

# The effect of obesity in pregnant women undergoing cesarean delivery

<b>Submission date</b> 02/07/2018	<b>Recruitment status</b> No longer recruiting	<input type="checkbox"/> Prospectively registered <input type="checkbox"/> Protocol
<b>Registration date</b> 17/10/2018	<b>Overall study status</b> Completed	<input type="checkbox"/> Statistical analysis plan <input type="checkbox"/> Results
<b>Last Edited</b> 24/10/2018	<b>Condition category</b> Pregnancy and Childbirth	<input type="checkbox"/> Individual participant data <input type="checkbox"/> Record updated in last year

## Plain English summary of protocol

### Background and study aims

Obesity is a public health problem in the United States, with the state of Georgia leading the statistics as one of the regions with more cases of this complex problem. It is common knowledge that obesity in general, and morbid obesity in particular, lead to unwanted health consequences. On the other hand, pregnancy is a stressful period for the mother from the physiological standpoint. The combination of pregnancy and morbid obesity is a special situation in which both the mother and the fetus face challenges that may put their lives at risk. The risk is even higher when delivery involves a surgical procedure (cesarean section). Our study aimed to unravel the association between morbid obesity in mothers who underwent cesarean delivery at our institution. We explored the effects of obesity on complications related to surgery and anesthesia.

### Who can participate?

Adult pregnant patients over the age of 18 with more than 37 weeks of gestation, with different body mass index values.

### What does the study involve?

We conducted chart reviews to explore different aspects related to patient characteristics such as weight and gestational age and outcomes such as obstetric and anesthetic complications. The analysis looked back at the charts of patients who had already delivered at the moment of the study.

### What are the possible benefits and risks of participating?

The benefits derived from our study will add to the existing knowledge about the association between obesity and obstetric complications. There are no known risks to participants taking part in this study, as we only reviewed medical records and patient personal information was protected following standards established by our institutional ethics committee.

### Where is the study run from?

Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine of Augusta University (USA)

When is the study starting and how long is it expected to run for?

January 2015 to March 2018

Who is funding the study?

Department of Anesthesiology and Perioperative Medicine of Augusta University (USA)

Who is the main contact?

Efrain Riveros Perez MD

eriverosperez@augusta.edu

## Contact information

### Type(s)

Scientific

### Contact name

Dr EFRAIN Riveros Perez

### ORCID ID

<http://orcid.org/0000-0002-3874-5783>

### Contact details

1120 15th Street BI-2144

Augusta

United States of America

30912

3304074681

efrainriveros@gmail.com

## Additional identifiers

EudraCT/CTIS number

IRAS number

ClinicalTrials.gov number

Secondary identifying numbers

1053583

## Study information

### Scientific Title

Anesthetic and obstetric outcomes in morbidly obese pregnant patients undergoing cesarean delivery: retrospective analysis of a single-center experience

### Study objectives

Obstetric, anesthetic and neonatal complications in morbidly obese pregnant patients undergoing cesarean delivery are associated with the degree of obesity measured by BMI.

**Ethics approval required**

Old ethics approval format

**Ethics approval(s)**

Institutional Review Board at Augusta University, 05/04/2017, IRB approval #1053583

**Study design**

Observational retrospective cross-sectional chart review

**Primary study design**

Observational

**Secondary study design**

Cross sectional study

**Study setting(s)**

Hospital

**Study type(s)**

Other

**Participant information sheet**

No participant information sheet available

**Health condition(s) or problem(s) studied**

Cesarean section in morbidly obese pregnant patients

**Interventions**

Medical records from patients having cesarean section at Augusta University Medical Center during 2015 were retrieved from PowerChart information system and examined for inclusion criteria and study variables. After approval by the Institutional Review Board, we retrospectively studied obstetric patients who underwent cesarean section at Augusta University Medical Center between January 2011 and January 2016. Prenatal and outcome variables will be obtained from the health documentation system of Augusta University. For analysis purposes the patients will be divided into three groups based on body mass index:

1. Non-obese patients: BMI <30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>
2. Obese patients: BMI 30-39.9 kg/m<sup>2</sup>
3. Morbidly obese: BMI > 40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>

Variables that were collected include:

1. Demographic variables (maternal age, ASA status)
2. Morphometric measurements (BMI)
3. Maternal comorbidities
4. Prior cesarean sections
5. Information of pregnancy (gestational age, parity, prior cesarean deliveries, indication for cesarean section, obstetric comorbidities, emergent procedure)

**Intervention Type**

Procedure/Surgery

**Primary outcome measure**

1. Bleeding requiring transfusion, measured by quantification of collected blood and common surgical gauze, assessed during the operation
2. Wound infection, measured by visual inspection between surgery and discharge from the hospital
3. Maternal disposition, assessed using medical record notes at the end of surgery
4. Length of stay in hospital, assessed using medical record notes at the point of discharge from the hospital
5. Maternal mortality, assessed using medical record notes at the end of medical record closing

### **Secondary outcome measures**

The following are assessed using medical record notes at the end of surgery@

1. Apgar scores
2. Birth weight
3. Anesthetic technique
4. Failed neuraxial block
5. Rate of conversion to general anesthesia
6. Phenylephrine dose
7. Anesthetic complications

### **Overall study start date**

01/01/2015

### **Completion date**

10/03/2018

## **Eligibility**

### **Key inclusion criteria**

1. Pregnant patients who underwent cesarean section at Augusta University Medical Center
2. Aged 18 years or over

### **Participant type(s)**

Patient

### **Age group**

Adult

### **Lower age limit**

18 Years

### **Sex**

Female

### **Target number of participants**

771

### **Key exclusion criteria**

1. Gestational age <37 weeks
2. Patients with chronic pain conditions

**Date of first enrolment**

01/02/2018

**Date of final enrolment**

28/02/2018

## **Locations**

**Countries of recruitment**

United States of America

**Study participating centre****Augusta University**

1120 15th Street BI-2144

Augusta

United States of America

30912

## **Sponsor information**

**Organisation**

Augusta university

**Sponsor details**

1120 15th Street BI-2144

Augusta

United States of America

30912

7067217361

eriverosperez@augusta.edu

**Sponsor type**

University/education

**Website**

[www.augusta.edu](http://www.augusta.edu)

**ROR**

<https://ror.org/012mef835>

## **Funder(s)**

**Funder type**

Other

**Funder Name**

Self funded

## Results and Publications

**Publication and dissemination plan**

We intend to publish our results in the journal Annals of Medicine and Surgery

**Intention to publish date**

01/08/2018

**Individual participant data (IPD) sharing plan**

The Principal Investigator (Efrain Riveros-Perez, [eriverosperez@augusta.edu](mailto:eriverosperez@augusta.edu)) was responsible for the conduct of this study, including overseeing participant confidentiality, executing the Data and Safety Monitoring (DSM) plan, and complying with all reporting requirements to local and federal authorities. Since this is a database study uses already existing electronic health record data and does not involve direct patient care, we believe that a DSM Board is not needed. The only patient rights issue is maintaining confidentiality of the data. The data was abstracted from each patient's electronic health record using their name and medical record number (MRN). The patient name and MRN was replaced by a patient study number for use in the analysis file. A separate file was used to maintain linking patient name and MRN with the patient study number. The analysis file might contain limited PHI information, such as dates of hospitalization.

**IPD sharing plan summary**

Available on request