# Medication strategies in first onset schizophrenia (Mesifos)

Submission date	Recruitment status	<ul><li>Prospectively registered</li></ul>		
19/12/2005	No longer recruiting	☐ Protocol		
Registration date	Overall study status	Statistical analysis plan		
19/12/2005	Completed	[X] Results		
Last Edited	Condition category	Individual participant data		
05/07/2013	Mental and Behavioural Disorders			

## Plain English summary of protocol

Not provided at time of registration

## Contact information

## Type(s)

Scientific

#### Contact name

Prof D. Wiersma

#### Contact details

University Medical Center Groningen Department of Psychiatry Hanzeplein 1 Groningen Netherlands 9700 RB +31 (0)50 3613839 d.wiersma@med.umcg.nl

## Additional identifiers

**EudraCT/CTIS** number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

NTR374; DO 0945-01-001

# Study information

#### Scientific Title

#### **Acronym**

Mesifos

#### Study objectives

Overall research question: Is there a difference in quality of life between patients with a first psychotic episode, treated with targeted and maintenance treatment? Detailed questions:

- 1. Do both treatment strategies differ with respect to quality of life, subjectively as well as objectively, regarding work, daily activities, housing, social network, satisfaction and wellbeing, including (para)suicide, aggressive behaviors towards others, contacts with police, days in jail, and to social role functioning?
- 2. Do both treatment strategies differ with respect to the course of the illness (relapse, quality of remission), side-effects of medication (dyskinesia, EPS, subjective well-being), and dependence on care facilities (including involuntary admission)?
- 3. Does the psychosocially oriented treatment lead to better compliance and earlier recognition of prodromal signs with the possibility of prevention of full blown psychosis by targeted pharmacological treatment?
- 4. Can we identify predictors of successful drug withdrawal/discontinuation?
- 5. To what extent are these treatment strategies acceptable to this patient population?
- 6. To what extent do early drop out and refusal make a difference with respect to mental health care consumption and social outcome?
- 7. Do direct medical costs differ between the two strategies?
- 8. Is there a difference regarding indirect costs and burden on the family?

## Ethics approval required

Old ethics approval format

## Ethics approval(s)

Received from local medical ethics committee

## Study design

Multicentre randomised open label active controlled parallel group trial

## Primary study design

Interventional

## Secondary study design

Randomised controlled trial

## Study setting(s)

Not specified

## Study type(s)

**Treatment** 

#### Participant information sheet

#### Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied

Non affective psychosis, schizophrenia

#### **Interventions**

Maintenance treatment was carried out according to the guidelines of the APA. This entailed the preferred use of second-generation antipsychotics in low dose.

In targeted treatment the dose was gradually tapered in one or two months and discontinued, if possible. Tapering was allowed to be more gradual, subject to symptom levels and individual preferences of patients. If early warning signs of relapse emerged or positive symptoms recurred, clinicians were to reinstate or increase the dose of antipsychotic medication, not only in targeted, but also in maintenance treatment. If feasible and considered safe, in targeted treatment discontinuation was tried again.

#### Intervention Type

Other

#### Phase

**Not Specified** 

#### Primary outcome measure

Quality of life

#### Secondary outcome measures

- 1. Symptomatology
- 2. Relapse
- 3. Side effects
- 4. Social functioning
- 5. Burden on the family

#### Overall study start date

01/08/2001

#### Completion date

01/08/2005

## Eligibility

#### Key inclusion criteria

- 1. Suffering from a first episode of psychosis
- 2. 18-45 years of age
- 3. Treatment naïve
- 4. Responding to medication (remission of positive symptoms) within 6 months and remaining stable for another 6 months

#### Participant type(s)

Patient

#### Age group

#### Adult

## Lower age limit

18 Years

## Upper age limit

45 Years

#### Sex

Both

#### Target number of participants

131

#### Key exclusion criteria

No remission or relapse within 6 months

#### Date of first enrolment

01/08/2001

#### Date of final enrolment

01/08/2005

## **Locations**

#### Countries of recruitment

Netherlands

## Study participating centre University Medical Center Groningen

Groningen Netherlands 9700 RB

# Sponsor information

## Organisation

University Medical Centre Groningen (UMCG) (Netherlands)

## Sponsor details

Hanzeplein 1 Groningen Netherlands 9713 GZ

#### Sponsor type

Hospital/treatment centre

#### Website

http://www.umcg.nl/azg/nl/english/azg/

#### **ROR**

https://ror.org/03cv38k47

# Funder(s)

#### Funder type

Industry

#### **Funder Name**

Eli Lilly B.V. (Netherlands)

#### **Funder Name**

Service Foundation (Stichting Diensbetoon) (Netherlands)

#### **Funder Name**

Support Foundation (Stichting Steun) (Netherlands)

#### **Funder Name**

Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development (ZonMw) (Netherlands)

#### Alternative Name(s)

Netherlands Organisation for Health Research and Development

#### **Funding Body Type**

Private sector organisation

#### **Funding Body Subtype**

Other non-profit organizations

#### Location

Netherlands

## **Results and Publications**

## Publication and dissemination plan

Not provided at time of registration

## Intention to publish date

Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan

# IPD sharing plan summary

Not provided at time of registration

## Study outputs

Output type	Details	Date created	Date added	Peer reviewed?	Patient-facing?
Results article	results	01/04/2006		Yes	No
Results article	results	01/09/2013		Yes	No