calculator (Steyerberg et al, 2008).

Patients will be randomized in a 1:1 ratio to receive intravenous boluses of either 2 ml/kg 20% mannitol or 2 ml/kg hypertonic saline (or equivalent osmolar dose using concentration used locally by participating study centres).

If intracranial pressure (ICP) remains high, boluses of each treatment can be repeated until either ICP is less than 20 mmHg or serum sodium is >155 mmol/L or osmolarity is >320 mosmol /L. If there is a second spike in ICP over 20 mmHg then the allocated IMP should continue to be used.

Trial treatment will continue until therapeutic targets have been met. The total duration of follow-up for both treatment arms will be 12 months.

Intervention Type

Drug

Phase

Phase III

Drug/device/biological/vaccine name(s)

Mannitol, hypertonic saline

Primary outcome(s)

Neurological outcome measured by patient/relative/clinician completion of the Extended Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS-E) questionnaire at 6 months

Key secondary outcome(s)

1. Intracranial pressure (ICP) control recorded continuously or at regular intervals from ICP bolt readings during the period of monitoring on ICU
2. Progression to stage 3 therapies (i.e. any use of additional treatments e.g. barbiturate coma, decompressive craniectomy, hypothermia, CSF drainage) recorded from the patient's medical records during their ICU stay
3. Which stage 3 therapies were required, recorded from the patient's medical notes during their ICU stay
4. Organ support requirements during ICU recorded from the patient's medical records, or through data linkage, according to the Critical Care Minimum Data Set definitions
5. ICU length of stay obtained from hospital records and through data linkage
6. Hospital length of stay obtained from hospital records and through data linkage
7. Discharge location obtained from hospital records and through data linkage
8. Longer term neurological outcomes measured using the modified Oxford Handicap Score (mOHS) completed by the research or clinical team at hospital discharge, and the Extended Glasgow Outcome Scale (GOS-E) completed by the patient/relative/clinician at 12 months
9. Survival measured from the patient's medical records at hospital discharge, 3 months, 6 months and 12 months
10. Health-related quality of life measured using the EQ-5D-5L at hospital discharge, 3 months, 6 months and 12 months post-TBI, completed by the patient/relative/clinician
11. Resource use collected from hospital records and through data linkage for the patient's duration of hospital stay and up to 12 months post-TBI
12. Serious adverse events recorded from the time that the patient is randomised through and including 28 calendar days after the last administration of IMP

Completion date

30/11/2026

Eligibility**Key inclusion criteria**

1. Age 16 years or over
2. Admission to the ICU following traumatic brain injury
3. ICP >20 mmHg for more than 5 minutes despite stage 1 procedures
4. <10 days from initial head injury
5. Abnormal CT scan consistent with traumatic brain injury

Participant type(s)

Patient

Healthy volunteers allowed

No

Age group

Mixed

Lower age limit

16 years

Upper age limit

100 years

Sex

All

Total final enrolment

469

Key exclusion criteria

Current participant exclusion criteria as of 21/12/2023:

1. Devastating brain injury with withdrawal of treatment anticipated in the next 24 hours
2. Pregnancy
3. Severe hyponatraemia (Na <135 mmol/L)
4. Two or more prior doses of hypertonic therapy given on ICU

Previous participant exclusion criteria as of 06/08/2020:

1. Devastating brain injury with withdrawal of treatment anticipated in the next 24 hours
2. Pregnancy
3. Severe hyponatraemia (Na <135 mmol/L)

Previous participant exclusion criteria from 10/06/2019 to 06/08/2020:

1. Devastating brain injury with withdrawal of treatment anticipated in the next 24 hours
2. Pregnancy
3. Severe hyponatraemia (Na <135 mmol/L)

Original participant exclusion criteria:

1. Unsurvivable injuries
2. Pregnancy
3. Severe hyponatraemia (Na <135 mmol/L)

Date of first enrolment

01/12/2019

Date of final enrolment

30/11/2025

Locations**Countries of recruitment**

United Kingdom

England

Northern Ireland

Scotland

Wales

Brazil

Study participating centre

Queen Elizabeth Hospital - University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust

Heritage Building

Mindelsohn Way

Edgbaston

Birmingham

England

B15 2TH

Study participating centre

John Radcliffe Hospital

Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust

Headley Way

Headington

Oxford

England

OX3 9DU

Study participating centre

Salford Royal Hospital

Salford Royal NHS Foundation Trust

Stott Lane

Salford

England

M6 8HD

Study participating centre

Derriford Hospital

University Hospitals Plymouth NHS Foundation Trust

Derriford Rd

Plymouth

England

PL6 8DH

Study participating centre

The Walton Centre NHS Foundation Trust

Lower Lane

Fazakerley
Liverpool
England
L9 7LJ

Study participating centre
Southampton General Hospital
University Hospital Southampton NHS Foundation Trust
Tremona Road
Southampton
England
SO16 6YD

Study participating centre
Royal Victoria Hospital
Belfast Health & Social Care Trust
Grosvenor Road
Belfast
Northern Ireland
BT12 6BA

Study participating centre
King's College Hospital
King's College Hospital NHS Foundation Trust
Denmark Hill
London
England
SE5 9RS

Study participating centre
Royal Infirmary of Edinburgh
NHS Lothian
Little France Cres
Edinburgh
Scotland
EH16 4SA

Study participating centre
Addenbrookes Hospital
Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
Hills Road

Cambridge
England
CB2 0QQ

Study participating centre
Lancashire Teaching Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
Royal Preston Hospital
Sharoe Green Lane
Fulwood
Preston
England
PR2 9HT

Study participating centre
University Hospital of Wales
Heath Park
Cardiff
Wales
CF14 4XW

Study participating centre
The Royal Victoria Infirmary
Queen Victoria Road
Newcastle upon Tyne
England
TS1 4LP

Study participating centre
South Tees Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
James Cook University Hospital
Marton Road
Middlesbrough
England
TS4 3BW

Study participating centre
Royal Hallamshire Hospital
Glossop Road
Sheffield
England
S10 2JF

Study participating centre
Nottingham University Hospitals NHS Trust - City Campus
Nottingham City Hospital
Hucknall Road
Nottingham
England
NG5 1PB

Study participating centre
Aberdeen Royal Infirmary
Foresterhill Road
Aberdeen
Scotland
AB25 2ZN

Study participating centre
Queen Elizabeth University Hospital
1345 Govan Road
Glasgow
Scotland
G51 4TF

Study participating centre
University Hospital of North Staffordshire
Princes Road
Stoke-on-trent
England
ST4 7LN

Study participating centre
Leeds General Infirmary
Great George Street
Leeds
England
LS1 3EX

Study participating centre

Imperial College Healthcare NHS Trust

The Bays
St Marys Hospital
South Wharf Road
London
England
W2 1BL

Study participating centre**St George's University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust**

St George's Hospital
Blackshaw Road
Tooting
London
England
SW17 0QT

Study participating centre**Barts Health NHS Trust**

The Royal London Hospital
80 Newark Street
London
England
E1 2ES

Sponsor information

Organisation

University Hospitals Birmingham NHS Foundation Trust

ROR

<https://ror.org/014ja3n03>

Organisation

University of Warwick

Funder(s)

Funder type

Government

Funder Name

Health Technology Assessment Programme

Alternative Name(s)

NIHR Health Technology Assessment Programme, Health Technology Assessment (HTA), HTA

Funding Body Type

Government organisation

Funding Body Subtype

National government

Location

United Kingdom

Results and Publications

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

IPD sharing plan summary

Data sharing statement to be made available at a later date

Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Protocol article		25/02/2020	06/08/2020	Yes	No
HRA research summary			28/06/2023	No	No
Participant information sheet	version 9.0	18/12/2025	08/01/2026	No	Yes
Protocol file	version 9.0	18/12/2025	08/01/2026	No	No